

New Council Chamber And Office Extension to Meath County Council Civic Offices

Engineering Planning Report 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-RP-C-0001

December 2022



Document Control

Document Number: 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-RP-C-0001

Status	Revision	Description	Date	Prepared	Checked	Approved
A0	C01	Planning Issue	01/12/2022	D Moreton	S O'Coileir	M Richardson



Table of Contents

Document Controli
Table of Contentsii
1 Introduction1
1.1 Background and Scoping1
1.2 Existing Site1
1.3 Nature of the Proposed Development 2
2 Stormwater Drainage Design
2.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage
2.1.1 Public Network
2.1.2 Private Network
2.2 Proposed Stormwater Drainage4
2.2.1 General Design Approach4
2.2.2 Site Stormwater Drainage Overview4
2.2.3 Stormwater Drainage Modelling4
2.2.4 Drainage Area5
2.2.5 Existing Flow Control5
2.2.6 Proposed Flow Control5
2.2.7 Qbar Calculation New Development Area - Soils and Geotechnical
2.2.8 Qbar Calculation New Development - Rainfall8
2.2.9 Qbar calculations - New Development8
2.2.10 Attenuation Storage9
2.2.11 Storm Water Discharge Location9
2.2.12 Storm Water Drainage Summary 10
2.3 Sustainable Urban Drainage System Proposals 11
2.3.1 SuDS Effectiveness for Attenuation 11
2.3.2 Green Roofs
2.3.3 Rain Gardens
2.3.4 Attenuation Tank 12
2.3.5 Petrol Interceptor
2.3.6 Summary and overview of SUDS effectiveness
3 Foul Water Drainage Design
3.1 Existing Foul Water Drainage
3.2 Proposed Foul Water Drainage 14
4 Watermain Design



4.1	Exist	ting Watermain 1	5
4.2	Prop	oosed Watermain 1	5
5 F	Roads	and Access 1	7
5.1	Prop	posed Roads & Access 1	7
5.2	Car	park rearrangement 1	7
5.3	Auto	otrack	7
Appendiz	хA	Existing Record Drawings	A
Appendi	хB	Survey and As-Built Drawings	В
Appendi	x C	Causeway Stormwater Drainage Design Calculations	С
Appendi	хD	Causeway Foul Water Drainage Design Calculations	D
Appendi	хE	Irish Water Confirmation of Feasibility	Е
Appendi	хF	Site Investigation	F
Appendi	x G	Rainfall Data	G



1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Scoping

This report was prepared to accompany a planning application for the proposed development on a site located at Buvinda House, Meath County Council, Navan, Co. Meath. This report deals specifically with the Storm water, Foul Water, Watermain, and Road Design associated with the site.

1.2 Existing Site

The existing site is located at Buvinda House, Meath County Council, Navan, Co. Meath. It is within the IDA Business & Technology Park, Navan, and is accessed via the access road to the industrial park, off the Bóthar Sion Road. The site is located southeast of Navan Town Centre and is bounded to the north by Bóthar Sion, to the east by the access road to the IDA business & Technology Park, to the south by a brownfield site, and to the west by the river Boyne and its adjoining floodplain.

The overall site area is 10.2 hectares. It is an existing developed site consisting of office buildings, car parking, and landscaped areas. The site is generally flat, with a dropped level area located to the rear (southwest) of the building. This area is accessible via a ramp. There are also sloping levels towards the Bóthar Sion Road and the River Boyne.

The site is currently developed and consists of infrastructure associated with the existing Meath County Council (MCC) office building.

Please refer to Figure 1-1 below indicating the site boundary.



Figure 1-1: Location of the Proposed Development.



1.3 Nature of the Proposed Development

The proposed development will consist of an extension to the existing Buvinda House, which is currently being used as Meath County Councils local government office. The extension to Buvinda House is proposed to the north-east of the building, which is currently a paved area for car parking for Meath County Council.

The proposed development provides all associated development works, including the redesign of storm water attenuation, the relocation of existing watermains within the car park and landscape area to facilitate the proposed building and provide a suitable ring main. Vehicular access to the development will be off the existing access road to the IDA business & Technology Park, via Bóthar Sion.

The proposed works are outlined in a series of architectural drawings prepared by Bucholz McEvoy Architects, M+E drawings prepared by Delap and Waller Services Engineers, landscape architecture drawings prepared by Bernard Seymour Landscape Architects, and engineering drawings prepared by PUNCH Consulting Engineers and supplied as part of the planning documentation.



2 Stormwater Drainage Design

2.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage

2.1.1 Public Network

Based on available records provided by Meath County Council an extract shown in Figure 2-1 below, there is shown to be limited public stormwater records adjacent to the site:



Figure 2-1: Existing Stormwater/ Foul Water Drainage Surrounding the Site (Extract from Irish Water/MCC Records)

2.1.2 Private Network

As Built Records and Ground Penetrating Radar Survey information are available for the site, which has confirmed the existing drainage arrangements in detail.

Based on available construction and as built drawings, the following stormwater exists in the vicinity of the site:

- 1. 3 no. 1500mm diameter, 77.5m in length used as below ground attenuation.
- 2. 4 no. 1050mm diameter pipes, 24m in length used as below ground attenuation.
- 3. At the downstream end of the 1500mm pipes there is a flow control device.
- 4. Upstream of the outfall manhole there is a Bypass Petrol Interceptor.

Please refer to Appendix B for As-Builts and Existing Survey Drawings illustrating the existing stormwater drainage arrangement.

2.2 Proposed Stormwater Drainage

2.2.1 General Design Approach

The proposed storm water drainage system has been designed using Causeway Flow software in accordance with the Department of Environment and Local Government's guidance document "Recommendations for Site Development Works for Housing Areas", with guidance taken from the "Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study" (GDSDS) and the Meath County Council Development Plan.

The proposed building extension is on top of existing over-sized pipes that serve as below ground attenuation for the wider site.

A new storm water sewer network shall be provided for the proposed development which will be entirely separated from the foul water sewer network. All storm water run-off from roof areas and hardstanding areas are designed to be collected by a gravity pipe network.

All proposed finished floor levels are 500mm above drainage water levels for a 100-year return period. The proposed stormwater drainage system will be designed with reference to the following documents:

- "Recommendations for Site Development Work for Housing Areas" DOE
- "Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study" (GDSDS)
- Ciria Publications C644 "Building Greener"
- Meath County Council Development Plan 2021-2027

2.2.2 Site Stormwater Drainage Overview

The storm water drainage for the proposed extension will be combined with the storm water drainage for the remainder of the site. The existing attenuation will be replaced, and associated drainage diverted.

The existing drainage infrastructure within the site to the north will be diverted to allow for the extension of the development. There are currently 3 no. 1500mm diameter storm water pipes within the site providing below ground attenuation to the north of the existing building. These pipes will be replaced with a new attenuation tank. There are also a further 4 no. 1050mm diameter stormwater pipes which serve as below ground attenuation to the west of the building, which are to be retained.

The proposed attenuation tank will service the proposed extension and will provide replacement for existing attenuation. Existing storm water drainage under the building will be demolished and a new storm water sewer diversion is proposed around the proposed extension.

A new hydrobrake is proposed at the outfall manhole and is to be sized based on existing and proposed site parameters.

2.2.3 Stormwater Drainage Modelling

The storm water drainage network has been designed using Causeway Flow software. A return period of 100 years was used throughout for pipeline design, with a 20% allowance for climate change.

The proposed development has been modelled with the FSR method, using Causeway Flow software. This software does not enable different runoff roughness values to be attributed to different areas. This software assumes all areas are impervious and have the same runoff characteristics.



2.2.4 Drainage Area

The proposed attenuation tank will service both the new extension and the remainder of the site that the previous attenuation tank drained.

Existing undeveloped and retained areas currently drained by the existing drainage and attenuation tank will have their drainage discharge reduced pro-rata compared to recorded discharge rates.

Proposed new development areas will have flow reduced in accordance with a new Qbar calculation.

2.2.5 Existing Flow Control

As built records indicate that the existing site has two hydrobrakes providing a final discharge rate of 19.9 l/s prior to discharge to the River Boyne. Please refer to As Built Records and utilities survey drawings in Appendix B.

2.2.6 Proposed Flow Control

The proposed site is to have its storm water flow controlled as summarised below:

- 1. Existing retained areas are to have a flow control reduced pro-rata compared to the existing flow control based on the ratio between existing site impervious area to retained existing site impervious area.
- 2. Proposed new development area is to have a flow control reduced to Qbar as explained in section 2.2.7, 2.2.8, and 2.2.9 below.
- 3. The total flow control discharge rate is proposed to be the combined sum of the retained area flow control and the new development flow control. Please refer to Table 2-1 below.

Ref.	Element	Area (sq. m)	Flow Control Discharge Rate (l/s)	Comment
1	Existing Area	26,464	19.9	Based on Drawing J6-110 SS-030 by Joseph O'Reilly Consulting Civil and Structural Engineers. Refer Appendix B.
2	Retained Existing Area	12,542	9.4	Pro-rata reduction from item 1 above
3	New development Area	11,036	4.1	Qbar as described in section 2.2.7, 2.2.8, and 2.2.9 below
	Total Site (No 2 + No 3)	23,578	13.5	

Table 2-1:	Site Flow	Control	Calculation	Summary
------------	-----------	---------	-------------	---------

Refer to Figure 2-2 and Figure 2-3 below for illustration of the areas in Table 2-1 above. It can be seen from the above that the surface water discharge flow from the overall site is to be reduced compared to the existing discharge.





Figure 2-2: Existing Drainage Area





Figure 2-3: Retained Existing and New Development Drainage Areas

2.2.7 Qbar Calculation New Development Area - Soils and Geotechnical

The site investigation indicates that the soil type is made ground over sandy and silty soil, with areas of gravelly clay. GSI records indicate that the site is a gravelly geology. Please refer Figure 2-4 and Site Investigation Report included in Appendix F.

Based on this information, the site is taken to have an intermediate, silty poorly drained soil natural ground profile. Therefore, a SOIL type of 3 (medium runoff potential) has been utilised for the development, with a consequent SOIL value of 0.37 for the calculation of Qbar.



Figure 2-4: GSI Extract Map.

2.2.8 Qbar Calculation New Development - Rainfall

A value for the SAAR for the site has been obtained from MET Eireann website. This value is 859mm. Refer to Appendix G for details.

2.2.9 Qbar calculations - New Development

The following values have been used to calculate Qbar. Please note that the site area used for this calculation is as described in section 2.2.4 and 2.2.6 above, and not the entire site.

SAAR = 859mm(refer section 2.2.8 above)Site impervious area = 11,036 square meters(AREA = $0.5km^2$)SOIL = 0.37(refer section 2.2.7 above)

To establish Qbar for a site less than 50Ha, Qbar for 50Ha is calculated, and then proportionately reduced to the actual site area.



Refer below for Qbar calculation:

Qbar for 50Ha

$$Qbar (50Ha) = 0.00108xAREA^{0.89}SAAR^{1.17}SOIL^{2.17} \div 1000$$

 $Qbar (50Ha) = 0.00108x0.50^{0.89}859^{1.17}0.37^{2.17} \div 1000 = 182.5l/s$

Proportionate Qbar for 1.1 Ha:

 $Qbar (site) = \frac{Qbar(50Ha) \times Site area}{50}$ $Qbar (site) = \frac{182.5 \times 1.21}{50} = 4.03l/s.$

This results in a Qbar value of 4.03 l/s. The proposed stormwater sewers have been designed using Causeway Flow software. Table 2-1 describes the stormwater drainage design parameters used and detailed calculations are enclosed in Appendix C.

2.2.10 Attenuation Storage

As described in section 2.3.1, the SUDS proposed on the site are not effective at providing attenuation storage. The site design and allowable space also does not permit the use of other forms of attenuation storage i.e., ponds. As a result, the only possible solution within the site design is an attenuation tank.

Storm water collected from the site via the drainage network is proposed to be attenuated within the site in an underground attenuation tank, which caters to the 1:100-year storm event, plus 20% for climate change for the area drained.

The attenuation tank will be located at the north-western corner of the site, its outflow will be restricted to a discharge rate as described in section 2.2.9.

The attenuation tank serves as a combination of replacement for the existing removed attenuation tank and attenuating for the proposed building extension.

2.2.11 Storm Water Discharge Location

The existing storm water discharge location to the River Boyne is proposed to be retained. Refer section 2.2.5 for comments regarding flows.



2.2.12 Storm Water Drainage Summary

Please refer below table for summary of drainage design.

 Table 2-1: Stormwater Drainage Design Summary

Description	Value
Total Impervious Site area	2.4 ha
Return period target	Pipe Design 1 in 5 years. Network Design 1 in 30 years + CC. Check 1 in 100 years + CC for flooding.
Climate Change	20%
M5-60	15.2
Ratio R	0.273
SOIL type	3 - intermediate silt
Soil value	0.37
SAAR	859mm
Flow reduction parameter	Qbar
Controlled Outflow	13.5 l/s
Flow restriction method	Hydrobrake
Drainage Connection Location	Existing discharge to river retained

Please see PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0100 for the proposed storm water drainage layout including locations of hydrobrakes and volumes of storm water attenuation tank. Please refer to PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0500 for attenuation tank detail and PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0110 for drainage sections.

The final construction detail may deviate slightly from the planning design however the intent will remain. The final discharge location and the flow would not be adjusted. Refer to section 2.2.11 above.



2.3 Sustainable Urban Drainage System Proposals

The proposed development has been assessed in relation to Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS). A variety of SuDS measures may be adopted to comply with Council recommendations. All SuDS measures are to be implemented with reference to the UK SuDs Manual and Meath County Council drainage requirements.

2.3.1 SuDS Effectiveness for Attenuation

Relatively small volumes of rainwater collected on the respective SuDS devices will enter the river discharge during typical low intensity storms. This is because the proposed SuDS measures will retain rainwater until it is either used via evapotranspiration in the green areas or reused within the development. With the exception of the attenuation tank, the SUDS systems are not effective at attenuating long duration storms or the large volumes of storm water that is required to be attenuated.

The SuDS processes decrease the impact of the development on the receiving environment by providing amenity and biodiversity in many cases. Regular maintenance of the SuDS proposals is required to ensure they are operating to their optimal level throughout their design life.

2.3.2 Green Roofs

Green roofs are areas of living vegetation, installed on the top of buildings, for a range of reasons including visual benefit, ecological value, enhanced building performance and the reduction of storm water runoff. It is proposed to provide a significant extent of green roofs within the development. These shall be provided at roof level in the form of sedum green roofs. Green roofs are widely recognised as an effective SuDS solution and an important tool in mitigating the adverse effects of development on rainfall run-off and for managing urban flood risk. The detail of the green roof as well as associated roof drainage and access systems are to be provided by the architect.

Research in the UK (Kellagher and Lauchlan, 2005, CIRIA, 2007) indicates that green roofs are effective in providing both attenuation and volume reduction in runoff for small rainfall events but suggests that these advantages are reduced (but not completely lost) for larger rainfall events.

Please refer to architectural documentation for location and layout of green roofs.

2.3.3 Rain Gardens

A proportion of surfaces are to runoff overland to rain gardens within the proposed courtyard area.

The proposed rain gardens will serve to provide treatment to pavement runoff for low intensity storms. Rainwater will be treated through evapotranspiration within the filter media of the rain garden structure.

These rain gardens are to comprise a landscape area with high permeability soil in the top 900mm depth. A perforated storm water drain is to be provided at a low level to drain any excess storm water.

The extent and detail of rain gardens is to be as per the landscape architects' drawings.

Any water that drains through the above-mentioned perforated drainage pipe will subsequently discharge to the main stormwater drainage system.

Refer to landscape architects / architects' documentation for specific locations of rain gardens



2.3.4 Attenuation Tank

The proposed attenuation tank is sized to reduce the peak runoff from the site.

2.3.5 Petrol Interceptor

A new petrol interceptor is proposed before the new attenuation tank.

2.3.6 Summary and overview of SUDS effectiveness

The combination of the above noted elements shall allow the proposed development to adhere to the principles of sustainable drainage practices while enhancing overall storm water quality.

There are several benefits from the promotion of these SuDS elements within the development, below is a list of such benefits:

- **Biodiversity and Ecology:** Habitats are maintained, created & linked to support existing & new wildlife. This increases biodiversity & improves the quality of ecosystems in urban environments.
- Amenity and Economy: Access to open, green spaces allows for activities such as walking, cycling & organised sports. This improves the physical & mental health & wellbeing of communities.
- Water Quality: SuDS filter sediment & contaminants from runoff which improves quality. They intercept rainfall & reduce the volume entering sewers & drains, reducing combined sewer overflow and the amount that needs treating.
- Flood Risk Management: SuDS mimic natural drainage patterns & reduce the volume of runoff reaching drains & watercourses. They provide areas to store water & slow the flow of water to reduce flood risk in urban areas. SUDS proposed as part of this development provide limited flood mitigation. The primary surface water volume control is the proposed below ground surface water attenuation tank.
- Climate Resilience: Vegetation and plants used, e.g. landscaped open spaces, can capture & store carbon and greenhouse gases to improve air quality. They can also regulate building temperatures and reduce air & water pollution.



3 Foul Water Drainage Design

3.1 Existing Foul Water Drainage

Based on available Irish Water record, the following public foul water drainage exists adjacent to the development site:

1. 225mm uPVC Concrete foul sewer flowing north south along the access road to the IDA business & Technology Park as shown in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1: Existing Foul Water Drainage Surrounding the Site (Extract from Irish Water/MCC Records)

Based on available construction and as built drawings, the following foul water drainage exists within the site:

1. Foul drainage for existing building is drained to a foul pump station to the south of the site. It is then pumped to the 225mm uPVC concrete foul sewer on the IDA Business Park Access Road to the south of the site.

As Built Records and Ground Penetrating Radar Survey information is available for the site, which has confirmed the existing drainage arrangements in detail.

Please refer to Appendix B for Existing Survey Drawings illustrating the existing foul water drainage arrangement.



3.2 Proposed Foul Water Drainage

The proposed foul water sewers have been designed using Causeway Flow software in accordance with the DOE's "*Recommendations for Site Development Works for Housing Areas*". The foul loading has been calculated in accordance with "Code of Practice for Wastewater Infrastructure" (particularly clause 36, Appendix C and Appendix D) published by Irish Water.

It is proposed to connect the development sewerage via a new gravity foul sewer network that connects to the existing drainage that discharges to the existing pump station in the lower yard area to the south of the existing building, through the car park.

The pump station is to be retained and to be provided with below ground 24-hour wastewater storage for the development, including necessary additional storage for the extension. Please refer Table 3-2. The existing foul sewer discharge route to existing wastewater sewerage is to be retained.

Table 3-1 describes the foul water drainage design parameters used and detailed calculations are enclosed in Appendix B.

Description	Value
Commercial Flow Rate	750 l/100m2/day
Infiltration	10%
Peaking Factor	4.5 DWF (Commercial)
Minimum Self Cleansing Velocity	0.75m/s
Minimum Pipe Diameter	150mm

Table 3-1: Foul Water Drainage Design Parameters

Table 3-2 Foul Water Drainage Flows and Daily Storage

Category	Area	Flow Rate	Daily Flow / Storage Requirement (cubic metres/day)	DWF (l/s)	Design Peak Flow (4.5DWF) (l/s)
Existing Offices	7,710	825 l/per/day	63.6	0.73	3.3
Proposed Offices	3,220	825 l/per/day	26.6	0.31	1.4
Total	10,930		90.2	1.04	4.7

A confirmation of feasibility has been received from Irish Water in relation to the proposed development. Please refer to Appendix E for Irish Water correspondence.

Please see PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0100 for the proposed foul sewer layout. Please refer PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-110 for drainage sections.

The final construction detail may deviate slightly from the planning design however the intent will remain.

4 Watermain Design

4.1 Existing Watermain

1. 200mm uPVC watermain to the north of the site as indicated in Figure 2-1.

Ground Penetrating Radar Survey information is available for the site, which has indicated an existing watermain infrastructure exists within the northern section of the site.

Please refer to Appendix A for Irish water records for the area and to Appendix B for record and survey drawings illustrating the existing watermain arrangement on site.

4.2 Proposed Watermain

It is generally accepted that the design loading for foul drainage can be used to evaluate an approximation of the water demand on the site. With reference to Irish Water's Code of Practice for Water Infrastructure, the average daily flow is calculated as the number of persons multiplied by the flow rate per person. The average day peak week flow is taken to be 1.25 x the average flow, and the peak demand is taken to be the average day peak week flow multiplied by a peaking factor of 5.

Table 4-1 describes the watermain design parameters used.

Table 4-4-1: V	vatermain	Design Pa	rameters	

Description	Value
Commercial Flow Rate	750 l/100m2/day
Average Demand	1.25 DWF
Peak Demand	5 DWF

Table 4-2: Watermain Demands

Category	Floor Area	Flow Rate	Average Daily Demand (m ³ /day)	Average Demand (1.25DWF) (I/s)	Peak Demand (5DWF) (l/s)
Existing Office	7,710	750 l/per/day	57.8	0.8	4.1
Proposed Office	3,220	750 l/per/day	24.2	0.4	1.7
Total	10,930		82.0	1.2	5.9

The watermain layout has been designed in accordance with "Irish Water Code of Practice for Water Infrastructure". All watermains are to be constructed in accordance with Irish Water Code of Practice and the Local Authority's requirements. Fire coverage is to be reviewed and certified by the fire consultant.



This feed will provide potable and firefighting water to the proposed development. The existing water meter serving the site will be retained for the proposed development. Fire coverage is to be reviewed and certified by the fire consultant.

To reduce the water demand on Local Authority water supplies and to reduce the foul discharge from the development, water conservation measures will be incorporated in the sanitary facilities throughout the development, e.g., dual flush toilets, monobloc low volume push taps and waterless urinals.

A Confirmation of Feasibility has been received from Irish Water for the proposed development. Please refer to Appendix E for Confirmation of Feasibility Letter.

See PUNCH drawing 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0300 for the proposed watermain layout.



5 Roads and Access

5.1 Proposed Roads & Access

Access to the site will be off the existing access road to the IDA business & Technology Park, via the Bóthar Sion road.

The on-site vehicle access area comprises an on-grade car park. The majority of this is existing and to be retained. New areas have been provided with appropriate speed limits and associated vehicle movement controls.

5.2 Car park rearrangement

The existing car park is to be rearranged to accommodate the development. Refer to architectural site plan and Mobility Management Plan 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-RP-C-0004 accompanying this report for further information.

5.3 Autotrack

Autotrack assessments were carried out on the proposed road and car park network and demonstrate that a fire tender can safely negotiate the proposed car park network. Please refer PUNCH Drawings 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0601 and 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-DR-C-0602 for autotrack diagrams.



Appendix A Existing Record Drawings



5/9/2019, 2:21:28 PM

Legend

Stormwater Gravity Mains (Irish Water Owned)

Surface

Stormwater Gravity Mains (Non-Irish Water Owned)

- Surface

Storm Manholes

- Cascade
- e Catchpit
- , **4** ... Hatchbox

© Ordnance Survey Ireland | © Ordnance Survey Irelan

Irish Water gives this information as to the position of its underground network as a general guide only on the strict understanding that it is based on the best available information provided by each Local Authority in Ireland. It should not be relied upon in the event of excavations or other works being carried out in the vicinity of the network. The onus is on the parties carrying out the works to ensure the exact location of the network is identified prior to mechanical works being carried out. Service pipes are not generally shown but their presence should be anticipated. © Irish Water

"Gas Networks Ireland (GNI), their affiliates and assigns, accept no responsibility for any information contained in this document concerning location and technical designation of the gas distribution and transmission network ("the Information"). Any representations and warranties express or implied, are excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law. No liability shall be accepted for any loss or damage including, without limitation, direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive or consequential loss including loss of profits, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Information (including maps or mapping data). NOTE: DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG Phone 1850 427 747 or e-mail dig@gasnetworks.ie - The actual position of the gas/electricity distribution and transmission network must be verified on site before any mechanical excavating takes place. If any mechanical excavation is proposed, hard copy maps must be requested from GNI re gas. All work in the vicinity of the gas distribution and transmission network must be completed in accordance with the current edition of the Health & Safety Authority publication, 'Code of Practice For Avoiding Danger From Underground Services' which is available from the Health and Safety Authority (1890 28 93 89) or can be downloaded free of charge at www.hsa.ie."





Appendix B Survey and As-Built Drawings



PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH

Drafted from utility records QL-D

SITE RECONNAISSANCE Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture QL-C or evidence of previous streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars DETECTION

QL-B4	A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been
	detected by a geophysical technique
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the
	geophysical techniques used
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one
	of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by
	multiple geophysical techniques
VERIFICATION	

Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility QL-A

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

- The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the
- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may be a result of areas with high conductivity.
- Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable
- Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except
- where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system. Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend.
- Accuracy estimates: Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
- Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records. Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
- We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also
- cause possible disruption to occupants. Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services. Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm
- diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested. Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard

heavy duty apparatus. All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

PROJECT:

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 15	5/08/2022
		5044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO.	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing			PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added Additional Information Added	SHEET:	1 of 14	CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady



PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH Drafted from utility records QL-D

SITE RECONNAISSANCE Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture QL-C

	or evidence of previous streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars
DETECTION	
QL-B4	A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been detected by a geophysical technique
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by multiple geophysical techniques
VERIFICATION	

Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility QL-A

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the

- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may
- be a result of areas with high conductivity. Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases
- not viable Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except
- where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system. Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to

establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. Accuracy estimates:

- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- Plan accuracies of + or 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
- Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records.
- Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
- We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and
- this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants. Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services.
- Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm diameter. Above ground services unless specifically requested.

Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard heavy duty apparatus.

All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

PROJECT:

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 1	5/08/2022
		E044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO.	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing			PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added	SHEET:	2 of 14		
Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady



	PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of	Survey Level Outputs):
	DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH QL-D Drafted from utility recor	ds
	SITE RECONNAISSANCE QL-C Location Demonstrated	by visual reference to street furniture
	DETECTION	streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars
	QL-B3 Horizontal location only	cal technique of the utility detected by one of the
	QL-B2 Geophysical techniques QL-B2 Horizontal and vertical k of the geophysical techr	used ocation of the utility detected by one niques used
	QL-B1 Horizontal and vertical la multiple geophysical tec	ocation of the utility detected by hniques
	QL-A Horizontal and vertical le	ocation of the top and/or bottom of the utility
	Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclain	ner - Utility Survey
	methods must be taken into account when Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appr	considering the results of the surveys. opriate practice to execute, interpret and
	structures will be located and mapped. Fur the accuracy of the utility depths annotated	all underground utilities and underground thermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee d on the survey drawings.
	Apex Survey shall not be liable for any om arise due to the limitations of the service. N any circumstances, howsoever arising, in r	issions or inaccuracies in the survey which No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in respect of any consequential loss or
KERD	damages suffered by the Client. The following is a non-exhaustive list o	f the limitations of utility surveys:
	The Survey aims to map existing utilities s with respect to pipe size, material type and	ubsurface utilities and provide information d drainage connectivity. However utility
	surveying is limited by the following guideli survey, define and locate all services and s Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a	nes and it may not be possible to accurately sub-surface features. utility affect the signal response and the
	degree with which a utility can be located Due to attenuation of the radar signal wi making identification of utilities more diff	d. th depth, resolution is restricted, hence icult with increasing depth
	Size of Utility: The smaller the diamete locate. This difficulty increases with dept	r of a utility the more difficult it is to h.
	 Ground Conditions: The depth penetra on the ground conditions of the site. GPI resistivity material. Clay overburden can 	ation and quality of the data depends R Surveying works best within high impair GPR Surveving. Poor data may
	be a result of areas with high conductivit Utility Congestion: Where different u	y. utilities converge together into a nos difficult to isolato a specific utility.
	and to map its route. The reflected sigr multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utili	hal will display a single response to ties may appear to be a single utility.
	Where similar services run on close pro Signal Jumping: Signal from surroundi conductive line masking its true identity	oximity, separation may be impossible. ng services may 'jump' to a highly
	Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by s mask the existence of deeper utilities wh	shallower objects) Shallow utilities will here they are in close proximity. Also,
	high reflective materials close to the surf Surface Obstructions: The GPR syste 	ace i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. m relies on a relatively flat and even
	surface on which to perform radar passe vehicles, organic material (long grass, so present then the acquired data will be of	es. If ground obstructions such as crub) or undulating ground surface are lower resolution and in some cases
	not viable. • Loss of signal: It is not always possible	e to trace the entire length of each
	underground service. · Connections between manholes: Cor	nections between manhole chambers
	 Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic object trace therefore successful tracing of non 	ts are amongst the most difficult to -metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited.
	 Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables where laid with a built in tracer wire or si 	may not be possible to locate except milar conductor system.
	Defective / flooded manholes or pipewor establish connections between flooded o	k: It may not be possible to or defective manholes or pipework.
	Acute bends in pipework: It may not be p Accuracy estimates:	possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend.
	for the detector used. In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies	for the underground utilities may be
	+/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% o However variations within the subsurface	f depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. e, depth below the ground, close
	conditions, bends, lateral services and local mag listed in this disclaimer may alter this est	ctions and any of the other limitations imated accuracy.
	Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be on the depth of service below ground lev	e achieved but this figure will depend vel. However variations within the subsurface
	magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditi and any of the other limitations listed in t	se proximity of other services and local ons, bends, lateral service connections his disclaimer may alter this estimated
	DP represents distance from the surface	level to the top of the service/ target
	 Where technically possible, depth indical plan positions should be used for guidan is required these should be confirmed by 	tions will be given. These along with ice only and wherever critical accuracy / the client by undertaking trial
	excavations or similar. Record Drawing Information	,
	Services which have been untraceable a or available. These lines are annotated a	rre shown from records where possible as "Taken From Records" or "From Records".
	Existing record information showing und and with unknown accuracies therefore Where Apex Surveys issue outsilts down	erground services is often incomplete it should be regarded as indicative only.
	 where Apex Surveys issue a utility draw with all available public or private utility r Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant 	ecords. Public Utility record information onto
	the final drawing. However, we would re- with the asset owner or statutory underta	commend that direct contact is made aker.
	 vve snall not be held responsible for the of a service, as issued by the utility provi Records" on the drawing. 	accuracy, or otherwise, of the location ider and therefore shown as "Taken for
	The following have been excluded from the · Location of individual service feeds to pr	e survey: operties or buildings as access would
	be required into each property to apply of this would significantly increase the score cause possible disruption to occupants	lirect connections to inlet points and be of works, survey cost and also
	 Pot ended or disconnected cables or terr Internal building services. 	minated short lengths of pipe.
	Small diameter cables less than 20mm d diameter.	liameter or pipes less than 40mm
	Lifting manholes which require longer the heavy duty apparatus.	an 10 minutes effort using standard
	All works carried out be Apex Surveys confe Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Sta	orms to the guidelines set out by The andard for utility mapping
CLIENT:	PRO	JECT:
nch & Partners	Buvinda	Housing
Consulting	Extension,	Co. Meath
ish Transverse Mercator		
lalin Head (OSGM15) rawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE : 1/100 A1	DATE : 15/08/2022

 NOTES.
 Drawing Contains Scale Factor

 REVISIONS:
 DRG No: 5244

 No.
 Date
 Description

 001
 N/A

 002
 22/09/22

 Additional Information Added

 003
 26/10/22

 Additional Information Added







Punch & Partners Consulting

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 15/08/2022
		5044	DESCRIPTION : 2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO:	5244	SURVEYED BY : Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing			PROCESSED BY : Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added	SHEET:	5 of 14	
Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY : Alan Brady







verse Mercator d (OSGM15) ontains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE :	15/08/2022
		5044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
1	DKG NU.	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
awing			PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Information Added	SHEET:	7 of 14		
Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady



mm.apoxoarroyo.io
info@apexsurveys.ie
00353 1 691 0156



]	STOPCOCK SERVICE BOX (UNKNOWN TRAFFIC COVER VENT WATER METER
	LEVELS :
]	BED LEVEL FLOOR LEVEL INVERT LEVEL SOFFIT LEVEL SPOT LEVEL TOP OF WALL LEVEL WATER LEVEL SURVEY CONTROL STATIO
	START OF RUN UNABLE TO OPEN

R MAIN	WA
IAIN	GA
M DRAIN	STO
SEWER	FO
INED SEWER	CO
RIC CABLE	PO
RIC LIGHTING	LIG
M	EIR
OPTIC CABLE	F.O
DBAND	BR
TV	TV
IC AND SIGNAL CABLE	TR/
	CC
ATION PIPE	IRR
Y DUCT	EM
NOMALY	AN
OWN CABLE	CA
DELECTRICITY	OF
D TELECOM	01
	-

GRID DATU NOTE	SYSTEM: IM: ES:	Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE :	15/08/2022
REVI	SIONS:			E044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
			DRG NO.	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
No.	Date	Description				
001	N/A	Original Drawing			PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
002	22/09/22	Additional Information Added	SHEET:	8 of 14		
003	26/10/22	Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady



QL-D4	detected by a geophysical technique
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by multiple geophysical techniques
VERIFICATION	

Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility QL-A

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

- The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the
- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may
- be a result of areas with high conductivity. Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also,
- high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable.
- Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system.
- Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. Accuracy estimates:
- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.
- Record Drawing Information
- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only. Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction
- with all available public or private utility records. Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker. We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location
- of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also
- cause possible disruption to occupants. Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services. Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm
- diameter. Above ground services unless specifically requested.
- Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard heavy duty apparatus.
- All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

PROJECT:

Buvinda Housing

Extension, Co. Meath

Punch & Partners Consulting

CLIENT:



	WATER MAIN
1	GASMAIN
1	
	FOULSEWER
	COMBINED SEWER
	ELECTRIC CABLE
	ELECTRIC LIGHTING
	EIRCOM
50	FIBRE OPTIC CABLE
	BROADBAND
	CABLE TV
	TRAFFIC AND SIGNAL CABLE
)	CCTV
	IRRIGATION PIPE
.50	EMPTY DUCT
0	GPR ANOMALY
	UNKNOWN CABLE
	O/HEAD ELECTRICITY
	O/HEAD TELECOM

Punch & Partners Consulting

GRID SYSTEM: DATUM: NOTES:		SYSTEM: M: S:	Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE :	15/08/2022
	REVISIONS:			5044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities	
	No.	Date	Description	DRG NO:	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
	001	N/A	Original Drawing	SHEET:	9 of 14	PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
	002	22/09/22	Additional Information Added				
	003	26/10/22	Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady

PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH

Drafted from utility records QL-D

SITE RECONNAISSANCE Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture QL-C or evidence of previous str

	or evidence of previous streetworks, le - reinstatement scars
DETECTION	
QL-B4	A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been
	detected by a geophysical technique
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the
	geophysical techniques used
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one
	of the geophysical techniques used
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by
	multiple geophysical techniques
VERIFICATION	
QL-A	Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

- The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the
- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may
- be a result of areas with high conductivity. Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility.
- Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly conductive line masking its true identity.
- Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases
- not viable. Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except
- where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system. Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend.
- Accuracy estimates: Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
- Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction with all available public or private utility records. Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
- We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants.
- Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services. Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm
- diameter. Above ground services unless specifically requested.

Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard heavy duty apparatus.

All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

CLIENT:

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

PROJECT:



PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH Drafted from utility records QL-D SITE RECONNAISSANCE

Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture QL-C or evidence of previous streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars

DETECTION					
QL-B4	A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been detected by a geophysical technique				
QL-B3	Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used				
QL-B2	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used				
QL-B1	Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by multiple geophysical techniques				
VERIFICATION					

Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility QL-A

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the

- degree with which a utility can be located. Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth. Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth. Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may
- be a result of areas with high conductivity. Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity. Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies. Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as
- vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each
- underground service. Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers
- are assumed to be straight. Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to
- trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited. Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system.
- Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to

establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. Accuracy estimates:

- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. lowever variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy. Plan accuracies of + or - 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.

Record Drawing Information

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records" Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only. Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction
- with all available public or private utility records. Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker. We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location
- of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey: Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants.
- Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe. Internal building services.
- Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested.

Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard heavy duty apparatus. All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The

Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping PROJECT:

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 1	5/08/2022
		5044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO:	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing	SHEET:		PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added		10 of 14		
Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady





PAS 128: 2014 (Quality of Survey Level Outputs): DESKTOP UTILITY RECORDS SEARCH Drafted from utility records QL-D SITE RECONNAISSANCE QL-C Location Demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture or evidence of previous streetworks, ie - reinstatement scars DETECTION QL-B4 A segment of utility suspected to exist but has not been detected by a geophysical technique QL-B3 Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used QL-B2 Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used QL-B1 Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by

VERIFICATION QL-A Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility

Apex Surveys Ltd. Disclaimer - Utility Survey

multiple geophysical techniques

The interpretative nature and the non-intrusive, indirect and non-destructive survey methods must be taken into account when considering the results of the surveys. Therefore Apex Surveys, while using appropriate practice to execute, interpret and present the data, gives no guarantees that all underground utilities and underground structures will be located and mapped. Furthermore, Apex Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the utility depths annotated on the survey drawings. Apex Survey shall not be liable for any omissions or inaccuracies in the survey which arise due to the limitations of the service. No liability shall attach to Apex Surveys, in any circumstances, howsoever arising, in respect of any consequential loss or damages suffered by the Client.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of the limitations of utility surveys:

- The Survey aims to map existing utilities subsurface utilities and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However utility surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. • Depth of Utility: The depth and size of a utility affect the signal response and the
- degree with which a utility can be located.
 Due to attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of utilities more difficult with increasing depth.
 Size of Utility: The smaller the diameter of a utility the more difficult it is to
- locate. This difficulty increases with depth.
- Ground Conditions: The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions of the site. GPR Surveying works best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR Surveying. Poor data may be a result of areas with high conductivity.
- Utility Congestion: Where different utilities converge together into a service corridor or cross paths it becomes difficult to isolate a specific utility and to map its route. The reflected signal will display a single response to multiple utilities. Therefore multiple utilities may appear to be a single utility. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible.
 Signal Jumping: Signal from surrounding services may 'jump' to a highly
- conductive line masking its true identity.
 Shadowing: (of deeper utilities by shallower objects) Shallow utilities will mask the existence of deeper utilities where they are in close proximity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e rebar may hide deeper anomalies.
- Surface Obstructions: The GPR system relies on a relatively flat and even surface on which to perform radar passes. If ground obstructions such as vehicles, organic material (long grass, scrub) or undulating ground surface are present then the acquired data will be of lower resolution and in some cases not viable.
- Loss of signal: It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- Connections between manholes: Connections between manhole chambers are assumed to be straight.
- Non-metallic objects: Nonmetallic objects are amongst the most difficult to trace therefore successful tracing of non-metallic pipes/ utilities may be limited.
 Fiber Optic Cables: Fiber optic cables may not be possible to locate except where laid with a built in tracer wire or similar conductor system.
- Defective / flooded manholes or pipework: It may not be possible to
- establish connections between flooded or defective manholes or pipework. Acute bends in pipework: It may not be possible to trace a pipe past an acute bend. Accuracy estimates:
- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detector used.
- In ideal conditions the spatial accuracies for the underground utilities may be +/- 5% for Radiodetection and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However variations within the subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disable magnetic between the services.
- listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
 Plan accuracies of + or 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of service below ground level. However variations within the subsurface subsurface, depth below the ground, close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric or ground conditions, bends, lateral service connections and any of the other limitations listed in this disclaimer may alter this estimated accuracy.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ target
 Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These along with plan positions should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the client by undertaking trial excavations or similar.
- Record Drawing Information
- Services which have been untraceable are shown from records where possible or available. These lines are annotated as "Taken From Records" or "From Records"
 Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and with unknown accuracies therefore it should be regarded as indicative only.
 Where Apex Surveys issue a utility drawing, this should be read in conjunction
- with all available public or private utility records.
 Apex Surveys endeavor to add relevant Public Utility record information onto
- the final drawing. However, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the asset owner or statutory undertaker.
 We shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location
- of a service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown as "Taken for Records" on the drawing.
- The following have been excluded from the survey:
 Location of individual service feeds to properties or buildings as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of works, survey cost and also
- cause possible disruption to occupants.Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services.
 Small diameter explore than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40
- Small diameter cables less than 20mm diameter or pipes less than 40mm diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested. Lifting manholes which require longer than 10 minutes effort using standard
- heavy duty apparatus. All works carried out be Apex Surveys conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA) and PAS:128 Standard for utility mapping

PROJECT:

Buvinda Housing Extension, Co. Meath

Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 1	5/08/2022
		5044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO:	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing		12 of 14	PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added	SHEET:			
Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady




Irish Transverse Mercator Malin Head (OSGM15) Drawing Contains Scale Factor	SCALE :	1/100 A1	DATE : 1	5/08/2022
		E044	DESCRIPTION :	2D Utilities
Description	DRG NO.	5244	SURVEYED BY :	Heitor Lapinskas
Original Drawing			PROCESSED BY :	Muna Satardien
Additional Information Added	SHEET:	14 of 14		
Additional Information Added			CHECKED BY :	Alan Brady



IDED			
RMATION	0	19-06-08	OUTFALL LAYOUT
PING STATION AMMENDED	N	08-05-08	CARPARK AMENDED, DRAINAGE AMENDEI TO PT McWILLIAMS RECCOMENDATIONS
NSTRUCTION	м	21-04-08	CARPARK LEVELS AMENDED
RMATION	L	21-04-08	CARPARK AMENDED, DRAINAGE AMENDEI TO PT McWILLIAMS RECCOMENDATIONS
RMATION	K	04.04.09	AS BUILT LOCATION OF ATTENUATION
RMATION	r.	04-04-08	TANK A ADDED
RMATION	J	18-03-08	CARPARK AMENDED
	REV	DATE	DETAILS



Appendix C Causeway Stormwater Drainage Design Calculations



Design Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FSR	Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00
Return Period (years)	100	Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
FSR Region	Scotland and Ireland	Connection Type	Level Soffits
M5-60 (mm)	15.200	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
Ratio-R	0.273	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
CV	0.750	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Time of Entry (mins)	4.00	Enforce best practice design rules	\checkmark

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
S5-0 (ExMH 502)	0.037	4.00	47.550	1200	688209.620	766721.748	0.800
S6-0 (ExMH 504)	0.103	4.00	47.460	1200	688264.447	766726.263	1.150
S5-1 (ExMH 501)	0.048	4.00	47.210	1200	688251.942	766746.581	0.940
S5-2 (ExMH 503)	0.196	4.00	47.610	1200	688217.302	766803.194	1.700
S5-3 (ExMH 514)	0.112	4.00	47.250	1350	688182.442	766786.438	1.500
S1-0 (Ex MH505)	0.087	4.00	47.510	1200	688232.914	766707.533	1.370
S1-1 (Ex MH506)	0.262	4.00	47.680	1200	688200.852	766689.087	1.620
S1-2 (Ex MH507)			47.650	1200	688186.860	766713.287	1.762
S2-0 (Ex MH2)	0.091	4.00	47.060	1200	688150.402	766686.047	0.800
S2-1 (Ex MH4)	0.060	4.00	47.230	1200	688163.313	766693.447	1.100
S1-3 (Ex MH5)	0.074	4.00	47.270	1350	688171.552	766704.875	1.550
S3-0 (Ex MH**)	0.373	4.00	47.000	1350	688135.444	766730.369	1.350
S3-1			47.000	1350	688140.953	766734.995	1.400
S1-4			47.000	1350	688151.417	766740.125	1.581
S1-5			47.000	1350	688146.961	766774.661	3.100
S1-6	0.324	4.00	47.000	1350	688144.575	766777.698	3.139
S1-7			46.000	1350	688085.179	766755.794	2.775
S1-8	0.030	4.00	46.000	1350	688068.199	766748.569	2.960
Petrol Interceptor			46.000	1350	688068.970	766727.084	3.175
S1-9	0.029	4.00	46.600	1350	688069.533	766722.106	3.825
S1-10			46.600	1800	688051.039	766711.022	4.041
S7-0 (ExMH 12)	0.014	4.00	46.610	1200	688041.238	766719.808	2.520
S8-0 (ExMH 15)		4.00	46.490	1200	688041.978	766711.240	3.390
S7-1 (ExMH 14)			46.550	1200	688045.866	766711.186	3.600
S1-11 (Ex MH16)			46.620	1500	688048.741	766709.679	5.800
S1-12 (Ex MH 515)	0.070	4.00	46.670	2100	688051.731	766707.247	6.060
S9-0 (Ex MH 512)	0.133	4.00	42.970	1200	688125.723	766649.499	1.020
S9-1 (Ex MH18)	0.085	4.00	42.890	1200	688098.993	766633.856	1.200
S10 (Ex MH44)	0.055	4.00	42.780	1200	688112.523	766657.847	0.800
S9-2 (Ex MH20)	0.066	4.00	42.790	1200	688091.475	766645.151	1.400
S1-13 (Ex MH17)	0.067	4.00	47.390	1500	688065.989	766685.362	7.050
Outfall			47.000	1350	688058,485	766681.474	6.829

CAL	JSEWAY 🕻	Michael Punch	and Partne	Page 2							
				<u>Links</u>							
Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Node	Node	(m)	n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
S5.000 (Ex)	S5-0 (ExMH 502)	S5-1 (ExMH 501)	49.070	0.600	46.750	46.270	0.480	102.2	225	4.85	50.0
S6.000 (Ex)	S6-0 (ExMH 504)	S5-1 (ExMH 501)	23.858	0.600	46.310	46.270	0.040	596.5	300	4.62	50.0
S5.001 (Ex)	S5-1 (ExMH 501)	S5-2 (ExMH 503)	66.370	0.600	46.270	45.910	0.360	184.4	300	5.81	50.0
S5.002 (Ex)	S5-2 (ExMH 503)	S5-3 (ExMH 514)	38.678	0.600	45.910	45.750	0.160	241.7	300	4.64	50.0
S5.003 (Ex)	S5-3 (ExMH 514)	S1-6	37.384	0.600	45.750	45.376	0.374	100.0	300	5.04	50.0
S1.000 (Ex)	S1-0 (Ex MH505)	S1-1 (Ex MH506)	36.990	0.600	46.140	46.080	0.060	616.5	300	4.99	50.0
S1.001 (Ex)	S1-1 (Ex MH506)	S1-2 (Ex MH507)	27.954	0.600	46.060	45.900	0.160	174.7	300	5.38	50.0
S1.002 (Ex)	S1-2 (Ex MH507)	S1-3 (Ex MH5)	17.467	0.600	45.888	45.780	0.108	161.7	300	5.62	50.0
S2.000 (Ex)	S2-0 (Ex MH2)	S2-1 (Ex MH4)	14.881	0.600	46.260	46.130	0.130	114.5	225	4.20	50.0
S2.001 (Ex)	S2-1 (Ex MH4)	S1-3 (Ex MH5)	14.088	0.600	46.130	45.770	0.360	39.1	225	4.31	50.0
S1.003 (Ex)	S1-3 (Ex MH5)	S1-4	40.595	0.600	45.720	45.494	0.226	179.6	375	6.29	50.0
s3.000	S3-0 (Ex MH**)	S3-1	7.194	0.600	45.650	45.600	0.050	143.9	450	4.07	50.0
S3.001	S3-1	S1-4	11.654	0.600	45.600	45.419	0.181	64.4	450	4.15	50.0
s1.004	S1-4	S1-5	34.838	0.600	45.419	45.333	0.086	405.1	450	6.87	50.0
s1.006	S1-5	S1-6	3.862	0.600	43.900	43.861	0.039	100.0	450	6.90	50.0
S1.007	S1-6	S1-7	63.604	0.600	43.861	43.225	0.636	100.0	450	7.42	50.0
s1.008	S1-7	S1-8	18.546	0.600	43.225	43.040	0.185	100.0	450	7.57	50.0
S1.009	S1-8	Petrol Interceptor	21.499	0.600	43.040	42.825	0.215	100.0	450	7.75	50.0
s1.010	Petrol Interceptor	S1-9	5.010	0.600	42.825	42.775	0.050	100.0	450	7.79	50.0
S1.011	S1-9	S1-10	21.561	0.600	42.775	42.559	0.216	100.0	450	7.97	50.0
S1.012	S1-10	S1-11 (Ex MH16)	2.662	0.600	42.559	42.532	0.027	100.0	450	7.99	50.0
S7.000 (Ex)	S7-0 (ExMH 12)	S7-1 (ExMH 14)	9.786	0.600	44.090	42.950	1.140	8.6	225	4.04	50.0
S8.000 (Ex)	S8-0 (ExMH 15)	S7-1 (ExMH 14)	3.888	0.600	43.100	42.950	0.150	25.9	225	4.03	50.0
S7 001 (Ex)	S7-1 (ExMH 14)	S1-11 (Ex MH16)	3.246	0.600	42.950	42.820	0.130	25.0	225	4.06	50.0

	Name	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
		(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
					(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
S	5.000 (Ex)	1.293	51.4	5.1	0.575	0.715	0.037	0.0	48	0.833
Se	6.000 (Ex)	0.636	45.0	13.9	0.850	0.640	0.103	0.0	115	0.563
S	5.001 (Ex)	1.154	81.6	25.5	0.640	1.400	0.189	0.0	115	1.025
S	5.002 (Ex)	1.007	71.2	52.1	1.400	1.200	0.385	0.0	191	1.096
S	5.003 (Ex)	1.572	111.1	67.3	1.200	1.324	0.497	0.0	168	1.644
S	1.000 (Ex)	0.626	44.2	11.8	1.070	1.300	0.087	0.0	105	0.531
S	1.001 (Ex)	1.186	83.8	47.3	1.320	1.450	0.349	0.0	161	1.221
S	1.002 (Ex)	1.233	87.2	47.3	1.462	1.190	0.349	0.0	157	1.257
SZ	2.000 (Ex)	1.221	48.5	12.4	0.575	0.875	0.091	0.0	77	1.022
SZ	2.001 (Ex)	2.097	83.4	20.5	0.875	1.275	0.151	0.0	76	1.746
S	1.003 (Ex)	1.348	148.9	77.8	1.175	1.131	0.574	0.0	192	1.362
S	3.000	1.693	269.2	50.6	0.900	0.950	0.373	0.0	131	1.311
S	3.001	2.536	403.4	50.6	0.950	1.131	0.373	0.0	107	1.757
S	1.004	1.004	159.6	128.4	1.131	1.217	0.947	0.0	307	1.111
S	1.006	2.033	323.3	128.4	2.650	2.689	0.947	0.0	196	1.919
S	1.007	2.033	323.3	239.6	2.689	2.325	1.768	0.0	290	2.217
S	1.008	2.033	323.3	239.6	2.325	2.510	1.768	0.0	290	2.217
S	1.009	2.033	323.3	243.7	2.510	2.725	1.798	0.0	293	2.224
S	1.010	2.033	323.3	243.7	2.725	3.375	1.798	0.0	293	2.224
S	1.011	2.033	323.3	247.7	3.375	3.591	1.828	0.0	297	2.231
S	1.012	2.033	323.3	247.7	3.591	3.638	1.828	0.0	297	2.231
S	7.000 (Ex)	4.493	178.6	1.9	2.295	3.375	0.014	0.0	16	1.479
S	8.000 (Ex)	2.580	102.6	0.0	3.165	3.375	0.000	0.0	0	0.000
S	7.001 (Ex)	2.629	104.5	1.9	3.375	3.575	0.014	0.0	21	1.009

Flow+ v10.1 Copyright © 1988-2022 Causeway Technologies Ltd

CA	USEW		Micha	el Punch	and Partn	ers Lt	File: 182 Networ Mark Ri 23/11/2	2196-PUI k: Storm chardsor 2022	NCH-XX- Propose	XX-CA ed	Page 3			
						<u>Link</u>	<u>(S</u>							
Name	US		0	DS	Length	n ks (m	nm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Nod	e	No	ode	(m)	r	า	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
S1.013 (Ex)	S1-11 (Ex N	4H16)	S1-12 (Ex	(MH 515	3.854	+ C).600 4	40.820	40.610	0.210	18.4	450	8.55	50.0
S1.014 (Ex)	S1-12 (Ex N	4H 515)	S1-13 (Ex	(MH17)	26.120) ().600 4	40.610	40.490	0.120	217.7	1050	8.77	50.0
S9.000 (Ex)	S9-0 (Ex M	H 512)	S9-1 (Ex	MH18)	30.971	L C).600 4	41.950	41.690	0.260	119.1	225	4.43	50.0
S9.001 (Ex)	S9-1 (Ex M	H18)	S9-2 (Ex	MH20)	13.568	3 ().600 4	41.690	41.640	0.050	271.4	300	4.67	50.0
S10.000 (Ex) S10 (Ex MH	144)	S9-2 (Ex	MH20)	24.581	L ().600	41.980	41.590	0.390	63.0	225	4.25	50.0
S9.002 (Ex)	S9-2 (Ex M	H20)	S1-13 (Ex	(MH17)	47.607	· ().600 4	41.390	40.490	0.900	52.9	300	4.99	50.0
S1.015	S1-13 (Ex N	1H17)	Outfall		8.453	8 ().600	40.340	40.171	0.169	50.0	450	8.82	50.0
		Name	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	a ΣAc	ld Pro	o P	ro		
			(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflo	w Dep	th Vel	ocity		
						(m)	(m)		(l/s) (mr	n) (n	1/s)		
	S1	.013 (Ex)	4.762	757.4	249.6	5.350	5.610	1.842	20	.0 1	77 4	.289		
	S1	.014 (Ex)	2.331	8075.2	259.1	5.010	5.850	1.912	20	.0 1	26 1	099		
	S9	.000 (Ex)	1.197	47.6	18.0	0.795	0.975	0.133	30	.0	95 1			
	\$9	.001 (Ex)	0.949	67.1	29.4	0.900	0.850	0.21	/ 0	.0 1	39 C	0.920		
	51	0.000 (Ex)) 1.650	65.6	7.5	0.575	0.975	0.05	5 0	.0	51 1	108		
	59	.002 (EX)	2.166	153.1	45.9	1.100	6.600	0.33	9 0		12 1	900		
	51	.015	2.880	458.1	314.0	0.000	0.379	2.31	/ 0	.0 Z	/5 3	.093		
					<u>Pi</u>	peline S	<u>chedule</u>							
	Link	Lengt	h Slope	Dia	Link	US CL	US	IL US	Depth	DS CL	DS IL	DS De	epth	
	· · · · · ·	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	Туре	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m	ו)	
	S5.000 (Ex) 49.070	0 102.2	225	Circular	47.550	0 46.7	50	0.575	47.210	46.270) 0	.715	
	S6.000 (Ex	23.858	8 596.5	300	Circular	47.460	0 46.3	10	0.850	47.210	46.270	0	.640	
	S5.001 (Ex) 66.370	0 184.4	300	Circular	47.210) 46.2	70	0.640	47.610	45.910) 1	.400	
	S5.002 (Ex) 38.678	8 241.7	300	Circular	47.610) 45.9	10	1.400	47.250	45.750) 1	.200	
	S5.003 (Ex) 37.384	4 100.0	300	Circular	47.250	J 45.7	50	1.200	47.000	45.376	1	.324	
	S1.000 (Ex) 36.990	0 616.5	300	Circular	47.510) 46.1	40	1.070	47.680	46.080) 1	.300	
	S1.001 (Ex) 27.954	4 1/4./	300	Circular	47.680	J 46.0	60	1.320	47.650	45.900) 1	.450	
	S1.002 (Ex) 17.46	/ 161./	300	Circular	47.650	J 45.8	88	1.462	47.270	45.780		.190	
	S2.000 (EX) 14.881	1 114.5	225	Circular	47.060	J 46.2	60 20	0.575	47.230	46.130		.8/5	
	S2.001 (EX) 14.088	8 39.1 5 170.0	225	Circular	47.230	J 46.1	30	0.875	47.270	45.770	1 1	.275	
	S1.003 (EX) 40.59: 7.10	5 1/9.0	3/5	Circular	47.270	J 45.7	20	1.175	47.000	45.494		.131	
	S3.000	11.654	4 143.9 4 64.4	450	Circular	47.000) 45.6	00	0.900	47.000	45.419	1	.131	
	Link	U	s	Dia	Node	м	н	DS		Dia	Node	1	мн	
		No	de	(mm)	Type	Tvp	e	Noc	le	(mm)	Type	ו	Γνρε	
	S5.000 (Ex)	S5-0 (ExM	MH 502)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	5-1 (ExN	1H 501)	1200	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S6.000 (Ex)	S6-0 (ExN	ин 504)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	5-1 (Ex№	, 1H 501)	1200	Manho	le Ado	ptable	
	S5.001 (Ex)	S5-1 (Ex№	ин 501)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	5-2 (ExN	, 1H 503)	1200	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S5.002 (Ex)	S5-2 (ExN	ин 503)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	5-3 (ExN	, 1H 514)	1350	Manho	le Ado	ptable	
	S5.003 (Ex)	S5-3 (Ex№	ин 514)	1350	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-6	,	1350	Manho	le Ado	ptable	
	S1.000 (Ex)	S1-0 (Ex	, MH505)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-1 (Ex N	VH506)	1200	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S1.001 (Ex)	S1-1 (Ex	, MH506)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-2 (Ex N	ин507)	1200	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S1.002 (Ex)	S1-2 (Ex	MH507)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-3 (Ex N	ин5) ́	1350	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S2.000 (Ex)	S2-0 (Ex	, MH2)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	2-1 (Ex N	ИН4)	1200	Manho	le Ado	optable	
	S2.001 (Ex)	S2-1 (Ex	, MH4)	1200	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-3 (Ex N	ин5)	1350	Manho	le Ado	ptable	
	S1.003 (Ex)	S1-3 (Ex	, MH5)	1350	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-4	,	1350	Manho	ole Add	optable	
	\$3.000	S3-0 (Ex	MH**)	1350	Manhole	Adopt	able S	3-1		1350	Manho	ole Add	optable	
	S3.001	S3-1	,	1350	Manhole	Adopt	able S	1-4		1350	Manho	le Ado	optable	

	Iviichae	el Punch	and Partne	rs Lt File:	182196-	PUNCH-XX-X	K-CA	Page 4	
				Netv	vork: Sto	rm Proposed			
				Marl	<pre></pre>	lson			
				23/1	1/2022				
			<u>Pipe</u>	eline Sched	<u>ule</u>				
Longth	Slone	Dia	Link		115 11	US Denth		וו פח	DS Denth
(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	Type	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
34.838	405.1	450	Circular	47.000	45.419	1.131	47.000	45.333	1.217
3.862	100.0	450	Circular	47.000	43.900	2.650	47.000	43.861	2.689
63.604	100.0	450	Circular	47.000	43.861	2.689	46.000	43.225	2.325
18.546	100.0	450	Circular	46.000	43.225	2.325	46.000	43.040	2.510
21.499	100.0	450	Circular	46.000	43.040	2.510	46.000	42.825	2.725
5.010	100.0	450	Circular	46.000	42.825	2.725	46.600	42.775	3.375
21.561	100.0	450	Circular	46.600	42.775	3.375	46.600	42.559	3.591
2.662	100.0	450	Circular	46.600	42.559	3.591	46.620	42.532	3.638
<) 9.786	8.6	225	Circular	46.610	44.090	2.295	46.550	42.950	3.375
<) 3.888	25.9	225	Circular	46.490	43.100	3.165	46.550	42.950	3.375
() 3.246	25.0	225	Circular	46.550	42.950	3.375	46.620	42.820	3.575
() 3.854	18.4	450	Circular	46.620	40.820	5.350	46.670	40.610	5.610
() 26.120	217.7	1050	Quad circ	46.670	40.610	5.010	47.390	40.490	5.850
() 30.971	119.1	225	Circular	42.970	41.950	0.795	42.890	41.690	0.975
() 13.568	271.4	300	Circular	42.890	41.690	0.900	42.790	41.640	0.850
Ex) 24.581	63.0	225	Circular	42.780	41.980	0.575	42.790	41.590	0.975
() 47.607	52.9	300	Circular	42.790	41.390	1.100	47.390	40.490	6.600
8.453	50.0	450	Circular	47.390	40.340	6.600	47.000	40.171	6.379
US		Dia	Node	МН		DS	Dia	Node	МН
US Node	•	Dia (mm)	Node Type	МН Туре		DS Node	Dia (mm	Node) Type	МН Туре
US Node S1-4	2	Dia (mm) 1350	Node Type Manhole	MH Type Adoptable	S1-5	DS Node	Dia (mm 135	Node) Type 0 Manhole	MH Type Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5	2	Dia (mm) 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6	DS Node	Dia (mm 135(135(Node) Type 0 Manhole 0 Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6	2	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7	DS Node	Dia (mm 1350 1350 1350	Node) Type 0 Manhole 0 Manhole 0 Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-7	2	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135)	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8		Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro	DS Node	Dia (mm 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter	ceptor	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 135)	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1 10	ceptor	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 1800	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10	ceptor	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11	DS Node	Dia (mm 1356 1356 1356 1356 1356 1356 1800 1500	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH	ceptor	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (DS Node	Dia (mm 1354 1354 1354 1354 1354 1350 1500 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S8-0 (ExMH	ceptor 12) 15)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S7-1 (DS Node	Dia (mm 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1500 1200 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S8-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH	2 ceptor 12) 15) 14)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S1-11	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1500 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S7-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1200 1200 1200 1200 2100	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-12	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1350 1500 1200 1200 1500 2100	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S7-0 (ExMH S8-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M S1-12 (Ex M	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16) H 515)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1200 1200 1200 1200 1500 2100	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-13 S9-1 (DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1500 1200 1500 2100 1500 2100	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S8-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M S1-12 (Ex M S9-0 (Ex MH	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16) H 515) H 512) H 8)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-13 S9-1 (S9-2 (DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1200 1200 1500 2100 1500 1200 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S7-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M S1-12 (Ex M S9-0 (Ex MH S9-1 (Ex MH	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16) H 515) H 512) H8) 44)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-13 S9-1 (S9-2 (S9-2 (DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 120) 120) 150) 210) 150) 120) 120) 120)	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S7-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M S1-12 (Ex M S9-0 (Ex MH S9-1 (Ex MH S9-2 (Ex MH	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16) H16) H515) H512) H8) 44) H20)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 12	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-13 S9-1 (S9-2 (S1-13)	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1350 1200 1200 1500 1200 1200 1200 1200 12	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
US Node S1-4 S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petrol Inter S1-9 S1-10 S7-0 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S7-1 (ExMH S1-11 (Ex M S1-12 (Ex M S9-0 (Ex MH S9-1 (Ex MH S1-13 (Ex MH	ceptor 12) 15) 14) H16) H 515) H 512) H18) H20) H17)	Dia (mm) 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350 1350	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable	S1-5 S1-6 S1-7 S1-8 Petro S1-9 S1-10 S1-11 S7-1 (S1-11 S1-12 S1-13 S9-1 (S9-2 (S9-2 (S1-13) Outfa	DS Node	Dia (mm 135) 135) 135) 135) 135) 1350 1200 1200 1500 1200 1200 1200 1200 12	Node Type Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole Manhole	MH Type Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable Adoptable
	Length (m) 34.838 3.862 63.604 18.546 21.499 5.010 21.561 2.662 () 9.786 () 3.888 () 3.888 () 3.246 () 3.854 () 26.120 () 30.971 () 13.568 Ex) 24.581 () 47.607 8.453	Length Slope (m) (1:X) 34.838 405.1 3.862 100.0 63.604 100.0 18.546 100.0 21.499 100.0 21.499 100.0 21.561 100.0 2.662 100.0 2.662 100.0 2.662 100.0 3.888 25.9 3.246 25.0 3.854 18.4 26.120 217.7 30.971 119.1 13.568 271.4 Ex) 24.581 63.0 47.607 52.9 8.453 50.0	Length (m) Slope (1:X) Dia (mm) 34.838 405.1 450 3.862 100.0 450 63.604 100.0 450 18.546 100.0 450 21.499 100.0 450 21.561 100.0 450 21.561 100.0 450 21.561 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 2.662 100.0 450 3.888 25.9 225 3.84 18.4 450 2.6.120 217.7 1050 3.0.971 119.1 225 3.0.971 119.1 225 3.0.971 119.1 225 3.00 24.581 63.0 225	Length Slope Dia Link (m) (1:X) (mm) Type 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 3.84 18.4 450 Circular 3.854 18.4 450 Circular 3.612 217.7 1050 <td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.610 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 3.84 18.4 450 Circular 46.620 3.854 18.4 450</td> <td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 42.825 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.825 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.559 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.559 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.610 44.090 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 3.84 18.4 450 <</td> <td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 1.131 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 2.650 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 2.689 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.325 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 42.825 2.725 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.775 3.375 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.610 44.090 2.295 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 5.350 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.620 40.810 3.165<td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 1.131 47.000 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 2.650 47.000 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 2.689 46.000 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.325 46.600 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.725 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.775 3.375 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.610 44.090 2.295 46.550 (3.388 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 5.350</td><td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL DS IL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m)</td></td>	Length Slope Dia Link US CL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.610 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 3.84 18.4 450 Circular 46.620 3.854 18.4 450	Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 42.825 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.825 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.559 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.559 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.610 44.090 3.888 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 3.84 18.4 450 <	Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 1.131 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 2.650 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 2.689 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.325 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 42.825 2.725 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.775 3.375 2.662 100.0 450 Circular 46.610 44.090 2.295 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 5.350 9.786 8.6 225 Circular 46.620 40.810 3.165 <td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 1.131 47.000 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 2.650 47.000 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 2.689 46.000 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.325 46.600 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.725 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.775 3.375 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.610 44.090 2.295 46.550 (3.388 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 5.350</td> <td>Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL DS IL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m)</td>	Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) 34.838 405.1 450 Circular 47.000 45.419 1.131 47.000 3.862 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.900 2.650 47.000 63.604 100.0 450 Circular 47.000 43.861 2.689 46.000 18.546 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.325 46.600 21.499 100.0 450 Circular 46.000 43.225 2.725 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.600 42.775 3.375 46.600 21.561 100.0 450 Circular 46.610 44.090 2.295 46.550 (3.388 25.9 225 Circular 46.620 40.820 5.350	Length Slope Dia Link US CL US IL US Depth DS CL DS IL (m) (1:X) (mm) Type (m) (m)

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connections	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
S5-0 (ExMH 502)	688209.620	766721.748	47.550	0.800	1200	() ²⁰			
						0	S5.000 (Ex)	46.750	225
S6-0 (ExMH 504)	688264.447	766726.263	47.460	1.150	1200	0			
						0	S6.000 (Ex)	46.310	300



Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connections	5	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
S5-1 (ExMH 501)	688251.942	766746.581	47.210	0.940	1200	0	1	S6.000 (Ex)	46.270	300
						2	2	S5.000 (Ex)	46.270	225
						1	0	S5.001 (Ex)	46.270	300
S5-2 (ExMH 503)	688217.302	766803.194	47.610	1.700	1200	o K	1	S5.001 (Ex)	45.910	300
						1	0	S5.002 (Ex)	45.910	300
S5-3 (ExMH 514)	688182.442	766786.438	47.250	1.500	1350	0 < 1	1	S5.002 (Ex)	45.750	300
							0	S5.003 (Ex)	45.750	300
S1-0 (Ex MH505)	688232.914	766707.533	47.510	1.370	1200	•				
							0	S1.000 (Ex)	46.140	300
S1-1 (Ex MH506)	688200.852	766689.087	47.680	1.620	1200	° 5 1	1	S1.000 (Ex)	46.080	300
							0	S1.001 (Ex)	46.060	300
S1-2 (Ex MH507)	688186.860	766713.287	47.650	1.762	1200	•	1	S1.001 (Ex)	45.900	300
						1	0	S1.002 (Ex)	45.888	300
S2-0 (Ex MH2)	688150.402	766686.047	47.060	0.800	1200					
							0	S2.000 (Ex)	46.260	225
S2-1 (Ex MH4)	688163.313	766693.447	47.230	1.100	1200	1	1	S2.000 (Ex)	46.130	225
							0	S2.001 (Ex)	46.130	225
S1-3 (Ex MH5)	688171.552	766704.875	47.270	1.550	1350	0 2	1 2	S2.001 (Ex) S1.002 (Ex)	45.770 45.780	225 300
						1	0	S1.003 (Ex)	45.720	375
S3-0 (Ex MH**)	688135.444	766730.369	47.000	1.350	1350	Jan 19				
							0	S3.000	45.650	450
S3-1	688140.953	766734.995	47.000	1.400	1350	1	1	S3.000	45.600	450
						•	0	S3.001	45.600	450
S1-4	688151.417	766740.125	47.000	1.581	1350	Ŷ	1	S3.001	45.419	450
						1	2	S1.003 (Ex)	45.494	375
C1 E	600146 061	766774 664	17 000	2 100	1250	2	1	S1.004	45.419	450
21-2	000140.901	700774.001	47.000	3.100	1230	°,	T	51.004	40.000	450
						1	U	51.006	43.900	450



Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	IS	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
S1-6	688144.575	766777.698	47.000	3.139	1350		1	S1.006	43.861	450
						0 ~ 2	2	S5.003 (Ex)	45.376	300
						1	0	S1.007	43.861	450
S1-7	688085.179	766755.794	46.000	2.775	1350	0 <	1	S1.007	43.225	450
							0	S1.008	43.225	450
S1-8	688068.199	766748.569	46.000	2.960	1350	ϕ^{1}	1	S1.008	43.040	450
						↓ 0	0	S1.009	43.040	450
Petrol Interceptor	688068.970	766727.084	46.000	3.175	1350		1	S1.009	42.825	450
						Ő	0	S1.010	42.825	450
S1-9	688069.533	766722.106	46.600	3.825	1350		1	S1.010	42.775	450
						-	0	S1.011	42.775	450
S1-10	688051.039	766711.022	46.600	4.041	1800	0	1	S1.011	42.559	450
							0	S1.012	42.559	450
S7-0 (ExMH 12)	688041.238	766719.808	46.610	2.520	1200	Q	0	57 000 (Fx)	44 090	225
S8-0 (ExMH 15)	688041.978	766711.240	46.490	3.390	1200	<u> </u>			11.000	
		766744 496			4000		0	S8.000 (Ex)	43.100	225
S7-1 (ExMH 14)	688045.866	766711.186	46.550	3.600	1200		1 2	S8.000 (Ex) S7.000 (Ex)	42.950 42.950	225 225
						U	0	S7.001 (Ex)	42.950	225
S1-11 (Ex MH16)	688048.741	766709.679	46.620	5.800	1500		1	S7.001 (Ex)	42.820	225
							2	S1.012	42.532	450
						0	0	S1.013 (Ex)	40.820	450
S1-12 (Ex MH 515)	688051.731	766707.247	46.670	6.060	2100		1	S1.013 (Ex)	40.610	450
						0	0	S1.014 (Ex)	40.610	1050
S9-0 (Ex MH 512)	688125.723	766649.499	42.970	1.020	1200	o K				
							0	S9.000 (Ex)	41.950	225
S9-1 (Ex MH18)	688098.993	766633.856	42.890	1.200	1200	0	1	S9.000 (Ex)	41.690	225
							0	S9.001 (Ex)	41.690	300



Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Conn	ections	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
S10 (Ex MH44)	688112.523	766657.847	42.780	0.800	1200					
						G)			
						0 K)			
							0	S10.000 (Ex)	41.980	225
S9-2 (Ex MH20)	688091.475	766645.151	42.790	1.400	1200	0	1	S10.000 (Ex)	41.590	225
							y 2	\$9.001 (Ex)	41.640	300
							2 0	59.002 (Fx)	41 390	300
S1-13 (Ex MH17)	688065.989	766685.362	47.390	7.050	1500	2	1	S9.002 (Ex)	40.490	300
02 20 (2/11/22)					2000		2	S1.014 (Ex)	40.490	1050
							\langle			
						Ŭ	¹ 0	S1.015	40.340	450
Outfall	688058.485	766681.474	47.000	6.829	1350		. 1	S1.015	40.171	450
							\sum^{1}			
			Sim	ulation Se	<u>ettings</u>					
	Dainfall Mat	hadalagy FC	D			c l	in Ctoody (toto v		
		R Region Sc	n otland an	d Iroland	r	Jrain Dov	vn Time (n	rate x		
	M5	-60 (mm) 15	5 200	u ir ciuriu	- bA	ditional S	Storage (m	³ /ha) 20.0		
	1113	Ratio-R 0.	273		(Check Dis	scharge Ra	te(s) x		
	Su	mmer CV 0.	750		C	heck Dis	charge Vol	ume x		
	Analy	sis Speed De	etailed		_					
	1 1		Sto	orm Dura	tions					
1	5 60	180 360	600	960	21	60 4	320 7	200 10080)	
3	0 120	240 480	720	1440	28	80 5	6760 8	640		
	Retur	n Period Cli	mate Cha	nge ∆d	ditional	Area A	Additional	Flow		
	(v	ears)	(CC %)		(A %)	,	(0 %)			
		100	(,	20	(0		0		
		<u>Node</u>	<u>\$1-10 On</u>	line Hydı	ro-Brake	® Contro	<u>) </u>			
	Flap	Valve x			Obie	ective (HE) Minim	ise upstream st	orage	
Replac	es Downstream	n Link x		Su	ımp Avai	ilable 🗸	/ '			
	Invert Leve	el (m) 42.559	9	Pro	duct Nu	mber C	TL-SHE-01	.09-7000-2000-	7000	
	Design Dept	h (m) 2.000	Mi	n Outlet	Diamete	er (m) C).150			
	Design Flow	v (l/s) 7.0	Min	n Node Di	ameter ((mm) 1	200			
		<u>Node S1-13</u>	<u>3 (Ex MH1</u>	.7) Online	e Hydro-	Brake [®] C	<u>Control</u>			
	Flap '	Valve x			Obje	ctive (HE) Minim	ise upstream st	orage	
Replac	es Downstream	n Link x		Su	ımp Avai	ilable 🗸	/			
	Invert Leve	el (m) 40.340)	Pro	duct Nu	mber C	TL-SHE-01	.52-1350-2000-	1350	
	Design Dept	h (m) 2.000	Mi	n Outlet	Diamete	er (m) C).225			
	Design Flow	v (l/s) 13.5	Min	n Node Di	ameter ((mm) 1	.500			



Node S1-10 Depth/Area Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficie Side Inf Coefficie	ent (m/h ent (m/h	r) 0.00000 r) 0.00000	Safe	ty Factor Porosity	2.0 0.95	Time to h	Invert I alf emp	Level (m) ty (mins)	42.559
Depth (m)	Area (m²)	Inf Area (m²)	Depth (m)	Area (m²)	Inf Area (m²)	Depth (m)	Area (m²)	Inf Area (m²)	
0.000	360.0	0.0	2.250	360.0	0.0	2.251	0.0	0.0	



1440 minute summer S1-7

440 minute summer S1-9

440 minute summer S1-10

S1-8

Petrol Interceptor

S7-0 (ExMH 12)

S8-0 (ExMH 15)

S7-1 (ExMH 14)

S1-11 (Ex MH16)

1440 minute summer

1440 minute summer

15 minute summer

15 minute summer

5 minute summer

40 minute summer

Page 9

Results for 100 year +20% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.63%

Node (mins) (m) (l/s) Vol (m³) (m³) 15 minute summer S5-0 (ExMH 502) 12 47.121 0.371 14.4 0.7659 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S6-0 (ExMH 504) 11 46.981 0.671 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S6-0 (ExMH 504) 11 46.981 0.671 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S5-4 (ExMH 504) 12 16.027 0.717 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S5-0 (ExMH 502) 12 47.121 0.371 14.4 0.7659 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S6-0 (ExMH 504) 11 46.981 0.671 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S6-0 (ExMH 504) 11 46.981 0.671 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED 15 minute summer S5-4 (ExMH 504) 12 46.982 0.717 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S6-0 (EXMH 504) 11 46.981 0.671 39.1 1.9579 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S5-1 (EXMH 501) 12 46.987 0.717 67.7 1.5465 0.0000 FLOOD RISK	
15 minute summer S5-2 (ExMH 503) 11 46.889 0.979 123.6 3.3641 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S5-3 (ExMH 514) 11 46.640 0.890 134.9 2.6050 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-0 (Ex MH505) 11 47.163 1.023 32.9 2.4525 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-1 (Ex MH506) 11 47.147 1.087 117.2 4.7454 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-2 (Ex MH507) 12 46.939 1.051 92.6 1.1886 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S2-0 (Ex MH2) 12 46.918 0.658 34.7 2.2481 0.0000 FLOOD RISK	
15 minute summer S2-1 (Ex MH4) 12 46.882 0.752 55.3 1.6670 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-3 (Ex MH5) 12 46.787 1.067 149.4 2.5455 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S3-0 (Ex MH**) 11 46.579 0.929 141.7 6.4672 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S3-1 11 46.561 0.961 122.3 1.3749 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-4 11 46.540 1.121 245.7 1.6043 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-5 11 46.306 2.406 238.4 3.4431 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S1-6 11 46.235 2.374 437.2 8.3025 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
1440 minute summer S1-7 1050 45.861 2.636 55.1 3.7725 0.0000 FLOOD RISK	
1440 minute summer \$1-8 1050 45 861 2 821 54 8 4 6098 0 0000 FLOOD RISK	
1440 minute summer Petrol Intercentor 1050 45 861 3 036 54 5 4 3444 0 0000 FLOOD RISK	
1440 minute summer S1-9 1050 45.861 3.086 55.2 4.8910 0.0000 SUBCHARGED	
1440 minute summer \$1-10 1050 45.860 3.301 55.0 778.0732 0.0000 SURCHARGED	
15 minute summer S7-0 (ExMH 12) 10 44 117 0.027 5.4 0.0334 0.0000 OK	
$15 \text{ minute summer} S8-0 (ExMH 12) \qquad 10 44.117 0.027 5.4 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000 0.0000 $	
$15 \text{ minute summer} S7-1 (ExMH 14) \qquad 10 42.988 0.038 5.4 0.0433 0.0000 OK$	
240 minute summer S1 11 (Ex MH16) 188 42 400 1 670 20 6 2 0604 0 0000 SUDCHADCED	
240 minute summer SI-II (EX MITE) 188 42.490 1.070 29.0 2.9504 0.0000 SORCHARGED	
Link Event US Link DS Outflow Velocity Flow/Cap Link [ischarge
(Upstream Depth)NodeNode(l/s)(m/s)Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer S5-0 (ExMH 502) S5.000 (Ex) S5-1 (ExMH 501) 14.1 0.551 0.275 1.9516	
15 minute summer S6-0 (ExMH 504) S6.000 (Ex) S5-1 (ExMH 501) 36.2 0.749 0.805 1.6801	
15 minute summer S5-1 (ExMH 501) S5.001 (Ex) S5-2 (ExMH 503) 64.5 0.972 0.790 4.6737	
15 minute summer S5-2 (ExMH 503) S5.002 (Ex) S5-3 (ExMH 514) 92.3 1.311 1.298 2.7237	
15 minute summer S5-3 (ExMH 514) S5.003 (Ex) S1-6 112.7 1.758 1.014 2.6326	
15 minute summer S1-0 (Ex MH505) S1.000 (Ex) S1-1 (Ex MH506) 23.6 0.460 0.534 2.6048	
15 minute summer S1-1 (Ex MH506) S1.001 (Ex) S1-2 (Ex MH507) 92.6 1.315 1.104 1.9685	
15 minute summer S1-2 (Ex MH507) S1.002 (Ex) S1-3 (Ex MH5) 87.5 1.289 1.004 1.2300	
15 minute summer S2-0 (Ex MH2) S2.000 (Ex) S2-1 (Ex MH4) 32.7 1.129 0.673 0.5918	
15 minute summer S2-1 (Ex MH4) S2.001 (Ex) S1-3 (Ex MH5) 45.2 1.303 0.542 0.5603	
15 minute summer S1-3 (Ex MH5) S1.003 (Ex) S1-4 146.8 1.331 0 986 4 4775	
15 minute summer S3-0 (Ex MH**) S3.000 S3-1 122.3 1.496 0.454 1.1398	
15 minute summer S3-1 S3.001 S1-4 108 3 1.098 0.269 1.8465	
15 minute summer S1-4 S1.004 S1-5 238.4 1 504 1 493 5 5199	
15 minute summer S1-5 S1.006 S1-6 244.8 1.545 0.757 0.6119	
15 minute summer S1-6 S1.007 S1-7 424.5 2.679 1.313 10.0776	

S1-12 (Ex MH 515)

Petrol Interceptor

S1-11 (Ex MH16)

S7-1 (ExMH 14)

S7-1 (ExMH 14)

S1-11 (Ex MH16)

53.9

54.5

54.3

55.0

8.8

5.4

0.0

5.4

38.1

1.186

0.917

0.908

1.099

0.827

1.545

0.000

1.298

1.473

0.167

0.169

0.168

0.170

0.027

0.030

0.000

0.052

0.050

2.9385

3.4064

0.7938

3.4162

0.0283

0.0348

0.0087

0.0135

0.6106

S1.008

S1.009

S1.010

S1.011

S1.012

S7.000 (Ex)

S8.000 (Ex)

S7.001 (Ex)

S1.013 (Ex)

S1-8

S1-9

S1-10



Page 10

Results for 100 year +20% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.63%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute summer	S1-12 (Ex MH 515)	188	42.490	1.880	54.1	6.9454	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	S9-0 (Ex MH 512)	188	42.491	0.541	14.9	2.0194	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	S9-1 (Ex MH18)	188	42.491	0.801	24.4	2.0351	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	S10 (Ex MH44)	188	42.491	0.511	6.2	1.2858	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute summer	S9-2 (Ex MH20)	188	42.491	1.101	38.0	2.2830	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute summer	S1-13 (Ex MH17)	188	42.490	2.150	45.5	4.2069	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	Outfall	188	40.224	0.053	13.8	0.0000	0.0000	ОК

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
240 minute summer	S1-12 (Ex MH 515)	S1.014 (Ex)	S1-13 (Ex MH17)	-15.4	0.401	-0.002	90.1283	
240 minute summer	S9-0 (Ex MH 512)	S9.000 (Ex)	S9-1 (Ex MH18)	14.9	0.782	0.313	1.2317	
240 minute summer	S9-1 (Ex MH18)	S9.001 (Ex)	S9-2 (Ex MH20)	24.4	0.872	0.364	0.9554	
240 minute summer	S10 (Ex MH44)	S10.000 (Ex)	S9-2 (Ex MH20)	6.2	1.034	0.095	0.9776	
240 minute summer	S9-2 (Ex MH20)	S9.002 (Ex)	S1-13 (Ex MH17)	38.0	0.962	0.248	3.3525	
240 minute summer	S1-13 (Ex MH17)	S1.015	Outfall	13.8	1.256	0.030	0.0927	343.7



Appendix D Causeway Foul Water Drainage Design Calculations

	23/11/2022
--	------------

Design Settings

Frequency of use (kDU)	0.00	Additional Flow (%)	0	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Flow per dwelling per day (I/day)	750	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Domestic Flow (l/s/ha)	0.0	Connection Type	Level Soffits		
Industrial Flow (l/s/ha)	0.0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Cover Level (m)	Manhole Type	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
F1-0	46.000	Adoptable	688090.033	766756.251	1.300
F1-1	47.000	Adoptable	688143.674	766775.838	2.681
F1-2	46.900	Adoptable	688153.124	766750.710	2.762
F1-3	46.900	Adoptable	688152.579	766728.687	2.909
F1-4	47.350	Adoptable	688165.785	766692.142	3.618
F1-5 Ex	47.060	Adoptable	688132.561	766674.111	3.580

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	
F1.000) F1-0	F1-1	57.110	1.500	44.700	44.319	0.381	150.0	150	
F1.001	F1-1	F1-2	27.209	1.500	44.319	44.138	0.181	150.0	150	
F1.002	2 F1-2	F1-3	22.031	1.500	44.138	43.991	0.147	150.0	150	
F1.003	8 F1-3	F1-4	38.863	1.500	43.991	43.732	0.259	150.0	150	
F1.007	7 F1-4	F1-5 Ex	37.801	1.500	43.732	43.480	0.252	150.0	150	

Name	Pro Vel	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Dwellings	Σ Units	Σ Add	Pro
	@ 1/3 Q	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	Inflow	Depth
	(m/s)				(m)	(m)				(na)	(mm)
F1.000	0.000	0.714	12.6	0.0	1.150	2.531	0.000	0	0.0	0.0	0
F1.001	0.000	0.714	12.6	0.0	2.531	2.612	0.000	0	0.0	0.0	0
F1.002	0.000	0.714	12.6	0.0	2.612	2.759	0.000	0	0.0	0.0	0
F1.003	0.000	0.714	12.6	0.0	2.759	3.468	0.000	0	0.0	0.0	0
F1.007	0.000	0.714	12.6	0.0	3.468	3.430	0.000	0	0.0	0.0	0

Pipeline Schedule

m)
2 5 3 1
2 612
2.759
3.468
3.430

Link	US	Dia	Node	МН	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
F1.000	F1-0	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	F1-1	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
F1.001	F1-1	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	F1-2	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
F1.002	F1-2	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	F1-3	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
F1.003	F1-3	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	F1-4	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
F1.007	F1-4	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	F1-5 Ex	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	s	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
F1-0	688090.033	766756.251	46.000	1.300	1200	()))				
							0	F1.000	44.700	150
F1-1	688143.674	766775.838	47.000	2.681	1200		1	F1.000	44.319	150
						1-0				
						o	0	F1.001	44.319	150
F1-2	688153.124	766750.710	46.900	2.762	1200	1	1	F1.001	44.138	150
						$ $ \bigcirc	0	F1.002	44.138	150

	PUNCH Consulting Engineers	File: 182196-PUNCH-XX-XX-CA-C-002-S3	Page 2
ΓΑΙΙζΕΊΑΑΥ 🦰		Network: Foul Proposed	
CAUSEVVAI 🔰		Seosamh O'Coileir	
		23/11/2022	

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connections	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
F1-3	688152.579	766728.687	46.900	2.909	1200		F1.002	43.991	150
						° 0	F1.003	43.991	150
F1-4	688165.785	766692.142	47.350	3.618	1200		F1.003	43.732	150
						0	F1.007	43.732	150
F1-5 Ex	688132.561	766674.111	47.060	3.580	1200		F1.007	43.480	150



Appendix E Irish Water Confirmation of Feasibility



CONFIRMATION OF FEASIBILITY

Seosamh O'Coileir

Carnegie House Library Road Dun Laoghaire Dublin

21 November 2022

Our Ref: CDS22008207 Pre-Connection Enquiry Buvinda House,, Meath County Council, Navan,, Meath

Dear Applicant/Agent,

We have completed the review of the Pre-Connection Enquiry.

Irish Water has reviewed the pre-connection enquiry in relation to a Water & Wastewater connection for a Business Connection of 1 unit(s) at Buvinda House,, Meath County Council, Navan, Meath, (the **Development)**.

Based upon the details provided we can advise the following regarding connecting to the networks;

 Water Connection
 Wastewater Connection
 Wastewater Connection
 Feasible without infrastructure upgrade by Irish Water
 Feasible without infrastructure upgrade by Irish Water

This letter does not constitute an offer, in whole or in part, to provide a connection to any Irish Water infrastructure. Before the Development can be connected to our network(s) you must submit a connection application and be granted and sign a connection agreement with Irish Water.

As the network capacity changes constantly, this review is only valid at the time of its completion. As soon as planning permission has been granted for the Development, a completed connection application should be submitted. The connection application is available at www.water.ie/connections/get-connected/

Where can you find more information?

• Section A - What is important to know?

Stlúrthóirí / Directors: Cathal Marley (Chairman), Niall Gleeson, Eamon Gallen, Yvonne Harris, Brendan Murphy, Dawn O'Driscoll, Maria O'Dwyer Oifig Chláraithe / Registered Office: Teach Colvill, 24-26 Sráid Thalbóid, Baile Átha Cliath 1, D01 NP86 / Colvill House, 24-26 Talbot Street, Dublin 1 D01 NP86 Is cuideachta ghníomhaíochta ainmnithe atá faoi theorainn scaireanna é Uisce Éireann / Irish Water is a designated activity company, limited by shares. Uimhir Chláraithe in Éirinn / Registered in Ireland No.: 530363



Iri sh Wa ter PO Box 448, South City Delivery Office, Cork City.

www.water.ie

This letter is issued to provide information about the current feasibility of the proposed connection(s) to Irish Water's network(s). This is not a connection offer and capacity in Irish Water's network(s) may only be secured by entering into a connection agreement with Irish Water.

For any further information, visit <u>www.water.ie/connections</u>, email <u>newconnections@water.ie</u> or contact 1800 278 278.

Yours sincerely,

vonne Maesis

Yvonne Harris Head of Customer Operations

Section A - What is important to know?

What is important to know?	Why is this important?				
Do you need a contract to connect?	• Yes, a contract is required to connect. This letter does not constitute a contract or an offer in whole or in part to provide a connection to Irish Water's network(s).				
	 Before the Development can connect to Irish Water's network(s), you must submit a connection application <u>and</u> <u>be granted and sign</u> a connection agreement with Irish Water. 				
When should I submit a Connection Application?	 A connection application should only be submitted after planning permission has been granted. 				
Where can I find information on connection charges?	Irish Water connection charges can be found at: <u>https://www.water.ie/connections/information/charges/</u>				
Who will carry out the connection work?	 All works to Irish Water's network(s), including works in the public space, must be carried out by Irish Water*. 				
	*Where a Developer has been granted specific permission and has been issued a connection offer for Self-Lay in the Public Road/Area, they may complete the relevant connection works				
Fire flow Requirements	• The Confirmation of Feasibility does not extend to fire flow requirements for the Development. Fire flow requirements are a matter for the Developer to determine.				
	What to do? - Contact the relevant Local Fire Authority				
Plan for disposal of storm water	The Confirmation of Feasibility does not extend to the management or disposal of storm water or ground waters.				
	 What to do? - Contact the relevant Local Authority to discuss the management or disposal of proposed storm water or ground water discharges. 				
Where do I find details of Irish Water's network(s)?	 Requests for maps showing Irish Water's network(s) can be submitted to: <u>datarequests@water.ie</u> 				

What are the design requirements for the connection(s)?	The design and construction of the Water & Wastewater pipes and related infrastructure to be installed in this Development shall comply with <i>the Irish Water</i> <i>Connections and Developer Services Standard Details</i> <i>and Codes of Practice,</i> available at <u>www.water.ie/connections</u>
Trade Effluent Licensing	 Any person discharging trade effluent** to a sewer, must have a Trade Effluent Licence issued pursuant to section 16 of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977 (as amended).
	 More information and an application form for a Trade Effluent License can be found at the following link: <u>https://www.water.ie/business/trade-effluent/about/</u> **trade effluent is defined in the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977 (as amended)



Appendix F Site Investigation



Buvinda House, Navan – Ground Investigation

INTERPRETATIVE REPORT

Client:

Meath County Council

Client's Representative: PUNCH Consulting Engineers

Report No.:

19-0890

Date:

Status:

18th October 2019

Final for Issue

Causeway Geotech Ltd 8 Drumahiskey Road, Ballymoney

Co. Antrim, N. Ireland, BT53 7QL

+44 (0)28 2766 6640 info@causewaygeotech.com www.causewaygeotech.com

istered in Northern Ireland. Company Number: NI610766 Approved: ISO 9001 • ISO 14001 • OHSAS 18001





CONTENTS

Document Control Sheet

Note on: Methods of describing soils and rocks & abbreviations used on exploratory hole logs

1	AUTHORITY					
2	SCOPE	5				
3	DESCRIPTION OF SITE	5				
4	SITE OPERATIONS	6 6 6				
	 4.2.1 Light cable percussion boreholes	6 7 7 7 				
5	 LABORATORY WORK					
6	 GROUND CONDITIONS	9 9 10 10				
7	DISCUSSION	11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 13 14				
8	 7.2.5 Excavations for services					





APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site and exploratory hole location plans
Appendix B	Borehole logs
Appendix C	Core photographs
Appendix D	Trial trench logs
Appendix E	Trial trench photographs
Appendix F	Groundwater and ground gas monitoring results
Appendix G	Geotechnical laboratory test results
Appendix H	Environmental laboratory test results
Appendix I	SPT hammer energy measurement reports





Document Control Sheet

Report No.:		19-0890					
Project Title:		Buvinda House, Navan					
Client:		Meath County C	ouncil				
Client's Repres	entative:	PUNCH Consulting Engineers					
Revision: A01		Status:	Final for Review	Issue Date:	18 th October 2019		
Prepared by:		Reviewed by:		Approved by:			
from Il		Ninty		Jam O'llero.			
Carin Cornwall BSc MSc PhD		Neil Haggan BSc (Hons) MSc	FGS	Darren O'Mahony BSc MSc MIEI EurGeol PGeo			

The works were conducted in accordance with:

UK Specification for Ground Investigation 2nd Edition, published by ICE Publishing (2012)

British Standards Institute (2015) BS 5930:2015, Code of practice for site investigations.

BS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing.

Geotechnical Society of Ireland (2016), Specification & Related Documents for Ground Investigation in Ireland

Laboratory testing was conducted in accordance with:

British Standards Institute BS 1377:1990 parts 2, 4, 5, 7 and 9



METHODS OF DESCRIBING SOILS AND ROCKS

Soil and rock descriptions are based on the guidance in BS5930:2015, The Code of Practice for Site Investigation.

Abbreviations use	ed on exploratory hole logs
U	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed open tube sample (thick walled sampler).
UT	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed open tube sample (thin walled sampler).
Р	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed piston sample.
В	Bulk disturbed sample.
LB	Large bulk disturbed sample.
D	Small disturbed sample.
С	Core sub-sample (displayed in the Field Records column on the logs).
L	Liner sample from dynamic sampled borehole.
W	Water sample.
ES / EW	Soil sample for environmental testing / Water sample for environmental testing.
SPT (s)	Standard penetration test using a split spoon sampler (small disturbed sample obtained).
SPT (c)	Standard penetration test using 60 degree solid cone.
(x,x/x,x,x,x)	Blows per increment during the standard penetration test. The initial two values relate to the seating drive (150mm) and the remaining four to the 75mm increments of the test length.
(Y for Z/Y for Z)	Incomplete standard penetration test where the full test length was not achieved. The blows 'X' represent the total blows for the given seating or test length 'Z' (mm).
N=X	SPT blow count 'N' given by the summation of the blows 'X' required to drive the full test length (300mm).
HVP / HVR	In situ hand vane test result (HVP) and vane test residual result (HVR). Results presented in kPa.
V VR	Shear vane test (borehole). Shear strength stated in kPa.V: undisturbed vane shear strengthVR: remoulded vane shear strength
Soil consistency description	In cohesive soils, where samples are disturbed and there are no suitable laboratory tests, N values may be used to indicate consistency on borehole logs – a median relationship of Nx5=Cu is used (as set out in Stroud & Butler 1975).
dd-mm-yyyy	Date at the end and start of shifts, shown at the relevant borehole depth. Corresponding casing and water depths shown in the adjacent columns.
\bigtriangledown	Water strike: initial depth of strike.
▼	Water strike: depth water rose to.
Abbreviations relatin	ig to rock core – reference Clause 36.4.4 of BS 5930: 2015
TCR (%)	Total Core Recovery: Ratio of rock/soil core recovered (both solid and non-intact) to the total length of core run.
SCR (%)	Solid Core Recovery: Ratio of solid core to the total length of core run. Solid core has a full diameter, uninterrupted by natural discontinuities, but not necessarily a full circumference and is measured along the core axis between natural fractures.
RQD (%)	Rock Quality Designation: Ratio of total length of solid core pieces greater than 100mm to the total length of core run.
FI	Fracture Index: Number of natural discontinuities per metre over an indicated length of core of similar intensity of fracturing.
NI	Non Intact: Used where the rock material was recovered fragmented, for example as fine to coarse gravel size particles.
AZCL	Assessed zone of core loss: The estimated depth range where core was not recovered.
DIF	Drilling induced fracture: A fracture of non-geological origin brought about by the rock coring.
(xxx/xxx/xxx)	Spacing between discontinuities (minimum/average/maximum) measured in millimetres.





Buvinda House, Navan

1 AUTHORITY

On the instructions of PUNCH Consulting Engineers, ("the Client's Representative"), acting on the behalf of Meath County Council ("the Client"), a ground investigation was undertaken at the above location to provide geotechnical and environmental information for input to the design and construction of a proposed extension to the existing headquarters of Meath County Council in Navan.

This report details the work carried out both on site and in the geotechnical and chemical testing laboratories; it contains a description of the site and the works undertaken, the exploratory hole logs and the laboratory test results. A discussion on the recommendations for construction is also provided.

All information given in this report is based upon the ground conditions encountered during the site investigation works, and on the results of the laboratory and field tests performed. However, there may be conditions at the site that have not been taken into account, such as unpredictable soil strata, contaminant concentrations, and water conditions between or below exploratory holes. It should be noted that groundwater levels usually vary due to seasonal and/or other effects and may at times differ to those recorded during the investigation. No responsibility can be taken for conditions not encountered through the scope of work commissioned, for example between exploratory hole points, or beneath the termination depths achieved.

This report was prepared by Causeway Geotech Ltd for the use of the Client and the Client's Representative in response to a particular set of instructions. Any other parties using the information contained in this report do so at their own risk and any duty of care to those parties is excluded.

2 SCOPE

The extent of the investigation, as instructed by the Client's Representative, included boreholes, trial trenches, soil and rock core sampling, environmental sampling, groundwater and ground gas monitoring, in-situ and laboratory testing, and the preparation of a report on the findings including recommendations for construction.

3 DESCRIPTION OF SITE

As shown on the site location plan in Appendix A, the works were conducted on the site of the current Meath County Council offices in Navan. The works site is bordered by the River Boyne to the west, the Bothar Sion carriageway to the north, existing office buildings to the south, and existing car park to the east. The site is flat within the works area, with a well vegetated area to the north.





4 SITE OPERATIONS

4.1 Summary of site works

Site operations, which were conducted between 12th and 29th August 2019, comprised:

- two light cable percussion only boreholes
- six light cable percussion with rotary follow-on boreholes
- a standpipe installation in three boreholes
- two machine dug trial trenches
- soil and rock core sampling

The exploratory holes and in-situ tests were located as instructed by the Client's Representative, as shown on the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix A.

4.2 Boreholes

A total of eight boreholes were put down in a minimum diameter of 150mm through soils and rock strata to their completion depths by a combination of methods, including light cable percussion boring by a Dando 2000 rig, and rotary drilling (by Comacchio 601 and Hanjinn 8D tracked rotary drilling rigs).

The borehole logs state the methodology and plant used for each location, as well as the appropriate depth ranges.

A summary of the boreholes, subdivided by category in accordance with the methods employed for their completion, is presented in the following sub-sections.

4.2.1 Light cable percussion boreholes

Two No boreholes (RC03 and RC03A) were put down using a light cable percussion boring rig. Both boreholes were terminated on encountering storm drain in the grassed verge area.

Hand dug inspection pits were carried out to ensure boreholes were put down at locations clear of services or subsurface obstructions; the storm drains were encountered between 1.10-1.20m within the inspection pits.

Appendix B presents the borehole logs.





4.2.2 Boreholes by combined percussion boring and rotary follow-on drilling

Six boreholes (RC01-RC02, RC03B, RC04-RC06) were put down by a combination of light cable percussion boring and rotary follow-on drilling techniques with core recovery in bedrock. Where the cable percussion borehole had not been advanced onto bedrock, rotary percussive methods were employed to advance the borehole to completion/bedrock. Symmetrix cased full-hole drilling was used, with SPTs carried out at standard intervals as required.

Hand dug inspection pits were carried out between ground level and 1.20m depth to ensure boreholes were put down at locations clear of services or subsurface obstructions.

Standard penetration tests were carried out in accordance with BS EN 22476-3:2005+A1:2011 at standard depth intervals throughout the overburden using the split spoon sampler ($SPT_{(s)}$) or solid cone attachment ($SPT_{(c)}$). The penetrations are stated for those tests for which the full 150mm seating drive or 300mm test drive was not possible. The N-values provided on the borehole logs are uncorrected and no allowance has been made for energy ratio corrections. The SPT hammer energy measurement report is provided in Appendix I.

Where coring was carried out within bedrock strata, Geobor S Coring was used. The core was extracted in up to 1.5m lengths using a SK6L core barrel, which produced core of nominal 102mm diameter, and was placed in single channel wooden core boxes.

The core was subsequently photographed and examined by a qualified and experienced Engineering Geologist, thus enabling the production of an engineering log in accordance with *BS 5930: 2015: Code of practice for ground investigations*.

Appendix B presents the borehole logs, with core photographs presented in Appendix C.

4.3 Trial trenches

Two trial trenches (TT01 and TT02) were excavated using a combination of hand digging and mechanical excavation using a compact 3t tracked excavator fitted with a 600mm wide toothless bucket, to locate and identify buried services at the site to include the storm drains encountered in both RC03 and RC03A.

Drawing of the trenches and the locations of services encountered during excavation are shown on the trial trench logs in Appendix D, with photographs presented in Appendix E.

4.4 Surveying

The as-built exploratory hole positions were surveyed following completion of site operations by a Site Engineer from Causeway Geotech. Surveying was carried out using a Trimble R10 GPS system employing VRS and real time kinetic (RTK) techniques.





The plan coordinates (Irish National Grid) and ground elevation (mOD Malin) at each location are recorded on the individual exploratory hole logs. The exploratory hole plan presented in Appendix A shows these asbuilt positions.

4.5 Groundwater and ground gas monitoring

Following completion of site works, groundwater and ground gas monitoring was conducted over two rounds. Ground water monitoring was carried out using a water interface probe. Ground gas measurements were carried out using a GA5000 gas meter.

The monitoring records are presented in Appendix F.

5 LABORATORY WORK

Upon their receipt in the laboratory, all disturbed samples were carefully examined and accurately described, and their descriptions incorporated into the borehole logs.

5.1 Geotechnical laboratory testing of soils

Laboratory testing of soils comprised:

- **soil classification:** moisture content measurement, Atterberg Limit tests and particle size distribution analysis.
- **shear strength** (total stress): unconsolidated undrained triaxial tests
- **soil chemistry:** pH, water soluble sulphate content, and organic matter content

Laboratory testing of soils samples was carried out in accordance with British Standards Institute: *BS 1377, Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes; Part 1 (2016), and Parts 2-9 (1990).*

The test results are presented in Appendix G.

5.2 Geotechnical laboratory testing of rock

Laboratory testing of rock sub-samples comprised:

- point load index
- unconfined compressive strength (UCS) tests





Test	Test carried out in accordance with							
Point load index	ISRM Suggested Methods (1985) Suggested method for determining point-load							
	strength. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. Geomech. Abstr. 22, pp. 53–60							
Uniaxial	ISRM Suggested Methods (1981) Suggested method for determining							
compression	deformability of rock materials in uniaxial compression, Part 2							
strength tests	and							
	ISRM (2007) Ulusay R, Hudson JA (eds) The complete ISRM suggested methods							
	for rock characterization, testing and monitoring, 2007							

The test results are presented in Appendix G.

5.3 Environmental laboratory testing of soils

Environmental testing, as specified by the Client's Representative was conducted on selected environmental soil samples by Chemtest at its laboratory in Newmarket, Suffolk.

WAC suite of analysis was carried out on two samples for landfill disposal criteria. This included testing for a range of determinants, including:

- Metals
- Chromium (Trivalent and Hexavalent)
- Speciated total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) aliphatic and aromatic
- Total polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)
- Total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) 7 congeners
- Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)
- Total organic carbon (TOC), loss on ignition (LOI)
- Total dissolved solids (TDS)
- Asbestos screen
- pH

Results of environmental laboratory testing are presented in Appendix H.

6 **GROUND CONDITIONS**

6.1 General geology of the area

Published geological mapping indicate the superficial deposits underlying the site comprise gravels and Glacial Till. These deposits are underlain by dark grey limestones and shales of the Lucan Formation.





6.2 Ground types encountered during investigation of the site

A summary of the ground types encountered in the exploratory holes is listed below, in approximate stratigraphic order:

- **Paved surface:** boreholes RC01-RC02, RC03B, RC04-RC06 encountered 100mm of macadam surfacing.
- **Topsoil:** where encountered in RC03 and RC03A typically 200mm thickness.
- **Made Ground (sub-base):** approximately 100-200mm of aggregate fill beneath the paved surface.
- **Made Ground (fill):** reworked sandy gravely silty clay fill, occasionally with pockets of gravel and cobbles; extends to a maximum depth of 3.20m in RC03B.
- **Fluvioglacial deposits:** typically, loose to medium dense gravelly sands with occasional cobbles and boulders.
- **Glacial Till:** sandy gravelly clay, frequently with low cobble content, typically firm or stiff in upper horizons, becoming very stiff with increasing depth. Overlain by a bed of firm to stiff silt in RC04 and RC06.
- **Bedrock (mudstone, limestone):** Rockhead was encountered at depths ranging from 8.50m in RC01 to 14.15m in borehole RC06, showing a trend of dipping to the east.

6.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered during percussion boring through soil as water strikes at 3.3-5.2m in boreholes RC01 and RC02. The other boreholes were dry.

Details of the individual groundwater strikes are presented on the exploratory hole logs for each location.

Groundwater was not noted during drilling at the other borehole locations. However, it should be noted that the casing used in supporting the borehole walls during drilling may have sealed out any groundwater strikes and the possibility of encountering groundwater during excavation works should not be ruled out.

It should be noted that any groundwater strikes within bedrock may have been masked by the fluid used as the drilling flush medium.

Subsequent groundwater monitoring of the standpipes recorded water levels as shown in Table 1.



Table 1: Groundwater monitoring

Date	Water level					
Date	RC01	RC04	RC05			
29/08/2019	6.91m	DRY	5.07m			
10/10/2019	4.65m	DRY	4.90m			
17/10/2019	6.70m	DRY	4.90m			

Seasonal variation in groundwater levels should also be factored into design considerations.

Continued monitoring of the three installed standpipes will give an indication of the seasonal variation in groundwater level which should be factored into design considerations.

Details of the groundwater monitoring, as well as results of gas monitoring, are presented in Appendix F.

7 **DISCUSSION**

7.1 Proposed construction

It is proposed to construct an extension to the existing office buildings on the site.

No further details were available to Causeway Geotech at the time of preparing this report and any designs based on the recommendations or conclusions within this report should be completed in accordance with the current design codes, taking into account the variation and the specific details contained within the exploratory holes. Causeway Geotech were commissioned to provide a geotechnical report, and it is outwith our remit to advise on structure design.

7.2 Recommendations for construction

7.2.1 Summary

The ground conditions across the site (highly variable made ground and fluvioglacial material), coupled with increased depths to a suitable bearing stratum will render the implementation of any shallow (spread) foundations problematic, and in most areas of the site not suitable. The variation between fine-grained and coarse-grained soils across the footprints of the building units could also lead to the occurrence of differential settlements, which should be avoided. It follows that the most practicable solution for installing safe working foundations across the site will be by a "deep" foundation method, such as piling to transfer loadings to depth.





7.2.2 Soil strength parameters

When estimating the shear strength of fine soils (silt/clay), reference is made to the results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT's) carried out within the boreholes. The undrained shear strength of fine soils can be estimated using the correlation developed by Stroud & Butler:

 $C_u = f_1 \times N$

where f_1 is typically in the range 4 to 6. A median f_1 value of 5 is adopted for this report.

For granular soils (sand/gravel), a graphical relationship between SPT "N" value and angle of shearing resistance, φ , has been developed by Peck, Hanson and Thorburn. This is published in *Foundation Design and Construction* (Tomlinson, 2001) and is referenced in this report when deriving angles of shearing resistance for the gravel soils.

7.2.3 Foundations and ground floor construction

Foundations should transfer loading to below any Made Ground or subsoil. The recommended foundation construction and allowable bearing pressure (ABP) at the borehole locations are presented in Table 2.

Borehole	Depth below EGL* to suitable bearing stratum	Estimated ABP (kPa)	Strata description	Foundation type	Ground floor construction	Groundwater
RC01	6.10m	>250	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	5.20mbgl
RC02	5.70m	190	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	3.30mbgl
RC03B	6.00m	205	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	Not encountered
RC04	7.00m	105	Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	Not encountered
RC05	6.50m	>250	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	Not encountered
RC06	9.00m	>250	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Piled	Suspended	Not encountered

Table 2: Construction recommendations

*Existing Ground Level




The practicable foundation solution is:

1. Piling to transfer loadings to depth:

Piling to transfer loadings to depth is suggested to be the most practicable and applicable option given the depth to a consistent bearing stratum across the site.

Driven piles are the preferred pile type – of precast concrete or steel/ductile iron. The piles should be driven to a predetermined set – each pile will, therefore, be effectively proof tested by the installation method.

If the surrounding land use precludes the use of hard drive piles, due to environmental restrictions with respect to noise and vibration, low vibration driven piles, continuous flight auger (CFA) or continuous helical displacement (CHD) piles will be required.

Piles will acquire capacity from shaft friction through the fluvioglacial and glacial deposits, and end bearing on the weathered sedimentary bedrock.

Where site levels are to be raised, piles should be designed to resist additional loading that will arise due to negative skin friction along the pile length passing through Made Ground and soft soils.

The detailed design of piles should be undertaken in conjunction with specialist piling contractors. Their proposals should include the means to verify that the required load capacity has been achieved: for example, dynamic pile tests and/or static load tests.

Where pile foundation solution is adopted, floor slabs should be supported by ground beams spanning between piles caps supported by piles.

7.2.4 Floor slabs

Floor slabs should not bear directly onto Made Ground or soft soils. Consequently, the use of ground bearing floor slabs is only appropriate following the removal of any surface Made Ground and soft clay layers and their replacement using well-graded well-compacted granular fill. However, a suspended floor slab should be adopted where the difference in levels of the proposed floor and the base of Made Ground/soft soils is greater than 600mm.

Therefore, given the depth to the base of Made Ground and relative low strength of upper natural soil layers, a suspended floor slab may be required over parts of the site. The use of intermediate lines of support stub walls would reduce the spans required for flooring units.





7.2.5 Excavations for services

For the installation of services ducts/trenches, it is suggested that open trenching will be the most practicable construction method. Generally speaking, the ground conditions should render the use of open trenching by backhoe excavator possible, with some trench support possibly required for the uppermost granular strata.

Where working in open trenches, it is thought that trench support systems, by way of a trench box (or possibly sheet piles), will be required to maintain trench stability and safe working conditions. Groundwater control at these locations should be possible by means of sump pumping.

To preclude the eventuality of differential settlements in pipes, they should be laid on a consistent stratum of appropriate allowable bearing capacity and protected with appropriate fill cover.

Where ducts and chambers must be installed in areas where localised soft spots are encountered, the use of geogrid reinforcement along the base of the excavation on is recommended. This will stiffen the base of the trench and help control longitudinal differential settlement.

Backfilling of trenches may be completed by using compacted Cl 804 granular fill and reinstated as appropriate.

7.2.6 Soil aggressivity

An assessment of the Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete (ACEC) was undertaken through reference to the Building Research Establishment (BRE) Special Digest 1 (2017).

As noted by BRE Special Digest 1, sulphates in the soil and groundwater are the chemical agents most likely to attack concrete. The extent to which sulphates affect concrete is linked to their concentrations, the type of ground, the presence of groundwater, the type of concrete and the form of construction in which concrete is used.

BRE Special Digest 1 identifies four different categories of site which require specific procedures for investigation for aggressive ground conditions:

- Sites not subjected to previous industrial development and not perceived as containing pyrite;
- Sites not subjected to previous industrial development and perceived as containing pyrite;
- Brownfield sites not perceived as containing pyrite;
- Brownfield sites perceived as containing pyrite.

For the purposes of this report the site was classified as brownfield sites not perceived as containing pyrite.





The results of chemical tests (pH and water-soluble sulphate contents) on soil samples indicate Design Sulphate Class DS-1 and ACEC Class AC-1s – reference Table C2 of BRE Special Digest 1 (Building Research Establishment, 2005). The Special Digest does not require any measures to protect underground concrete elements greater that 140mm thick.

7.3 Site contamination and waste disposal

Two samples were tested for a Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) suite to assess the potential categorisation of waste from the site.

In assessment of the waste acceptance criteria (WAC) results, the test results have been compared with the European Union Directive limits for Inert waste landfill, Stable, Non-reactive hazardous waste in non-hazardous landfill and hazardous waste landfill criteria. From the samples tested for WAC analysis material, the site may potentially be classified as inert/non-hazardous. Any material excavated for off-site disposal would have to be classified under guidance in the National Hazardous Waste Management Plan (EPA, 2014).

8 **REFERENCES**

Geotechnical Society of Ireland (2016), Specification & Related Documents for Ground Investigation in Ireland

IS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing.

BS 1377: 1990: Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. British Standards Institution.

BS 5930: 2015: Code of practice for ground investigations. British Standards Institution.

BS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing. British Standards Institution.

BS EN ISO 14688-1:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of soil. Part 1 Identification and description.

BS EN ISO 14688-2:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of soil. Part 2 Principles for a classification.

BS EN ISO 14689-1:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of rock. Identification and description.

BS EN ISO 22476-3:2005+A1:2011: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Field testing. Standard penetration test.

Building Research Establishment (2005) BRE Special Digest 1, Concrete in aggressive ground.

Contaminated Land Report (CLR) 11, (2009) Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination, The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) and the Environment Agency.



APPENDIX A SITE AND EXPLORATORY HOLE LOCATION PLANS









APPENDIX B BOREHOLE LOGS

							Project	: No.:	Project	Name							Bore	hole	No.:
		T	IS	E	WAY	,	19-089	0	Buvind	a House	e, Navan							RCO:	1
H.			-G	EC	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:								She	et 1	of 2
							28813	3.60 E	Meath	County	Council								
Method	I I	Plar	t Us	sed	Тор	Base	26670	6.50 N	Client's	s Repre	sentative:						Scale	: 1	:50
Rotary Coring	1	Hai	uo zu njin [000 08	8.50	11.20			PUNCH	Consu	iting Engine	ers					Drille	er: B	M+KW
							Ground AG 50	a revei:	Dates:	2010 - 1	00/00/2010						Logg	er: N	IН
Depth	Samp	le /	Casing	Water	ri-Id D		Level	Depth (m)	15/00/	2015 2	20/00/2013						88		
(m)	Test	s	(m)	(m)	Field Ke	corus	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legenu	BITMAC		L	rescription				Š D		. –
0.30	ES17						46.39	(月:1月) (0.20)		MADE	ROUND: Dens	se grey san	dy angular	to subang	ular fine to	o coarse	1 🖩		1 1
0.50	B1						46.19	`0.40´		BOULDE	. Sand is fine t R	o coarse.				·			0.5 —
								(0.80)		MADE G	ROUND: Firm	brownish g	grey slightl	y sandy sli Jar to sub	ghtly grave	elly CLAY			-
1.00	B2									coarse.		ise. Glavei	is subaligu		ioundeu m	ne to			1.0
1.20	D10						45.39	1.20		Soft gre	v slightly sand	v gravellv s	iltv CLAY w	ith mediu	m cobble o	content.	-		_
1.20 - 1.65	SPT (S N=9	»)		Dry	N=9 (2,2/3, Hammer SN	2,2,2) 1 = 0643		-	× × •	Sand is	fine to coarse.	Gravel is s	, ubangular	to subrou	nded fine t	o coarse.			1.5 -
									× × •	Cobbles	are subanguia	ar to subro	unaea.						
2.00	B3							-	x x o									<u> </u>	2.0
2.00	D11	-\	1 50	Dmr	N=4 (2 2/1	1 1 1)			x x										
2.00 - 2.45	N=4	>)	1.50	Dry	Hammer SN	1,1,1) N = 0643		(2.50)	x x										2.5
									x x 0									H	
3.00	0 B4 D12								<u>x x o</u>									H	-
3.00) B4 D12 - 3.45 SPT (S) 3.00 Dry N=7 (1,1/1,2 N=7 Hammer SN							-	<u>x ° × °</u>									B	
3.00 - 3.45	SPT (S N=7	5)	3.00	Dry	N=7 (1,1/1, Hammer SN	2,2,2) \ = 0643			αοοο	•								8	
							42.89	3.70	<u>~~~~</u> ~				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		_	H.	-
4.00	DE							-	××^	to subro	n dense grey g ounded fine to	coarse.	nne to co	arse SAND	. Gravel is	subangular			
4.00	вэ D13							-	××^										
4.00 - 4.45	SPT (9 N=17	5)	4.00	Dry	N=17 (3,3/4 Hammer SN	1,4,4,5) I = 0643			î×.×Ŷ									H.	
									^× × ^										4.5
								(2.40)	x ×									H.	-
5.00 5.00	B6 D14							-	^× × × × ×										5.0
5.00 - 5.45	SPT (S	5)	5.00	Dry	N=27 (6,6/7	7,7,7,6)			^× × × × ×										
	N-27				Water strike	e at 5.20			x ×										5.5
								-	××××									H.	
6.00 6.00	B7 D15						40.49	6.10	× ×	Very stil	f grov slightly	sandy gray		and is fine	to coarse	Gravelis	-	T.	6.0 -
6.00 - 6.44	SPT (S	5)	6.00	5.00	N=50 (7,8/5	50 for				subangi	ular to subrour	nded fine to	o coarse.			. Graveris		T.	
					295mm) Ha SN = 0643	immer		(0.90)										H.	6.5 -
																		Ē	
							39.59	7.00		Very stil	f blackish grey	y slightly sa	ndy gravel	y silty CLA	Y with frag	gments of	1	Ħ.	7.0
									X	bedrock	throughout. S coarse.	Sand is fine	to coarse.	Gravel is a	angular to	subangular		Ħ.	
7.50	B8		7 50	Dry		100%			×		-							H	7.5
1.30 - 1.33	010		, .50	איט	0010W-301	10070		(1.50)	X									E	
								-	× 										8.0
8.40	BO								X—,—, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										-
8.50 - 8.57	69				N=50 (25 fo	or	38.09	8.50	×_,	Medium	n strong to stro	ong (locally	weak) ind	stinctly th	inly bedde	ed dark grey	-		8.5
				15	25mm/50 f	or nmer SN				LIMEST	ONE. Partially	weathered	Slightly re	duced str	ength, clos me ioints	ser fracture			-
	90	83	46		= 0643			-		Disconti	nuities: 1. 20-	35 degree	bedding fra	ictures (30)/125/350)), planar,			9.0
9.25				5	C1					smooth 2. 30-45	, unstained, up degree joints	o to 10mm , medium s	of gravelly paced (20	clay infill 0/500/800	at 8.90m a I), planar, r	nd 10.20m ough,			
9.40					C2			(2.70)		patchy l	prown staining	g on joint su	irfaces, oc	asional w	ith 10-30m	nm of soft			9.5
9.70										3. 75-85	degree joint f	from 10.70	m to 11.20	m stepped	d, smooth,	unstained.			
				16				-		-									10.0
								-											
Barra I.	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI									\M/ato-	Striker		Chi)0+2;1	
Remarks Hand dug inspec	tion p	it ex	cava	ated	to 1.20m						Core Barrel	Struck at (m)	Casing to (m)	Time (min)	Rose to (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Tin	ə ne (hh:mm) 01:00
											SK6L	5.20	5.20			0.40	0.50		52.00
											Flush Type	Water	Added	Casing	g Details				
Terminated on m	201/01	N O	ידר	0m c	omnetont o	ore						. rom (m)	10 (11)	8.50	200	1			
	.cover	γŪ	2.7	uni C	omperent (.010						1		L			L		

							Project	No.:	Project	Name:	Borehole	e No.:
		5 T	IC			,	19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan	RCC	1
			-0	FC	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:		Sheet 7	of 2
			0		I LCII		28813	3.60 E	Meath	County Council	Sheet 2	. 01 2
Method		Plar	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base		6 50 N	Client's	s Representative:	Scale:	1:50
Cable Percussio	n	Dan	do 2	000	0.00	8.50	26670	6.50 N	PUNCH	Consulting Engineers	Driller	RM+KW
Rotary Coring		Hai	njin l	08	8.50	11.20	Ground	d Level:	Dates:		Driller.	DIVITION
							46.59	9 mOD	15/08/	2019 - 28/08/2019	Logger:	NH
Depth (m)	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	ecords	Level	Depth (m)	Legend	Description	backfi	11
10.40					C3		(mob)			Medium strong to strong (locally weak) indistinctly thinly bedded dark grey	5	/ ₁₀₅ -
								Ē		LIMESTONE. Partially weathered: Slightly reduced strength, closer fracture		. 10.5
	100	69	31	6						Discontinuities: 1. 20-35 degree bedding fractures (30/125/350), planar,		<u>)</u> =
				0				-		smooth, unstained, up to 10mm of gravelly clay infill at 8.90m and 10.20m		11.0
11.20							35.39	11.20		patchy brown staining on joint surfaces, occasional with 10-30mm of soft		
								-		dark grey gravelly clay infill.		11.5 —
										End of Borehole at 11.20m		-
												12.0
								-				
												12.5 -
												-
								-				13.0
												-
												12.5
								-				_
								-				-
								-				
												-
												14.5 —
								-				
								-				15.0 -
												-
								-				15.5 —
												-
												16.0
												-
								Ē				16.5 -
								_				17.0
												-
								-				17.5
												-
												10.0
								-				
								-				
								-				18.5
								t E				
								E				19.0 -
								-				
												19.5 —
												-
								<u>-</u> -				20.0 -
								-				20.5
	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	<u> </u>			-				
Remarks										Core Barrel Water Strikes Chise	elling Deta	ils
Hand dug inspec	tion	pit e	xcava	ated	to 1.20m					Struck at (m) Casing to (m) Time (min) Rose to (m) From (m) SK6L 5.20 5.20 8.40	To (m) T 8.50	me (hh:mm) 01:00
										Flush Type Water Added Casing Details From (m) To (m) To (m) Diam (mm)		
Terminated on recov	ery of	f 2.70r	n con	npeter	nt core					8.50 200		

	<u></u>						Project	: No.:	Project	t Name: E	Sorehole	No.:
H		ari	IS	E		7	19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan	RC0	2
			-G	FC	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:		Sheet 1	of 2
			0		I L CIT		28815	3.45 E	Meath	County Council		
Method	P	lan	t Us	sed	Тор	Base	20070	0.00.01	Client's	s Representative: S	icale: 1	1:50
Cable Percussio	n D	and	do 2	000	0.00	8.50	26670	9.32 N	PUNCH	I Consulting Engineers	Drillor: F	
Rotary Coring		наr	ijin a	SD	8.50	14.50	Ground	d Level:	Dates:	L		
							46.7	D mOD	13/08/	2019 - 27/08/2019 L	ogger: N	١H
Depth (m)	Sampl Test	e /	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	ecords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Legend	Description	Backfil	
(,		-	()				46.60	(0:10)		BITMAC	-	
0.30	ES16							(0.40)		MADE GROUND: Grey slightly sandy angular to subangular fine to coarse GRAVEL with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles are		
0.50	B1						46.20	0.50		angular.	00000000	0.5 -
										MADE GROUND: Very stiff brownish grey sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse.		
1.00	В2							(0.80)				1.0 —
1.20	D7						45 40	- 1 30				
1.20 - 1.65	N=43)	0.00	Dry	N=43 (5,6/	7,9,10,17)			<u>, × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×</u>	Firm greyish brown slightly sandy gravelly silty CLAY with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to		1.5
									<u>× × 0</u>	coarse. Cobbles are subrounded.		<u> </u>
2 00	B3								<u>××</u> 0			2.0
2.00	D8							E (1.50)				
2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S N=6)	1.80	Dry	N=6 (4,2/1,	2,1,2)						-
												2.5
							43.90	2.80	×	Loose grey gravelly silty fine to coarse SAND. Gravel is subangular to		
3.00 3.00	B4 D9							-	$\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}}}\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}}\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}}$	subrounded fine to medium.		3.0
3.00 - 3.45	SPT (S)	3.00	Dry	N=6 (3,2/1,	2,2,1)		(1 20)	$_{\times}$ \times $_{\times}$	-	-	
	N=6				Water Strik 3.30m	e at		(1.20)	×.×××			3.5
									x × x			-
4.00	B5						42.70	4.00	×××	Medium dense grev gravelly silty fine to coarse SAND. Gravel is subangular		4.0
4.00	D10 SPT (S	,	4 00	Drv	N=15 (2 2/3	3 3 4 5)			××`×``×	to subrounded fine to medium.		
	N=15	,				-,-, -,-,		(1.00)	××`×``×			4.5 —
									× × °×			-
5.00	B6						41.70	5.00	× × ×			5.0
5.00	D11	、	F 00	Dmr	N-28 /C C/	7 7 7 7)				subrounded fine to coarse GRAVEL.		
5.00 - 5.45	N=28)	5.00 5.00	Dry	13-08-2019	/ <i>,/,/,/</i>))		[(0.70)		4		5.5 —
			5.00	Dry	14-08-2019)	41.00	5.70				
6.00	B12								×	cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded		60
6.00	D14								α 	fine to medium. Cobbles are subrounded.		-
6.00 - 6.45	SPT (S N=38)	6.00	5.80	N=38 (5.6/6.10.1	0.12)			α 			-
									α 			- 0.5
									α 			-
								(2.80)	×			7.0 -
								-	<u>x_0</u>			
7.50	B13								<u>x_0</u>			7.5 —
7.50 - 7.87	SPT (S)	7.50	7.40	N=50 (8,9/5	50 for		Ē	<u>x_0</u>			
					220mm)			<u>-</u> -	<u>x_0</u>			8.0
								-	× ×			-
8.50 - 8.57					N=50 (25 fc	or	38.20	8.50	×	LOW RECOVERY: Dark grey slightly sandy clayey subangular fine to coarse		8.5
		ļ			25mm/50 f	or				GRAVEL with high cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Possible		
		ļ			14-08-2019)						9.0
	47	0	0									
								(1.80)				9.5 —
								Ē				
10.00 - 10.08					N=50 (25 fc	or						
10.00					50mm/50 f	or	36.40	10.20				
	TCR S	CR	RQD	FI	JUIIII)		30.40	10.30				
Remarks	tion n	t er	(12)/	ated	-0 1 20m					Water Strikes Chisel Struck at (m) Casing to (m) Time (min) Rose to (m) From (m)	To (m) Tir	Is me (hh:mm)
nanu uug IIIspet	aon pi	. 2)	cava	ileu I	.0 1.20111					SK6L 3.30 3.00 20 3.30 8.30	8.50	01:00
										Flush Type Water Added Casing Details		
										From (m) To (m) Diam (mm) 5.00 5.70 8.50 200		
Terminated on re	ecover	y of	[:] 3.0	0m c	ompetent o	core						

							Project	No.:	Project	Name	:						Boreho	le No.:
	C	ΔΤ	JS	E)	VΔY		19-089	0	Buvind	a Hous	e, Navan						RC	:02
			-G	EO	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:	<u> </u>							Sheet	2 of 2
		DI.					28815	3.45 E	Meath	County	Council						Scalar	1.50
Cable Percussion	ו ו	Dan	ונ Us do 20	5ea	0.00	8.50	26670	9.32 N	PUNCH	l Consu	lting Engine	ers					Scale:	1.50
Rotary Coring		Hai	njin 8	3D	8.50	14.50	Ground	d Level:	Dates:								Driller:	BM+KW
							46.70	D mOD	13/08/	2019 -	27/08/2019						Logger	NH
Depth (m)	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	cords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Legend			D	escription	I			Bacl	cfill
11.20 11.50 - 11.54 11.50 12.30 13.00 - 13.08 13.00 13.60 14.25 14.50	93	47 47 51	19	>20 3 >20	C1 N=50 (20 for 5mm/50 for C2 N=50 (25 for 50mm/50 for 25mm) C3 C4	, 40mm) or	34.45	(1.95) 12.25 (2.25) 14.50		Very we carbon strengt closer f Discont (20/75/ 2. 75-8: unstain Partialh patches Discont planar, surface 2. 65-8: 3. 0-25 patches	ak to weak (It aceous MUDS) n grey limesto in grey limesto inuities: 1. 10- 250), planar, s 6 degree joints ed. n strong (local n spaced thin I y weathered: S of brownish of inuities: 1. 25- smooth, some 5 degree joints of 6 degree joints of 6 of brownish of	cally mediu CONE with r ne. Distinctl g and up to 30 degrees mooth, uns probably n by strong) we beds of wea lightly redu orange stain 45 degree j patches of from 14.00- medium spa orange stain End of B	um strong) nedium sp ly weather 10mm of bedding fi tained up nedium sp ery thinly t k dark gre cced streng ing on frac oints med brownish -14.20m, p aced (20/2 ing on joir	very think aced thin l ed: Reduce clay infill b ractures cli to 10mm of aced, plan wedded gree y carbonac th, closer ture surfa um space orange sta lanar, smo 25/750), p it surfaces	y bedded di beds of me- ed strength etween join osely space of clay infill. ar, smooth, y LIMESTO reous muds fracture sp ces. d (20/250/5 ining on joi oth, unstai lanar, smoo	Ark grey dium , much nts. d NE with stone. acing, and io0), nt med. oth, some		
Remarks	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI							Core Barrel		Water	Strikes		Chi	relling Det	16.0
Hand dug inspect	tion ery of	pit e: 3.00r	xcava m com	ited t	to 1.20m						Core Barrel SK6L Flush Type	Struck at (m) 3.30 Water From (m) 5.00	Casing to (m) 3.00 Added To (m) 5.70	Time (min) 20 Casing To (m) 8.50	Rose to (m) 3.30 Details Diam (mm) 200	From (m) 8.30	To (m) 8.50	Time (hh:mm) 01:00

						Project	t No.:	Project	: Name:						Во	rehol	e No.:
	- 11	IC			,	19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan							RCO)3
		-G	FO	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:							S	heet	l of 1
		0		0.11		28817	1.16 E	Meath	County Council						Ĕ		
Method	Plan	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26673	6 67 N	Client's	Representative:						Sca	le:	1:50
Cable Percussion	Dano	do 25	500	0.00	1.10	20075	0.07 N	PUNCH	Consulting Enginee	ers					Dri	ller:	BM
						Ground	d Level:	Dates:									
						46.74	4 mOD	12/08/	2019 - 12/08/2019						LO	ger:	NH
(m)	ample / Tests	Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	(mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Legend		De	scription				Wate	Backf	11
						46 54	(0.20)		TOPSOIL								-
						40.34	- 0.20		MADE GROUND: Soft b	brownish gre Gravel is sub	y slightly : angular to	sandy slight	ly gravelly	/ CLAY. coarse			-
							- (0.60) -				ungulur ti	subround		courser			0.5 —
						45.94	- 0.80		MADE GROUIND: Dense	e grev sandv	angular t	o subangula	ar fine to c	narse	-		-
						45 64	_ (0.30) - 1.10		GRAVEL. Sand is fine to	o coarse.							1.0
							-			End of Bo	rehole at	1.10m					-
							-										1.5 —
							-										
							-										2.0
							-										-
							-										2.5
							-										-
							-										-
							-										3.0
							-										
							-										3.5 -
							-										
							-										4.0
							-										
							-										4.5
							-										-
							-										5.0
							-										-
							_										5.5
							-										-
							-										-
																	6.0
							-										-
							-										6.5 _
							-										
							-										7.0
							-										
							-										7.5
							-										
							-										8.0
							E										
							-										8.5
							-										
							-										a
							-										5.0
							-										
																	9.5 -
							-										
Remarks	an off t		0	akawa I. I	- i.e. I	of in a	then with			Struck at (m)	Water S	Strikes Time (min)	Rose to (m)	Chis From (m)	ellin	g Deta	ils ime (hh:mm)
Hand dug inspecti	on pit to) 1.1(um -	storm drair	i in base (ot inspec	tion pit				2					<u> </u>	/
										Water A	dded	Casing	etails				
										From (m)	To (m)	To (m)	Diam (mm)				
Terminated due to	presen	ce of	fstor	m drain in i	nspectior	n pit											

						Project	t No.:	Project	: Name:						Во	rehol	e No.:
	- 11	IC				19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan							RC0	3A
		-6	EO	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:							S	heet	l of 1
		0				28816	9.15 E	Meath	County Council						F		
Method	Plar	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26673	9 81 N	Client's	Representative:						Sca	ale:	1:50
Cable Percussion	Dan	do 2	500	0.00	1.20	20075	5.01 1	PUNCH	Consulting Enginee	ers					Dri	iller:	BM
						Ground	d Level:	Dates:	2010 12/00/2010							agor:	ΝЦ
Denth S	Sample /	Casing	Water			46.73	Denth (m)	12/08/.	2019 - 12/08/2019						LO3 ۳		
(m)	Tests	Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legend		De	scription				Wat	Backf	ill
						46.55	- (0.20) - 0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft h	prownish gre	w sandy g	ravelly CLA	V Sand is f	ine to	-		
							(0.50)		coarse. Gravel is suban	ngular to sub	rounded	fine to coar	se.				0.5
						46.05	- 0.70			o grov condu	angulart	o cubongu	lar fina ta		_		-
							(0.50)		GRAVEL. Sand is fine to	e grey sandy o coarse.	angular i	o subangu	lar nne to	coarse			-
						45.55	- 1.20			East of Da		1.00					
							-			End of Bo	renole at	1.20m					-
							-										1.5 -
							-										-
							-										2.0 -
							-										-
							-										2.5 -
							-										
							-										3.0
							-										3.5 —
							-										-
							-										4.0
							-										-
							-										4.5 —
							-										
							-										5.0
							-										-
							-										5.5 —
							-										-
							-										6.0
							-										-
							-										65
							-										-
							-										
							-										7.0
							-										-
							[7.5
							-										
																	8.0 -
							-										
							-										8.5 —
							-										9.0
							-										9.5
															-		
Remarks										Struck at (m)	Water	Strikes	Rose to /m)	Chi	sellin	g Deta	ils
Hand dug inspecti	ion pit to	5 1.20	0m -	storm drair	in base o	of inspec	tion pit			SUGA OL (III)	g to (III)	e (min)			10	,	
										Water	dded	Casing	Details				
										From (m)	To (m)	To (m)	Diam (mm)				
Terminated due to	o presen	ce of	fstor	m drain in i	nspectior	n pit											

							Project	: No.:	Project	t Name:	Boreho	ole No.:
H	CA	II.	S	E		r	19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan	RC	03B
H.			-G	EC	DTECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:		Sheet	t 1 of 2
							28815	9.93 E	Meath	County Council		
Method	P	lan		sed	Top	Base	26672	5.62 N	Client's	s Representative:	Scale:	1:50
Rotary Drilling		Han	jin 8	3D	8.30	8.50	Crown	d Louisli	PUNCH	I Consulting Engineers	Driller:	BM+KW
Rotary Coring		Han	jin 8	3D	8.50	15.70	46.6 ²	a Level:	16/08/	2019 - 28/08/2019	Logger	: NH
Depth	Sampl	e / 9	Casing	Water	Field Re	cords	Level	Depth (m)	Legend	Description	ja Bac	Lfill
(m)	Test	5	(m)	(m)	FIEIU KE	corus	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legenu	BITMAC	S Daci	
0.30	ES1						46.43	(8:18) (0:20)		MADE GROUND: Black slightly sandy angular to subangular fine to coarse		- 2000
0.50	B2						46.13	0.50		angular.	80000	0.5
										MADE GROUND: Soft black sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse.		<u>ا</u>
1.00	В3									MADE GROUND: Soft to firm brownish grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly		1.0
1.20 1.20 - 1.65	D10 SPT (S) 1	00	Drv	N=10 (2 2/3	3 2 2 3)				coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse. Cobbles are		- E
1.20 1.05	N=10	/ -		<i></i>	Hammer SN	v = 0643		-		subangular to subrounded.		1.5
								(2,70)				
2.00	B4											2.0
2.00 2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S) 1	50	Dry	N=5 (3,2/1,	1,1,2)						- Ka
	N=5				Hammer SN	N = 0643		-				2.5
												- Ka
3.00	B5							-				3.0
3.00 3.00 - 3.45	SPT (S) 3	8.00	Dry	N=8 (1,2/1,	2,2,3)	43.43	3.20	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Medium dense brown gravelly silty fine to coarse SAND. Gravel is		
	N=8				Hammer SN	N = 0643		-	×`×`×	subangular to subrounded fine to coarse.		3.5
									$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}$			
4.00	B6 D13							-	$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}$			4.0
4.00 - 4.45	SPT (S) 4	1.00	3.60	N=19 (3,4/5	5,5,5,4)		-	$\stackrel{\times}{} \stackrel{\times}{} \stackrel{\times}{} \stackrel{\times}{}$			
	N=19				Hammer SN	N = 0643		(2.80)	× × ×			4.5 —
									×			
5.00 5.00	B7 D14							-	$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$			5.0 —
5.00 - 5.45	SPT (S) 5	5.00	4.00	N=28 (6,7/6	5,7,7,8)			× × × ×			
	N=28				Hammer SN	N = 0643			×××			5.5 —
									°× × √× ×			
6.00 6.00	B8 D15						40.63	6.00		Very stiff grey sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is		6.0
6.00 - 6.45	SPT (S) 6	5.00	4.50	N=41	0 1 2 \		-		- subangular to subrounded fine to coarse.		
	N=41				Hammer SN	0,12) N = 0643				-		6.5 -
								(2.50)				7.0
												- 18
7.50 7.50	B9 D16											7.5 —
7.50 - 7.95	SPT (S N=49) 7	.50	5.00	N=49 (6.7/11.12	12 14)				-		
	+5				Hammer SN	N = 0643				-		8.0
8.20 - 8.25					N=50 (25 fo	or	38.13	8.50		Very stiff brownish grey slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with medium cobble		8.5 —
					25mm/50 f	nmer SN			$\dot{\mathbf{O}}$	coarse		
	100	0	0		= 0643 16-08-2019)			0-0-			9.0
								(3.70)	0.0			<u>_</u> _
9.70 - 9.78		\square			N=50 (25 fo	or			0-0-			- 2.5
9.70					50mm/50 f	Or nmer SN			0-0-0-			
					= 0200	IIII SIN		-				- 10.0
	TCR S	CR	RQD	FI				- 	Ut : Ut			
Remarks										Core Barrel Water Strikes Chis	elling Der	tails
Hand dug inspec	aon pi	t ex	cava	ated '	to 1.20m					SK6L 8.20	8.30	01:00
										Fluch Tune Water Added Casing Details		
										From (m) To (m) To (m) Diam (mm) 3.20 6.00 7.50 200		
Terminated on re	ecover	y of	3.7	0m c	ompetent c	core						

							Project	t No.:	Project	t Name	:						Boreho	le No.:
AA			IC				19-089	0	Buvind	a Hous	e, Navan						RC	03B
		-	-G	FC	DTECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:								Sheet	: 2 of 2
			Ŭ		12011		28815	9.93 E	Meath	County	Council							
Method	_	Plar	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26672	5.62 N	Client's	s Repre	sentative:						Scale:	1:50
Rotary Drilling	1	Hai	ao 20 njin 8	3D	8.30	8.30 8.50	-		PUNCH	l Consu	lting Engine	eers					Driller:	BM+KW
Rotary Coring		Hai	njin 8	3D	8.50	15.70	Ground	d Level:	Dates:	2010 -	28/08/2010	2					Logger	: NH
Depth							Level	Depth (m)	10/08/	2019 -	20/00/2013	-					-088ci	
(m)	ICK	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	coras	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legena	Vonucti	ff brownich ar				ith modium	cobblo		кпіі —
										and bo	ulder content.	Sand is fine	e to coarse	. Gravel is	subangular	fine to		10.5 —
	100	0	0							coarse								
11 20 11 21					N 50 (25 fe	_		-		2								11.0
11.20 - 11.21 11.20					5mm/50 for	r 5mm)				2								
					Hammer SN	I = 0200				•								11.5 —
	100	21	27						0-0-	5								201 - 201 -
	100	21	27				24.42	12 20	0-0-									12.0
							54.45	12.20		Weak (l	ocally very str	ong) indisti NF Distinct	nctly very	thinly bedo red: reduce	ded dark gro	ey closer		
12.60				10	C1			(0.60)		fracture	spacing, disc	olouration	on joint su	rfaces.				12.5 —
12.70							33.83	12.80		Discont (30/100	inuities: 1. 20)/300), planar,	smooth, pa	bedding fr atchy brow	actures, clo n staining	osely space on fracture	d surfaces.		
12.20					63					2. 40-6) degree joints	s, medium s	paced (15	0/250/300), planar, ro	ough,		13.0
13.20	100	07	50	4	C2 C3					Mediur	n strong to str	ong (locally	weak) ind	istinctly th	inly bedded	dark grey		-
	100	07	39							Discont	eous LIMESTO inuities: 1. 20-	NE. -35 degree	bedding fr	actures, clo	osely space	d		13.5
										(30/180)/500), planar,) degree joint	smooth, ur	nstained. maced (10	0/550/>90	0) undulati	ing rough		-
14 20				18						patchy	brown stains o	on joint surf	aces.	0,000,00	on anadiae			14.0
14.20								(2.90)		-								
14.50				5	C4			Ē		-								14.5
	100	0E	65	>20						-								
	100	60	05							-								15.0
				3														
15 70							20.02	15 70		-								.15.5 —
15.70							50.95	13.70				End of B	orehole at	15.70m				-
								Ē										16.0
																		-
																		16.5
																		-
																		17.0
																		-
																		17.5
								Ē										-
								Ē										18.0
								Ē										-
								Ē										18.5
								Ē										-
								-										19.0
								E										-
								E										19.5
								Ē										-
								Ē										20.0
								Ē										
																		20.5
Berneulus	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI									W/ato	Strikes		Chie	elling Det	tails
кетагкя Hand dug inspec	tion	pit e	xcava	ated	to 1.20m						Core Barre	Struck at (m)	Casing to (m)	Time (min)	Rose to (m)	From (m) 8.20	To (m) 8.30	Time (hh:mm) 01:00
											SK6L					5.20	5.50	51.00
											Flush Type	Water	Added	Casing	Diam (mm)			
Terminated on recov	erv of	3 70,	n corr	neter	at core							3.20	6.00	7.50	200			
	, 01	5.701		.pecel									1	1	1			I

COUNT Durate House, Name COOL COULD NUMBER NUM							Project	: No.:	Projec	t Name:							Boreho	ole N	lo.:
American Support of the Support of Support Support of Support of Support of Support of Support of Support o		CAI	15	E		,	19-089	0	Buvind	a House	e, Navan						R	C04	
Numbed Partial Code			-0	iec	DTECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:								Shee	t1o	of 2
Method Final Used Top Base Sold End V Forward Method Decide V Forward Method <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28818</td><td>4.45 E</td><td>Meath</td><td>County</td><td>Council</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td></th<>							28818	4.45 E	Meath	County	Council						<u> </u>		
Calcie Parcial Dana 2000 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Method	Pla	nt U	sed	Тор	Base	26672	6 73 N	Client'	s Repres	sentative:						Scale:	1:5	50
Index y Corrison Consistion 651 20.00 10	Cable Percussio	n Dan Coma	ndo 2 acchi	000 0.601	0.00	8.80	20072	0.75 N	PUNCH	l Consul	ting Engine	eers					Driller	: BN	1+JG
Upper begins / market	Rotary Coring	Coma	acchi	o 601	10.00	16.70	Ground	d Level:	Dates:										
Output Same / Solution Same / Solution <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>46.95</th> <th>5 mOD</th> <th>26/08/</th> <th>2019 - 2</th> <th>28/08/2019</th> <th>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Logger</th> <th>: NF</th> <th>1</th>							46.95	5 mOD	26/08/	2019 - 2	28/08/2019)					Logger	: NF	1
Add S Add S <th< th=""><th>Depth (m)</th><th>Sample / Tests</th><th>Casing Depth (m)</th><th>Water Depth (m)</th><th>Field Re</th><th>cords</th><th>Level (mOD)</th><th>Depth (m) (Thickness)</th><th>Legend</th><th></th><th></th><th>I</th><th>Descriptio</th><th>n</th><th></th><th></th><th>Bac</th><th>kfill</th><th></th></th<>	Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Legend			I	Descriptio	n			Bac	kfill	
0.00 N1 0.01 0							46.85			BITMAC									-
9.9 91 100 10							46.75	0.20		GRAVEL	with low cob	k slightly sa ble conten	andy angul t. Sand is fi	ar to suba ine to coar	ngular fine se.	to coarse /	1888		_
Loo Ref Loo Loo <thloo< th=""> <thloo< th=""> <thloo< th=""></thloo<></thloo<></thloo<>	0.50	B1								MADE G	ROUND: Soft	brownish g	grey slightl	y sandy sli	ghtly grave	lly CLAY.		• •	0.5 —
100 102 100										Sanuisi	ine to coarse	. Graver is s	upangular		nded inte ti	o coarse.			-
1.30 1.30	1.00	B2						-											1.0 -
No. 000 No. 01 Net of 12,00	1.20	D9 SPT (S)	1 00	Drv	N=9 (2 3/2	3 2 2)													_
S00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	1.20 1.00	N=9	1.00	.,	Hammer SN	V = 0643		(2.80)											1.5 _
2:00 2:00 8:8 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00								(2.00)											_
2.00 2.00	2.00	B3						-											2.0
2.00 - 2.45 91 (3) 1.20 (3) Medium (45) 4.395 3.00 3.00 3.01 3.00 94 3.00 3.01 3.00 94 3.00 3.01 3.00 94 3.00 94 3.00 94 3.00 3.01 <td>2.00</td> <td>D10</td> <td>1 50</td> <td>Draw</td> <td>N=C (2 2/2</td> <td>1 1 2)</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	2.00	D10	1 50	Draw	N=C (2 2/2	1 1 2)													-
3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 84 911 3.00 84 9111 3.00 84 9111 3.00	2.00 - 2.45	N=6	1.50	Dry	Hammer SN	1,1,2) 1 = 0643													2.5 -
3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 8.4 0.11 3.00 8.4 0.11 3.00 8.4 0.11 3.00 1.00 0.10 0.17 0.13/(3.4/4.4.5) 1.00 4.3.5 0.13/(3.4/4.4.5) 1.00 4.3.5 0.00 3.00 Medium dense forwer sight/regrantly silty fine to course SAND. Grand is biolongular to subrounded fine. 1.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>																			_
1.00 3.00 1.01 1.01 3.00 1.00 1.01 1.01 3.00 1.00 1.01 1.01 1.01 3.00 1.00 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	2.00	D 4					42.05	2.00											_
3.00 - 3.45 Nr 1 (S) 3.00 Pr (A) N-17 (A) (A) (A) (A) N-17 (A) (A) (A) (A) 4.00 4.01 4.01 4.01 Aunore SN = 0643 (2.40) 4.00 4.05 5.01 SPT (S) 5.00 N-12 (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) 5.01 SPT (S) 5.00 N-12 (C) (A) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	3.00	В4 D11					43.95	3.00	× × × ×	Medium	dense brow	n slightly gr	avelly silty	fine to co	arse SAND.	Gravel is			3.0
4.00 85 12 80 N=12 12 <th< td=""><td>3.00 - 3.45</td><td>SPT (S)</td><td>3.00</td><td>Dry</td><td>N=17 (3,4/4</td><td>1,4,4,5)</td><td></td><td></td><td>× × × × ×</td><td>subangu</td><td>liar to subrou</td><td>nded fine.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></th<>	3.00 - 3.45	SPT (S)	3.00	Dry	N=17 (3,4/4	1,4,4,5)			× × × × ×	subangu	liar to subrou	nded fine.							_
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		N=17			nammer si	N = 0043			× × × × ×										3.5 —
4.00 4.00 6.5 4.00 6.5 4.00 8.5 4.00 <									$\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}$										-
4.00 4.00 5.00	4.00	B5						-	$\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}{\overset{\times}$										4.0
N-12 N-12 Hammer SN = 0643 Stiff grey righthy gravelly cardy sity CLAX Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subargular to subrounded fine to coarse. Hammer SN = 0643 Hammer SN = 0643<	4.00 4.00 - 4.45	D12 SPT (S)	4.00	3.90	N=12 (2,2/2	2,3,3,4)		(2.40)	×`×`×										_
So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 N=43 (18,6/19,14,5,5) Hammer SN = 0643 41.55 Sof So0 So0 So0 So0 So1 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 N=43 (18,6/19,14,5,5) Hammer SN = 0643 So1 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0 So0		N=12			Hammer SN	I = 0643		-	×`×`×										4.5 —
5.00 5.00 5.00 7.00 N=15 (2/3,3,4,5) 41.55 5.40 Medium dense to dense brown silty fine to coarse SAND. 100 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.40 Medium dense to dense brown silty fine to coarse SAND. 100 5.00									× × ×										-
SOD SUD S	5.00	B6						_	××`×`×										5.0
5.00 - 5.45 SPF (5) 5.00 - 7.0 H=15 (2,73,3,4,5) Hammer SN = 0643 41.55 5.40 Medium dense to dense brown silty fine to coarse SAND. 5.00 5.0	5.00	D13							××`×`×										_
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5.00 - 5.45	SPT (S) N=15	5.00	4.70	N=15 (2,2/3 Hammer SN	3,3,4,5) \ = 0643	41.55	5.40	$\times \times $	Medium	dense to dei	nse brown	silty fine to	o coarse SA	ND				
6:00 6:00 6:00 87 014 \$PT (S) 5PT (S) 6:00 6:00 5:00 8.00 5:00 N=43 (166) (1.60) 1									××××	lincului			Sirry fine to						
6.00 67 014 6.00 5.90 N=43 1.60 <									$_{\times}^{\times}$ $_{\times}^{\times}$										_
6.00 - 6.45 SPT (5) N=3 6.00 S.90 (18.6/19.145.5) Hammer SN = 0643 39.95 7.00 Stiff grey slightly gravely sandy silty CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse. 7.50 7.50 D15 7.50 N=21 (3.4/5.5,5,6) Hammer SN = 0643 4.4.5	6.00 6.00	B7 D14						(1.60)	$_{\times}^{\times}$ $_{\times}^{\times}$										6.0
N=43 Stiff grey slightly gravelly sandy slight (LAY, Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse. N=43 <	6.00 - 6.45	SPT (S)	6.00	5.90	N=43)		(1.60)	× × × × ×										_
7.50 B8 D15 7.50 <t< td=""><td></td><td>N=43</td><td></td><td></td><td>(18,6/19,14 Hammer SN</td><td>1,5,5) 1 = 0643</td><td></td><td></td><td>××× ××××</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6.5 _</td></t<>		N=43			(18,6/19,14 Hammer SN	1,5,5) 1 = 0643			××× ××××										6.5 _
7.50 7.50									× × ×										_
7.50 B8 7.50 <							39.95	7.00	<u> </u>	Stiff grev	v slightly grav	ellv sandv s	silty CLAY. S	Sand is fine	to coarse.	Gravel is	- 83		7.0
7.50 B8 15 7.50 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>subangu</td><td>ilar to subrou</td><td>nded fine t</td><td>o coarse.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>									×	subangu	ilar to subrou	nded fine t	o coarse.						_
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7.50	B8						-	×	>									7.5 —
1.50 F A:30 SPT (5) 1.50 F A:30 Image: A (1,80) Image: A (1,80)<	7.50	D15	7 50	7 20	N-21 /2 //	5 5 6 (×	ž									-
8.80 - 8.88 SPT (S) 8.50 Dry N=50 (25 for 50m/50 for 25mm) Hammer SN = 0643 38.15 8.80 Very stiff grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded. 90 Image: Section pit excavated to 1.20m. Tork scr Rod Fi Image: Section pit excavated to 1.20m. Image: Section pit excavated to 1.2	7.50 - 7.55	N=21	7.50	1.50	Hammer SN	v = 0643		(1.80)	×	>									8.0
8.80 - 8.88 SPT (S) 8.50 Dry N=50 (25 for 50mm/50 for 25mm) Hammer SN = 0643 8.80 Very stiff grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded. Some for 25mm) Hammer SN = 0643 a a a a a a a a a a a b b Very stiff grey slightly sandy slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with low cobble and boulder content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded. a a a a a a a a a b b b b b b b b b b a a b b b b b b b a b b a b b a b b a b b b b b b a b b b b b b a b b a b a a b b a a a a a a a a a a a a a									×	>									_
8.80 - 8.88 SPT (S) 8.50 Dry N=50 (25 for 50mm/50 for 25mm) Hammer SN = 0643 38.15 8.80 Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse. Cobbles are subangular to subrounded. 9.0 8.80 26-05-2019 38.15 Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded. 9.0 1 1 2 26-05-2019 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									×	2									
8.80 - 8.88 SPT (S) 8.50 Dry N=50 (25 for SOMM/50 for 25MM) Hammer SN = 0643 38.15 8.80 Very stiff grey slightly gravelly CLAY with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded. 9.0 Image: Set of the								-	×	2									
Image: Section of the section of th	8.80 - 8.88	SPT (S)	8.50	Dry	N=50 (25 fo	or	38.15	8.80		Very stif	f grey slightly	sandy sligh	ntly gravell	y CLAY wit	h low cobb	le content.			_
Image: Solution recovery of 2.70m competent core Image: Solution recovery content core <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td>50mm/50 f</td><td>Or nmer SNI</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>Sand is f</td><td>ine to coarse</td><td>. Gravel is s</td><td>ubangular</td><td>to subrou</td><td>nded fine t</td><td>o coarse.</td><td></td><td></td><td>9.0 -</td></t<>					50mm/50 f	Or nmer SNI		-		Sand is f	ine to coarse	. Gravel is s	ubangular	to subrou	nded fine t	o coarse.			9.0 -
Image: See Root of 2.70m competent core 26-05-2019 Image: See Root of 2.70m competent core Image: Se					= 0643	CI 3N				Connies	are subaligui	ບັນເປັ	anaeu.						-
Image:			8.50	Dry	26-05-2019				م من من م من										9.5 —
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								-	م، میں م										_
Value								-	<u>م</u> م	Verv stif	f grevish brow	vn slightlv	sandv ørav	elly CLAY	with low co	bble and	- 3		10.0
TCR SCR RQD FI Image: Constraint of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the sectin of t										boulder	content. San	d is fine to	coarse. Gra	avel is suba	angular fine	to coarse.			_
Remarks Core Barrel Water Strikes Chiselling Details Hand dug inspection pit excavated to 1.20m. Core Barrel SKGL Time (min) Rose to (m) From (m) To (m) T		TCR SCR	RQD	FI					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L		1		_		Ĩ			
$\frac{ SK6L }{ Flush Type } = \frac{ Water Added Casing Details }{ From (m) } = \frac{ Water Added Casing Details }{ SK0 } = \frac{ Water Added Casing Details }{ SK0 } = \frac{ Vater Added Casing Details }{ $	Remarks	tion nit c	axcav	ated	to 1.20m						Core Barre	Struck at (m)	Wate Casing to (m	r Strikes	Rose to (m)	Chis From (m)	elling De To (m)	tails	[hh:mm)
Flush Type Water Added Casing Details From (m) To (m) To (m) Diam (mm) Terminated on recovery of 2.70m competent core Polymer 3.20 5.00 8.50 200	nana aug inspec	aon pit e	LACOV	uren	.0 1.20111.						SK6L					8.60	8.80	01	.:00
Terminated on recovery of 2.70m competent core										ŀ	Flore -	Wate	r Added	Casin	g Details	-			
Terminated on recovery of 2.70m competent core											Flush Type	From (m)	To (m)	To (m)	Diam (mm)	1			
	Terminated on r	ecovery c	of 2.7	0m c	ompetent c	ore					Polymer	5.20	5.00	0.50	200				

							Projec	t No.:	Project	t Name							Boreho	le No.:
H	C	Δ1	IS	E			19-089	90	Buvind	a House	e, Navan						RC	:04
H H			-G	EC	TECH		Coordi	inates:	Client:								Sheet	2 of 2
							28818	4.45 E	Meath	County	Council							
Method		Plar	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26672	6 73 N	Client's	s Repre	sentative:						Scale:	1:50
Rotary Drilling	n c	Dani Coma	ao 20 cchia	000 5 601	0.00 8.80	8.80 10.00	20072		PUNCH	l Consu	lting Engine	ers					Driller:	BM+JG
Rotary Coring	C	coma	cchio	601	10.00	16.70	Groun	d Level:	Dates:	2010	00/00/2010						Logger	NH
Donth							46.9	5 mOD	26/08/	2019 T	28/08/2019						LUgger.	
(m)	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	cords	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legend			C	escription	ו			acl	cfill
10.00 - 10.03					N=50 (25 fo	r or			Ô-,Ô-	Very sti	ff greyish brow content Sand	n slightly s	sandy grav	elly CLAY w	ith low co ngular fine	bble and		10.5
					20mm) Han	nmer SN			Ô-Ô-									-
	100	0	0		= 0209			(1.50)	Ô-,Ô-									11.0
									Ô-Ô-									
11.50 - 11.52					N=50 (25 fo	r	35.45	11.50	<u>0-0</u> -	Vorusti		h grou dig	athreadu	grouelly Cl	AV with lo	waabbla		11.5 —
11.50					10mm/50 fo	or omor SN		-		content	. Sand is fine to	o coarse. G	ravel is su	bangular fi	he to coars	e copple		
					= 0209			(4.70)										12.0
	100	0	0					-										-
12 50		-			0													12.5
12.50					62			(2.50)										- 10
12.00 12.01					N-50 (25 fe			(2.50)	م من م م									=
13.00 - 13.01 13.00					5mm/50 for	r 5mm)			م من م م									13.0
			_		Hammer SN	= 0209			م من م م									-
	100	0	0				33.45	13.50										13.5
									0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-									
14.00 14.00					C3		32.95	- 14.00		Mediun	n strong to ver	y strong (lo	cally very	weak) thin	ly bedded	dark grey		14.0
								-		argillace oxidatio	eous LIMESTO In staining on j	NE. Partially oint surfac	/ weathere es	ed: some p	atches of b	rown		
14.60					C4					Discont	inuities: 1. 5-2	5 degree be	edding fra	ctures med	ium space	d		14.5 —
	100	0	0							2. 65-85	degree joint f	rom 15.70	-16.70m, s	e oxidation tepped, sm	staining. looth, som	e orange		
								-		oxidatio	on staining on j	oint surfac	e baced (90/	(500/1000)	nlanar sr	nooth		15.0
								(2 70)		unstain	ed.	inculuiti si	Jaccu (50)	500/1000/	, pianai, si	10011,		
15.50								(200)										15.5
																		- -
16.00	100	71	20	-	C5			-										16.0
	100	/1	29	<i>'</i>				-		-								
								-										16.5 —
16.70							30.25	16.70		-		End of B	orehole at	16.70m				
																		17.0
																		-
																		17.5
								-										
																		18.0 -
								Ē										-
																		19 5 -
																		- 4.5
																		19.0
																		19.5 -
								-										20.0 —
								-										20.5
	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI														
Remarks	tia			tod	to 1 20						Core Barrel	Struck at (m)	Water Casing to (m)	Time (min)	Rose to (m)	Chis From (m)	To (m)	ails Time (hh:mm)
nanu uug inspec	แบท	pit e)	۸LdVá	ated l	.0 1.20M.						SK6L					8.60	8.80	01:00
												Water	Added	Casing	Details			
											Flush Type	From (m) 3.20	To (m)	To (m)	Diam (mm)			
Terminated on recov	ery o	f 2.70r	n con	npeter	nt core						rolymer	5.20	5.50	0.50	200			

							Project	: No.:	Project	t Name	:						Boreh	ole	No.:
		ι.	IS	E	MAY		19-089	0	Buvind	a Hous	e, Navan						R	C05	;
			-G	EC	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:								Shee	et 1 o	of 2
							28821	7.24 E	Meath	County	Council						<u> </u>		
Method	F	Plan	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26675	4.27 N	Client's	s Repre	sentative:						Scale:	1:	:50
Rotary Drilling	וו	Jano Har	ao 20 njin 8	3D00	5.00	5.00 7.00	20075		PUNCH	l Consu	Iting Engine	ers					Driller	: вм	N+KW
Rotary Coring		Har	njin 8	3D	7.00	12.60	Ground	d Level:	Dates:	2010	20/00/2010						Logge	r• N	
Denth	Samn	le /	Casing	Water			46.90	Denth (m)	27/08/	2019 I	29/08/2019						LUgger		T
(m)	Test	ts	Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Field Re	cords	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legend			D	escription	1			ੋ Bao	ckfill	
							46.80	(0: <u>1</u> 0) (0.20)		MADE (L GROUND: Grey	sandy suba	angular to	subrounde	ed fine to c	oarse	1		- 10
0.50	B1						46.60	0.30		GRAVE	Sand is fine to	coarse.	rov clight	u condu cli	abtly group		/		0.5
0.00	51									Sand is	fine to coarse.	Gravel is su	ubangular	to subrour	nded fine t	o coarse.			=
1.00	B2							(1.20)											10-
1.20	D7																		_
1.20 - 1.65	SPT (S	5)	1.20	Dry	N=9 (2,2/2,3	3,2,2) 1 - 0642	45.40	1 50											15
	N-9					- 0045	45.40	1.50	× × ×	Loose t	o medium dens	se brown si	lty fine to	coarse SAI	ND.				-
2.00	02								$_{\times}^{\times}_{\times}^{\times}$										-
2.00	D8								× × × × ×										
2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S N=7	5)	1.70	Dry	N=7 (1.5,1.5/1.5	,1.5,2,2)			× × × × ×										
					Hammer SN	= 0643		-	× × × × ×										2.5
2.00	D/								× × ×										-
3.00	D9							(3.20)	× × × ×										5.0
3.00 - 3.45	SPT (S N=12	5)	3.00	2.80	N=12 (2,2/3 Hammer SN	,3,3,3) I = 0643			× × × ×										-
									××										-
4.00	DE							-	××										40
4.00	в5 D10								××`										4.0
4.00 - 4.45	SPT (S N=15	5)	4.00	3.90	N=15 (2,3/4 Hammer SN	,3,4,4) I = 0643			Ĵx × x										-
							42.20	4.70	$\frac{1}{1}$										
								(0.30)	၀ို၀	BOULD	EK								5.0
5.00 5.00	B6 D11						41.90	5.00	\circ	Mediun	n dense very gr content. Grave	avelly silty el is subane	fine to co gular to su	arse SAND brounded	with low c fine to coa	obble and rse.			-
5.00 - 5.05	SPT (S	5)	5.00	4.90	N=50 (25 for	r			Ŏx [°] Ŏ	Cobble	and boulders	are subrou	nded.	brounded					
					25mm/50 fc 25mm) Harr	or nmer SN		(1 50)	\circ										-
5.50 - 5.95	SPT ((C)	5.50	5.40	= 0643 N=16 (3.3/3	.4.4.5)		(1.50)											60-
	N=16	-,			Hammer SN	1 = 0200		-											-
							10.40	6 50	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$	1									65
							40.40	(0.50)		Very sti Gravel i	ff grey slightly s s subangular to	sandy slight	tly gravell [,] ed fine to	/ CLAY. San coarse.	d is fine to	coarse.			-
								7 00											70-
							39.90	,		Very sti cobble	ff brownish gre content. Sand i	y slightly sa s fine to co	andy sligh arse. Grav	tly gravelly el is suban	CLAY with gular fine	low to coarse.			-
7.40					C1								2.01						75
	100	0	0																-
								-											80-
8.10 - 8.13 8 10	+				N=50 (25 for	r or													
5.20					10mm) Harr	nmer SN		(2.70)											85 -
					= 0200														
8.80	100	0	0		C2			_											90
									م من م من										- 1
																			9.5
9.60 - 9.62 9.60	+				N=50 (25 for 10mm/50 fo	r or 5mm)	37.20	9.70		Modium	n strong to sta	ong (localle	(Wook)	ay thinks to	ddod dool	arov	📕		
				14	Hammer SN	1 = 0200				argillac	eous LIMESTON	VE with me	dium spac	ed thin be	ds of weak	black			10.0
				14						carbona spacing	aceous mudsto and possibly sl	ne. Partiall lightly redu	ly weathe iced stren	red: slightly gth.	y closer fra	cture]:	=
	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI				- 						-				<u> </u>	
Remarks					4.00						Core Barrel	Struck at (m)	Water	Strikes	Rose to (m)	Chis	elling De	etails	e (hh:mm)
Hand dug inspec	πon p	ut ex	kcava	ated t	to 1.20m							in second and		(1111)		4.70	5.00	()1:00
											Flore 1 T	Water	Added	Casing	Details	-			
											Flush Type	From (m) 2.40	To (m) 5.00	To (m) 5.00	Diam (mm) 200				
Terminated on re	ecover	ry of	f 2.6	Om c	ompetent c	ore													

							Project	: No.:	Project	t Name:						Bore	ehole	No.:
	C	ΔΙ	15	E	MAY	,	19-089	0	Buvind	a House, Navan							RC05	;
H H			—G	EC	TECH		Coordi	nates:	Client:							Sh	eet 2	of 2
							28821	7.24 E	Meath	County Council								
Method		Plan	nt Us	sed	Тор	Base	26675	4.27 N	Client's	s Representative:						Scal	e: 1	:50
Rotary Drilling	n	Ha	ao 2 njin 8	000 8D	5.00	7.00	-		PUNCH	I Consulting Enginee	ers					Drill	er: B	M+KW
Rotary Coring		На	njin 8	8D	7.00	12.60	Ground	d Level:	Dates:	2010 20/08/2010							er. N	н
Denth							46.90	Denth (m)	27/08/	2019 - 29/08/2019						LUge		
(m)	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	ecords	(mOD)	(Thickness)	Legend		Des	scription				N S	Backfill	
10.40				10	C3					Medium strong to stro argillaceous LIMESTON	ong (locally w IE with medi	veak) very um space	thinly bed d thin beds	ded dark of weak	grey black		· []·	10.5
10.85	100	67	37	>20	C4					carbonaceous mudstor	ne. Partially	weathere	d: slightly	closer frac	cture		· []·	. –
11 10				7	64					Discontinuities: 1. 15-3	BO degree be	dding frac	n. tures, clos	ely space	d		· [] .	, 11.0
11.10										(30/150/350), planar, s 2 65-85 degree joints	smooth, unst probably me	ained. edium sna	ced plana	r smooth	stained		·]]·	
								(2.90)		greyish brown.	p. 000017	culuiti opc	eed) plaita	.,	stanica		·]]·	. 11.5
	100	100		12				-		-							• []•	
12.10	100	100	41		65			-		-							•	12.0
12.10					65			-		-							•	
				8						-							•	12.5
12.60							34.30	12.60			End of Bor	ehole at 1	2.60m			ĺľ	• — •	1 -
								-										13.0
								-										
																		13.5
								-										
																		14.0
																		-
																		14.5
																		-
																		-
								-										
																		_
																		15.5
								-										
																		16.0
								-										16.5
								-										
								-										17.0
																		17.5
								<u>-</u>										18.0
																		18.5
								-										19.0
								-										19.5
																		20.0
																		20.5 —
	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI				-								+		+
Remarks									1	Core Barrel	Charles and a local	Water 9	trikes	Does to ()	Chi	elling	Detail	S
Hand dug inspec	tion	pit e	xcava	ated	to 1.20m						SUUCK AT (M)	Leisnig to (m)	mile (min)	NUSE 10 (M)	4.70	10 (m 5.00	, Tim	01:00
											N/otar *	ddad	Casina	Dotaila				ſ
										Flush Type	From (m)	To (m)	To (m)	Diam (mm)				ſ
Terminated on recov	ery o	f 2.60i	m con	npeter	nt core						2.40	5.00	5.00	200				

						Project	No.:	Project Name:							Borehole No.:			
CALISEMAN						19-089	0	Buvind	Buvinda House, Navan							RC06		
GEOTECH					Coordi	nates:	Client:	Client:								1 of 2		
GLOTECH					288199.71 E		Meath County Council								Sheet 1 of 2			
Method	od Plant Used Top			Base	1		Client'	s Repre	sentative:						Scale:	1:50		
Cable Percussion	Dando 2000 0.00 9.70			266/3	8.88 N	PUNCH	l Consu	lting Enginee	ers									
Rotary Drilling Rotary Coring	Comacchio 601 9.70 10.00 Comacchio 601 10.00 17.50			Ground Level: 46.93 mOD		Dates:	Dates:											
						26/08/2019 - 29/08/2019								Logger: NH				
Depth	Sample /	Casing Depth	Water Depth	Field Records		Level	Depth (m)	Legend		Description					Back	fill		
(ffi) lests (m)			(m)			(mOD) 46.83	(Inickness) (A.1A)		BITMA	2						S		
						46.63	(0.20) 0.30		MADE	GROUND: Black	ish sandy s	ubangular	to subrou	nded fine t	to coarse		- 0000	
0.50	B1						-		MADE	GROUND: Firm I	o coarse. brownish g	rey slightl	y sandy gra	velly silty		00000	0.5 —	
									low cot	ble content. Sa	nd is fine t	o coarse.	Gravel is su	bangular t	0			
1.00	B2						_		subrou	nded fine to coa	arse. Cobbl	es are sub	angular to	subrounde	ed.		1.0	
1.20	D10																	
1.20 - 1.65	SPT (S)	1.00	Dry	N=10 (2,2/3	3,2,3,2)		(2.10)											
	N=10			Hammer Sf	N = 0643												- 1.5 -	
							-										- -	
2.00	B3						-										2.0	
2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S)	1.20	Dry	N=7		44.52	2.40											
	N=7			(1.5,1.5/1.5 Hammer St	5,1.5,2,2) N = 0643	44.53	2.40	××××	Mediur	n dense brown	gravelly sil	ty fine to o	oarse SAN	D. Gravel i	S		2.5	
					1 - 0043			$\times \times \times$	subang	ular to subroun	ded fine to	medium.					- K	
3.00	B4							×××									3.0	
3.00	D12	2 00	0.57	N-12/2 2/	2 2 2 4)			$\left(\begin{array}{c} \times & \times \\ \times & \times \end{array} \right)$									-	
3.00 - 3.45	N=13	3.00	Dry	Hammer SN	3,3,3,4) N = 0643			Îx X									35	
								××									- T	
								××××									<u>ا</u>	
4.00 4.00	B5 D13						-	××××									4.0	
4.00 - 4.45	SPT (S)	4.00	3.90	N=14 (2,3/4	4,3,3,4)			×××										
	N=14			Hammer SN	N = 0643		-	× × × × ×									4.5 -	
								$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}$										
5.00	B6						(5.10)	$\times \times \times$									5.0 —	
5.00 5.00 - 5.45	D14 SPT (S)	5.00	4 80	N=14 (2 3/3	3 3 4 4)			$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}$										
5.00 5.45	N=14	5.00	4.00	Hammer SN	N = 0643			$\times \times \times \times$									5.5 -	
								× × ×									- -	
6.00	D 7							× × ×									-	
6.00	D15							× × ×										
6.00 - 6.45	SPT (S)	6.00	5.20	N=18 (2,3/3	3,4,5,6)			×××××									[] 슈퍼 - 	
	IN-10				v – 0043			××× ×××									6.5 —	
								$\times \times \times$										
							-	$\times \times \times$									7.0	
								$\times \times \times$									- E	
7.50	B8					39.43	7.50	XX	Firm gr	ov slightly grave	ally sandy o		Sand is fin	e to coarse	a Gravel is	-	7.5 —	
7.50 7.50 - 7 95	D16 SPT (S)	7 50	7 30	N=15 (3 3/3	3.4.4 4)		-	XXXX	subang	ular to subroun	ded fine to	coarse.	20.10 13 111					
	N=15			Hammer SN	N = 0643		-	$\times \times \times$	<								8.0	
							(150)	$\times \times \times$	<									
							[$\times \times \times$										
							-	$\times \times $										
							E E	$(\times \times $	<									
9.00 9.00	B9 D17					37.93	9.00		Very sti	ff grey slightly s	andy sligh	tly gravelly	CLAY. San	d is fine to	coarse.		9.0 -	
9.00 - 9.40	SPT (S) 9.00 8.40 N=50 (10,12/50 for		2/50 for		(1.00)		Gravel i	is subangular to	subround	ed fine to	coarse.				<u></u> (新) -			
				245mm) Ha	ammer		/										9.5	
				5.1 = 0045			Ē											
			-			36 03	10.00	a. 10°0	Verv c+i	ff brownish gro	v slightly c	andv slight	ly gravelly	CLAY with	low		10.0 —	
					30.93	.93 Very stiff brownish grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY with low				brounded								
	TCR SCR	RQD	FI					<u></u>										
Remarks										Core Barrel	Struck at (m)	Water	Strikes	Rose to (m)	Chis	elling Det	Time (bb:mm)	
Hand dug inspec	tion pit e	excav	ated	to 1.20m						SK6L	Sciuck dt (III)	cooring co (in)	e (mm)		9.40	9.70	01:00	
												A al cl = 1	6 -1	Det="				
										Flush Type								
Terminated on recovery of 3.20m competent core																		
·																		

							Project	No.:	Project	t Name	:						Boreho	le No.:
CALISEMAN							19-0890		Buvinda House, Navan							R	206	
GEOTECH					Coordi	nates:	Client:								Shoot 2 of 2			
GLOTECH			/ILCH		288199.71 E		Meath	County	Council						Jieco	2012		
Method Plant Used Top			Тор	Base			Client's Representative:									1:50		
Cable Percussion	ussion Dando 2000 0.00 9.70			9.70	266738.88 N		PUNCH	l Consu	lting Engine	ers					Drillor	PMUC		
Rotary Drilling	Rotary Drilling Comacchio 601 9.7 Rotary Coring Comacchio 601 10.			9.70	10.00 17 50	Ground	d Level:	Dates:								Driller:	BIVI+JG	
,					46.93	3 mOD	26/08/	2019 -	29/08/2019				Logger: NH					
Depth	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Field Re	cords	Level	Depth (m)	Legend		Description						Jater Bacl	kfill
9.70 - 9.78					N=50 (25 fo	r	(mob)		a	Very sti	ff brownish gro	ey slightly s	andy sligh	tly gravelly	CLAY with	n low	5	-
					30mm/50 fo	or Smor SN		Ē		cobble	content. Sand	is fine to co	oarse. Grav	vel is subar	ngular to s	ubrounded		
	100	0	0		= 0643	IIIIEI SIN		Ē		inte to i	Julise.							1991 - E
10.00 - 10.04	100	Ũ	Ū		N=50 (25 fo	r		-	م، من م م									11.0
					25mm) Han	nmer SN		(2.10)	 0°0									
11 50 - 11 52					= 0209 N=50 (25 fo	r		-										11.5 —
11.50					10mm/50 fc	or												-
					15mm) Han = 0209	nmer SN	2/ 82	12 10										12.0
	100	0	0				54.05	12.10		Very sti Gravel i	ff brownish gro s subangular f	ey slightly s ine to coars	andy grav	elly CLAY. S	and is fine	to coarse.		
								Ē			5 Subungular 1		JC.					12.5 —
								(1.10)										
13.00 - 13.01					N=50 (25 fo	r		-										13.0
13.00					5mm/50 for	5mm)	33.73	13.20			66 haaraa in haaraa					- l- l- l -	- 2012	-
					Hammer SN	= 0209		-		content	. Sand is fine t	ey slightly s to coarse. G	andy grav Gravel is su	bangular f	ine to coar	se		
								(0.95)										13.5
	100	23	23						0.0 0.0 0.0									98 -
							32.78	- 14.15	2.0 2.0 .0								-	14.0
				5						Weak (l carbona	ocally medium aceous MUDS1	n strong to IONE with I	very stron medium si	g) thinly be baced thin	edded darl beds of m	c grey edium		
14.50					C1			-		strong I	ight grey limes	stone. Parti	ally weath	ered: Sligh	tly reduce	d strength,		14.5 —
14.50										slightly Discont	close fracture inuities: 1. 0-3	spacing. O degrees l	bedding fr	actures clo	sely space	d		1000
								-		(50/100)/250), planar,	smooth, ui	nstained.			4 -		15.0
15.20	100	89	0	17	C2					unstain	ed.	s probably r	neaium sp	aced, und	ulating, sm	iooth,		
								-										
								(2.25)										
16.00								(3.35)										16.0
																		16.5
	100	07	67	11														- 19 19
16.80	100	57	07	11	C3													-
								-										
								-										·양기 = (기가
17.50							29.43	17.50				End of B	orehole a	t 17.50m				17.5
																		18.0
																		18.5 -
																		-
								<u>-</u> -										19.0
								-										-
								-										19.5 —
																		-
																		20.0 —
								-										
																		20.5
	TCP	SCP	ROP					-									+ $-$	
Remarks	. urt	700		1.0	I		1	1	1	I	Core Barrel		Wate	r Strikes		Chis	selling De	tails
Hand dug inspec	tion	pit e	xcava	ated	to 1.20m						SK6I	Struck at (m)	Casing to (m) Time (min)	Rose to (m)	From (m) 9.40	To (m) 9.70	Time (hh:mm) 01:00
											5.002							
											Flush Type	Water	r Added	Casing To (m)	Diam (mm)	-		
Terminated on recovery of 3.20m competent core									Polymer	3.30	5.50	9.70	200	1				
	y 01	5.201		.petel												1		



APPENDIX C CORE PHOTOGRAPHS



RC01 Box 2 (9.70 to 11.20m)



Buvinda House - Mounted Soil and Rock Core Photographs Report No.: 19-0890 BOVINDA HOUSE NAVAN Project No.: 19-0890 CAUSEWAY Depth: 8.50 - 10.00m RC2 BH No. 1 RC02 Box 1 (8.50 to 10.00m) Project No.: 19-0890 BOVINDA HOUSE NAVAN CAUSEWAY Depth: 10.00 - 11.50~ RC2 2 RC02 Box 2 (10.00 to 11.50m) CAUSEWAY BOVINDA HOUSE NAVAN Project No.: 19-0890 RC2 Box: 3 Depth: 11.50 - 13.00m RC02 Box 3 (11.50 to 13.00m) Project No.: 19-0890 BOVINDA HOUSE NAVAN CAUSEWAY RC2 4 Depth: 13.00 - 14.50.

RC02 Box 4 (13.00 to 14.50m)



Buvinda House – Mounted Soil and Rock Core Photographs Report No.: 19-0890 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Bouvinde House Navev Project No.: 19-0890 Image: Bouvinde House Navev Pro

RC03B Box 1 (8.50 to 9.70m)



RC03B Box 2 (9.70 to 11.20m)



RC03B Box 3 (11.20 to 12.70m)



RC03B Box 4 (12.70 to 14.20m)



RC03B Box 5 (14.20 to 15.70m)



Buvinda House – Mounted Soil and Rock Core Photographs Re

Report No.: 19-0890



RC04 Box 1 (10.00 to 11.50m)



RC04 Box 2 (11.50 to 13.00m)



RC04 Box 3 (13.00 to 14.00m)



RC04 Box 4 (14.00 to 15.50m)



RC04 Box 5 (15.50 to 16.70m)



Report No.: 19-0890

Buvinda House - Mounted Soil and Rock Core Photographs



RC05 Box 1 (7.00 to 8.10m)



RC05 Box 2 (8.10 to 9.60m)



RC05 Box 3 (9.60 to 11.10m)



RC05 Box 4 (11.10 to 12.60m)



Buvinda House – Mounted Soil and Rock Core Photographs

Report No.: 19-0890



RC06 Box 1 (10.00 to 11.50m)



RC06 Box 2 (11.50 to 13.00m)



RC06 Box 3 (13.00 to 14.50m)



RC06 Box 4 (14.50 to 16.00m)



RC06 Box 5 (16.00 to 17.50m)





APPENDIX D TRIAL TRENCH SKETCHES







APPENDIX E TRIAL TRENCH PHOTOGRAPHS

Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01


Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT01



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890 Buvinda House, Navan CAUSEWAY GEOTECH Bovinda House Project No.: 19-0890 Date: 29-8-19 EH No.: TTO2

Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02



Report No.:19-0890



Trial Trench TT02





APPENDIX F GROUND WATER AND GAS MONITORING RECORDS



Job No: 19-0890 Job Name: Buvinda House Ground Water Monitoring Results



10:30

15:45

EH No:	Depth to base of	Water Level				
En No.	installation (mbgl)	29.08.2019	10.10.2019	17.10.19		
RC01 (rz 1.50-8.00m)	7.85	6.91m	4.65m	6.70m		
RC04 (rz 0.50-3.50m)	3.40	DRY	DRY	DRY		
RC05 (rz 10.00-12.60m)	12.45	5.07m	4.90m	4.90m		
	-	-		•		

Time of readings

09:15

Groundwater Ground Gas Monitoring

Site:	Buvinda House	Equipment:	Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000			
Project No.:	19-0890	Ambient	Barometric	CH (%)	CO (%)	0 (%		
Date:	29/08/2019	Conditions	Pressure	CH ₄ (%)	$CO_2(70)$	$0_2(7)$		
Weather:	Dry, mild, cloudy	Before:	1013	0.1	0.1	21.5		
		After:	1013	0.1	0.1	21.5		

RC01	Gas readings					
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	
30	1.4	3.9	10.1	1	0	
60	1.4	4.1	9.9	1	0	
90	1.4	4.4	9.6	1	0	
120	1.6	4.5	9.2	1	0	
150	1.8	4.5	9.1	1	0	
180	1.9	4.6	8.9	1	0	
240	1.9	4.6	8.7	1	0	
300	1.9	4.6	8.6	1	0	

Flow rates					
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)				
30	0.1				
60	0.1				
90	0.1				
120	0.1				
150	0.1				
180	0.1				
240	0.1				
300	0.1				

Flow rates

Time (sec)

30 60

90

120

150

180

300

0

Flow (l/h)

-0.1

-0.1

-0.1

-0.1

0.0

0.0 0.0 0.0

Flow (l/h)

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1	21.5	0	0
Groundwate	r monitoring		mbgl
Depth	6.91		
Sam	Ν		
Sa	N/A		

CO (ppm)

0

H₂S (ppm)

0

0₂ (%)

21.5

RC04			Gas readings					
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	CO_{2} (%) O_{2} (%) CO (ppm) $H_{2}S$ (ppm)					
30	0.1	2.7	14.1	0	0			
60	0.1	2.7	14.1	0	0			
90	0.1	2.8	13.9	0	0			
120	0.1	2.9	13.9	0	0			
150	0.1	3.1	13.8	0	0			
180	0.1	3.0	13.7	0	0			
240	0.1	3.2	13.5	0	0			
300	0.1	3.2	13.5	0	0			

RC05

Time (sec)

30

60

90

120

150

180

240

300

CH₄ (%)

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

C

3.0

16.6

3.2	13.5	0	0	240	C
3.2	13.5	0	0	300	C
	Gas readings			Flow	rates
:0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	Time (sec)	Flov
2.9	16.7	1	0	30	C
2.9	16.8	1	0	60	C
2.9	16.8	1	0	90	C
2.9	16.8	1	0	120	C
2.9	16.7	1	0	150	C
3.0	16.7	1	0	180	C
3.0	16.7	1	0	240	C

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	DRY
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	5.07
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A



Groundwater Ground Gas Monitoring

Site:	Buvinda House	Equip
Project No.:	19-0890	Aml
Date:	10/10/2019	Conc
Weather:	Cold, dry	Bef

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH ₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
Before:	995	0.1	0.1	21.4	0	0
After:	995	0.1	0.1	21.3	0	0

RC01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	1.7	4.6	7.7	2	0
60	2.4	6.2	1.8	2	0
90	2.5	6.4	1.1	2	0
120	2.5	6.4	0.8	2	0
150	2.5	6.5	0.7	1	0
180	2.5	6.5	0.5	1	0
240	2.6	6.6	0.4	0	0
300	2.6	6.6	0.3	0	0

Flow rates					
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)				
30	0.1				
60	0.1				
90	0.1				
120	0.1				
150	0.1				
180	0.1				
240	0.1				
300	0.1				

Flow rates

Flow (l/h)

0.1

0.1

0.1 0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1 0.1

Time (sec)

30

60

90

120 150

180

240

300

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	4.65
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A

RC04	Gas readings					
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	
30	0.1	2.3	14.7	0	0	
60	0.1	2.9	14.0	0	0	
90	0.1	3.1	13.4	0	0	
120	0.1	3.2	13.3	0	0	
150	0.1	3.4	13.0	0	0	
180	0.1	3.6	12.7	0	0	
240	0.1	4.0	12.2	0	0	
300	0.1	4.2	11.9	0	0	

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	DRY
Sample collected (Y/N)	N
Sample depth (m)	N/A

RC05		Gas readings					rates
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)
30	0.1	3.0	16.3	1	0	30	0.1
60	0.1	3.0	16.3	1	0	60	0.1
90	0.1	3.1	16.3	1	0	90	0.1
120	0.1	3.1	16.3	1	0	120	0.1
150	0.1	3.1	16.3	1	0	150	0.1
180	0.1	3.1	16.3	1	0	180	0.1
240	0.1	3.0	16.4	1	0	240	0.1
300	0.1	3.0	16.4	0	0	300	0.1

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	4.90
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A



Groundwater Ground Gas Monitoring

Site:	Buvinda House	Equip
Project No.:	19-0890	Am
Date:	17/10/2019	Cond
Weather:	Cold, dry	Bei

Equipment: Geotechnical Instruments GA5000						
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH ₄ (%) CO ₂ (%) O ₂ (%) CO (ppm) H ₂ S				
Before:	990	0.2	0.1	21.6	0	0
After:	990	0.2	0.1	21.6	0	0

RC01			Gas readings		
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	2.8	6.5	3.5	0	0
60	2.8	6.6	0.4	0	0
90	2.8	6.6	0.3	0	0
120	2.8	6.6	0.3	0	0
150	2.8	6.6	0.3	0	0
180	2.8	6.6	0.2	0	0
240	2.8	6.6	0.2	0	0
300	2.8	6.7	0.1	0	0

	Flow rates				
	Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)			
	30	0.1			
	60	0.1			
	90	0.1			
	120	0.1			
	150	0.1			
	180	0.1			
	240	0.1			
]	300	0.1			

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	6.70
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A

RC04	Gas readings					
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	
30	0.3	3.5	11.2	0	0	
60	0.2	3.4	11.4	0	0	
90	0.2	3.4	11.4	0	0	
120	0.2	3.4	11.4	0	0	
150	0.2	3.5	11.4	0	0	
180	0.2	3.6	11.3	0	0	
240	0.2	3.7	11.2	0	0	
300	0.2	3.9	11.0	0	0	

300	0.1				
Flow rates					
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)				
30	0.1				
60	0.1				
90	0.2				
120	0.2				
150	0.2				
180	0.2				
240	0.2				
300	0.2				

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	DRY
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water (m)	4.90
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth (m)	N/A

RC05			F	low ra	ates			
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)	Time (s	ec)	Flow (l/h)
30	0.2	3.0	16.5	1	0	30		0.1
60	0.2	3.0	16.6	1	0	60		0.2
90	0.2	3.0	16.7	1	0	90		0.2
120	0.2	3.0	16.7	1	0	120		0.2
150	0.2	2.9	16.7	1	0	150		0.2
180	0.2	2.9	16.7	1	0	180		0.2
240	0.2	2.9	16.7	0	0	240		0.2
300	0.2	2.9	16.7	0	0	300		0.2





APPENDIX G GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS





HEAD OFFICE

Registered in Northern Ireland. Company Number: NI610766

REGIONAL OFFICE

Causeway Geotech (IRL) Ltd Unit 3 Balbriggan Business Park, Balbriggan Co Dublin, Ireland, K32 EH36 ROI: +353 (0)1 526 7465

> Registered in Ireland. Company Number: 633786

www.causewaygeotech.com

SOIL AND ROCK SAMPLE ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEST REPORT

24 September 2019

Project Name:	me: Buvinda House, Navan			
Project No.:	19-0890			
Client:	Meath County Council			
Engineer:	PUNCH Consulting Engineers			

We are pleased to attach the results of laboratory testing carried out for the above project. This memo and its attachments constitute a report of the results of tests as detailed in the Contents page(s).

The attached results complete the testing requested and we would therefore wish to confirm that samples will be retained without charge for a period of 28 days from the above date after which they will be appropriately disposed of unless we receive written instructions to the contrary prior to that date.

We trust our report meets with your approval but if you have any queries or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

topen Woton

Stephen Watson Laboratory Manager Signed for and on behalf of Causeway Geotech Ltd













Project Name: Buvinda House, Navan

Report Reference: Soil Schedules 1 & 2

The table below details the tests carried out, the specifications used, and the number of tests included in this report.

Tests marked with* in this report are not United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) accredited and are not included in Causeway Geotech Limited's scope of UKAS Accreditation Schedule of Tests. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.

Material tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications	No. of results included in the report
SOIL	Moisture Content of Soil	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 3.2	9
SOIL	Liquid and Plastic Limits of soil-1 point cone penetrometer method	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 4.4, 5.3 & 5.4	7
SOIL	Particle size distribution - wet sieving	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 9.2	17
SOIL	Particle size distribution - sedimentation hydrometer method	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 9.5	13
SOIL	Undrained shear strength – triaxial compression without measurement of pore pressure (loads from 0.12 to 24 kN)	BS 1377-7: 1990: Cl 8	1

SUB-CONTRACTED TESTS

In agreement with Client, the following tests were conducted by an approved sub-contractor. All subcontracting laboratories used are UKAS accredited.

Material tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications	No. of results included in the report
SOIL – Subcontracted to Chemtest Ltd (UKAS 2183)	pH Value of Soil		10
SOIL – Subcontracted to Chemtest Ltd (UKAS 2183)	Sulphate Content water extract		10
SOIL – Subcontracted to Chemtest Ltd (UKAS 2183)	Organic Matter Content		3

CAUSEWAY GEOTECH					Summar	y of (Clas	sific	ation	Test	Re	sul	ts	
Project No.	0800		Project Name Buvinda House, Navan											
	1090	Saı	ample		nple		Density W Passing		PI PI Particle					
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре	Soil Description	bulk	dry		425µm				density	Casagrande Classification
			<u> </u>		ļ	Mg/m	າ3	%	%	%	%	%	Mg/m3	
RC01	11	2.00		D	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY with some cobbles.			15.0	57	24 -1pt	15	9		CL
RC01	16	7.50		U	Greyish brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			9.7	60	24 -1pt	15	9		CL
RC02	8	2.00		D	Greyish brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			6.8						
RC02	14	6.00		D	Greyish brown sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY.			16.0	76	26 -1pt	14	12		CL
RC03B	10	1.20		D	Grey sandy very gravelly silty CLAY.			8.9	40	32 -1pt	21	11		CL
RC04	15	7.50		D	Grey sandy slightly gravelly SILT/CLAY.			9.1	44	21 -1pt	15	6		ML/CL
RC05	8	2.00		D	Greyish brown silty fine to coarse SAND.			12.0						
RC06	10	1.20		D	Brownish grey slightly sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			20.0	86	41 -1pt	21	20		СІ
RC06	17	9.00		D	Greyish brown sandy slightly gravelly SILT.			16.0	82	20 -1pt	NP			
All tests perfe	ormed	l in acco	rdance v	with B	S1377:1990 unless specifie	d otherw	ise						LA	B 01R Version 4
Key Density Linear m wd - wat wi - imm	test neasure ter displ nersion	ment unles acement in water	s :	Liquid L 4pt con cas - C 1pt - si	_imit Particle ie unless : sp - sn :asagrande method gj - ga: nole point test	e density nall pyknom s jar	neter	Date F	[•] rinted 24/09/20	119	Appr	oved	Ву	





LAB 05R Version 4

Approved

26

21

13

0.212

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson



Particle density	(assumed)	Remarks
2.65	Mg/m3	Preparation

Grading Analysis		
D100	mm	
D60	mm	2.74
D30	mm	0.058
D10	mm	0.00457
Uniformity Coefficient		600
Curvature Coefficient		0.27

and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

68

66

63 56

51

45

43

41

39

36

32

6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212 0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson



28	90	0.0050	11
20	88	0.0029	4
14	84	0.0015	0
10	78		
6.3	72		
5	70		
3.35	66		
2	60		
1.18	55		
0.6	50	Particle density	(assumed)
0.425	47	2.65	Mg/m3
0.3	44		
0.212	41		
0.15	38		
0.063	33		
	Approved		

Stephen.Watson

Grading Analysis		
D100	mm	
D60	mm	1.97
D30	mm	0.0558
D10	mm	0.00469
Uniformity Coefficient		420
Curvature Coefficient		0.34

Remarks

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



LAB 05R Version 4



, pp. orea

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4

CAUSEWAY GEOTECH				PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION							Job Ref			19-0890	
			ECH								Borehole	/Pit No.		RC02	
Sit	e Nan	ne		Buvinda House	uvinda House, Navan							lo.		12	
Soil Description				Greyish brown sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY.						Depth, m				6.00	
Sp	Specimen Reference			2		Specimen Depth		6 r		m	Sample Type			В	
Те	Test Method			BS1377:Part 2:1990, clauses 9.2 and 9.5							KeyLAB ID		Ca	Caus2019090923	
	-			SILT				SAND			GRAVEL				
	100	CLAY	Fin	e Medium	Coarse	e Fine	Med	lium	Coarse	Fine	Medium	Coarse	COBBLES	BOULDERS	
%	100														
	90														
	80								1						
	70	-					\checkmark								
ssing	60						_								
ge Pa	50														
centaç	40														
Perc	30	<u> </u>													
	20														
	10	-													
	.0														
	0.	001	-	0.01		0.1			1		10		100	1000	
		Particle Size mm													
		Sie		ving		Sedimentatio		<u>n</u>		Dry Mass of sample, g			513		
	Par	Particle Size mm		% Passing	Partio	cle Size mm	% P	Passing							
		125		100		0.0606	57			Sample Pro	ortions			% dry mass	
	90			100		0.0458	49		_	Gravel		15			
	63			100		0.0331		45		Sand			28		
	50		100		0.0173		39		Silt		43				
	37.5		100		0.0093		29		Clay			1	15		
	28			100		0.0048		23					•		
	20			100	100			16		Grading Analysis					
	14			98		0.0015		13		D100	mm				
		10		95						D60 mm		0.086			
		6.3		92						D30 mr		mm	0.00962		
		5		91						D10	mm		4		
	3.35			89					_	Uniformity Coefficient					
	<u> </u>	2		85					_	Curvature C	oefficient				
	<u> </u>	1.18		82		alo des -1	1000	d)	—	Domarler					
	0.6			//	Particle density (ass			ed) Remarks			77-2 -1000	ss noted below			
	 	0.3 72 2.65 Mg/m3					—	rieparation and	coung in accord	ance willi 6513.	,-2 .1390 UNIE	SS HOLEG DEIUW			
		0.3)	/2										+	
		0.212	<u>.</u>	65											
	0.063 57														
		0.005	•	57	11									=(≯≮)=	
				Approve	ł										
												Vorsies 4		TESTING	
	Stephen.Watson										LAB 05R	version 4		10122	





Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4


		-		
Cobbles		0		
Gravel		37		
Sand		43		
Silt		18		
Clav		2		
Cidy				
Grading Analysis				
Grading Analysis	mm			
Grading Analysis D100 D60	mm	1.47		
Grading Analysis D100 D60 D30	mm mm	1.47 0.21		

Remarks

Uniformity Coefficient

Curvature Coefficient

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



110

2.2

Approved

77

73

63

58

48

41

35

30

26

20

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson





Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4

10122



Approved

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4

10122



LAB 05R Version 4

10122

Approved

Stephen.Watson





Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

31

26

25

23

21

20

17

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4



Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4

10122





LAB 05R Version 4

10122

Approved

Stephen.Watson





The right chemistry to deliver results Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Report No.:	19-30884-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	19-Sep-2019		
Client	Causeway Geotech Ltd		
Client Address:	8 Drumahiskey Road Balnamore Ballymoney County Antrim BT53 7QL		
Contact(s):	Carin Cornwall Colm Hurley Darren O'Mahony Gabriella Horan Joe Gervin John Cameron Lucy Newland Matthew Gilbert Neil Haggan Paul Dunlop Paul McNamara Sean Ross Stephen Franey Stephen McCracken Stephen Watson Stuart Abraham Tom McIntyre		
Project	19-0890 Buvinda House Navan		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	13-Sep-2019
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	13-Sep-2019
No. of Samples:	10		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	5	Results Due:	19-Sep-2019
Date Approved:	19-Sep-2019		
Approved By:			

APP



Chemtest Ltd. <u>Depot Roa</u>d Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com



Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd	Chemtest Job No.:			19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	19-30884	
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	888438	888439	888440	888441	888442	888443	888444	888445	888446
Order No.:		Clie	nt Samp	le Ref.:	11	8	8	14	10	15	15	8	10
		Sa	ample Lo	ocation:	RC01	RC01	RC02	RC02	RC03B	RC03B	RC04	RC05	RC06
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL								
			Top De	pth (m):	2	7.5	2	6	1.2	6	7.5	2	1.2
		Date Sampled (\$):			12-Sep-2019								
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	12	8.6	9.1	11	3.5	6.5	12	11	12
pH	U	2010		N/A	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.6	9.0	9.0	8.7	8.5	8.5
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	0.015	0.14	0.035	0.11	0.013	0.016	0.081	< 0.010	0.047
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	0.72				< 0.40				0.62



Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		ob No.:	19-30884					
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	ple ID.:	888447				
Order No.:		Clie	le Ref.:	17				
		Sample Location:						
			e Type:	SOIL				
			oth (m):	9				
		led (\$):	12-Sep-2019					
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD				
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	7.3			
рН	U	2010		N/A	8.4			
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	0.10			
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40				

The right chemistry to deliver results

Report Information

Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"
- \$ This information has been supplied by the client and can affect the integrity of test data.

Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation

The results relate only to the items tested

Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request

None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected

All results are expressed on a dry weight basis

The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry

weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols

For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis

All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory

Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt Charges may apply to extended sample storage

If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to:

 $\underline{customerservices@chemtest.com}$



HEAD OFFICE

Registered in Northern Ireland. Company Number: NI610766

REGIONAL OFFICE

Causeway Geotech (IRL) Ltd Unit 3 Balbriggan Business Park, Balbriggan Co Dublin, Ireland, K32 EH36 ROI: +353 (0)1 526 7465

> Registered in Ireland. Company Number: 633786

www.causewaygeotech.com

3 October 2019

SOIL AND ROCK SAMPLE ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Project Name:	Buvinda House, Navan
Project No.:	19-0890
Client:	Meath County Council
Engineer:	PUNCH Consulting Engineers

We are pleased to attach the results of laboratory testing carried out for the above project. This memo and its attachments constitute a report of the results of tests as detailed in the Contents page(s).

The attached results complete the testing requested and we would therefore wish to confirm that samples will be retained without charge for a period of 28 days from the above date after which they will be appropriately disposed of unless we receive written instructions to the contrary prior to that date.

We trust our report meets with your approval but if you have any queries or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

John Witn

Stephen Watson Laboratory Manager Signed for and on behalf of Causeway Geotech Ltd









1





Project Name: Buvinda House, Navan

Report Reference: Rock Schedule 1

The table below details the tests carried out, the specifications used, and the number of tests included in this report.

Tests marked with* in this report are not United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) accredited and are not included in Causeway Geotech Limited's scope of UKAS Accreditation Schedule of Tests. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.

Material tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications	No. of results included in the report
SOIL	Undrained shear strength – triaxial compression without measurement of pore pressure (loads from 0.12 to 24 kN)	BS 1377-7: 1990: Cl 8	3
ROCK	Point load index	ISRM Commission on Testing Methods. Suggested Method for Determining Point Load Strength 1985	15
ROCK	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS)*	ISRM Suggested Methods -Rock Characterization Testing and Monitoring, Ed. E T Brown - 1981	5



	Unconsolidat	ed Undraine	d Triaxial		Job Ref	19-0890		
GEOTECH	of pore press	ure - single	it measurem specimen	ent	Borehole/Pit No.	RC05		
Site Name	Buvinda House, Na	van			Sample No.	1		
Soil Description	Grey sandy gravelly	silty CLAY.			Depth	7.40		
Specimen Reference	2	Specimen Depth	7.45	m	Sample Type	С		
Specimen Description	Stiff grey sandy gra	velly silty CLAY.			KeyLAB ID	Caus2019091230		
Test Method	BS1377 : Part 7 : 19	990, clause 8, sing	gle specimen		Date of test	30/09/2019		
	Test Number Length Diameter Bulk Density Moisture Content Dry Density Rate of Strain Cell Pressure At failure	Axial Strain Deviator Stress, Undrained Shea	1 210.3 102.7 2.36 6.7 2.21 2.0 170 2.2 1216 608	mm mm Mg/m3 % Mg/m3 %/min kPa % kPa kPa				
		Undrained Shea Mode of Failure	ar Strength, cu	ļ	608	kPa ½(σ1-σ3)f		

Deviator Stress v Axial Strain





LAB 15R Version 4

•	GEOT	VAY		Point Load Strength Index Tests Summary of Results														
Project No.	19-0890			Proje	ect Nam	e				Buvin	ida Hoi	use, Na	ivan					
Borehole	s	ample		Spe	ecimen		Test see	Type ISRM	lid (Y/N)		Dimensions			Force P	ent diameter, De	Point Load Strength Index		Remarks (including
No.	Depth	Ref.	Туре	Ref.	Depth	Rock Type	Type (D, A, I, B)	Direction (L, P or U) Failure Val	Lne	W	Dps mm	Dps' mm	kN	a Equivale	Is MPa	Is(50) MPa	water content if measured)	
RC01	9.40	2	с	1	9.40	LIMESTONE	D	U	NO	66.9	101.6	101.6	96.0	7.9	98.8	0.8	1.1	
RC01	10.40	3	с	1	10.40	LIMESTONE	А	U	NO		101.5	61.0	54.0	2.5	83.5	0.4	0.5	
RC02	12.30	2	с	1	112.30	LIMESTONE	D	U	NO	55.1	101.8	101.8	98.0	1.1	99.9	0.1	0.2	
RC02	13.60	3	с	1	13.60	LIMESTONE	D	U	YES	47.3	101.7	101.7	95.0	2.3	98.3	0.2	0.3	
RC02	14.25	4	с	1	14.25	LIMESTONE	D	U	YES	71.2	101.5	101.5	98.0	25.0	99.7	2.5	3.4	
RC03B	12.60	1	с	1	12.60	LIMESTONE	А	U	NO		101.8	41.0	40.0	26.2	72.0	5.1	6.0	
RC03B	13.30	3	С	1	13.30	LIMESTONE	D	U	NO	63.3	101.5	101.5	100.0	23.9	100.7	2.4	3.2	
RC03B	14.50	4	С	1	14.50	LIMESTONE	D	U	NO	83.0	101.5	101.5	100.0	22.0	100.7	2.2	3.0	
RC04	14.60	4	С	1	14.60	LIMESTONE	D	U	YES	70.2	101.6	101.6	96.0	19.6	98.8	2.0	2.7	
RC04	16.00	5	С	1	16.00	LIMESTONE	A	U	NO		100.5	91.0	89.0	1.1	106.7	0.1	0.1	
RC05	10.40	3	с	1	10.40	LIMESTONE	A	U	YES		101.3	41.0	37.0	15.6	69.1	3.3	3.8	
RC05	12.10	5	с	1	12.10	LIMESTONE	D	U	YES	44.8	101.2	101.2	96.0	5.4	98.6	0.6	0.8	
RC06	14.50	1	С	1	14.50	MUDSTONE	I	U	YES	45.0	83.5	83.5	63.0	28.1	81.8	4.2	5.2	
RC06	15.20	2	С	1	15.20	MUDSTONE	А	U	YES		100.4	100.4	69.0	0.1	93.9	0.0	0.0	
RC06	16.80	3	С	1	16.80	MUDSTONE	D	U	YES	91.4	100.9	100.9	96.0	11.0	98.4	1.1	1.5	
Test Type D - Diametral, A - Axial, I - Irregular Lump, B - Block Diametral Direction L - parallel to planes of weakness P - perpendicular to planes of weakness U - unknown or random Dimensions Dps - Distance between platens (platen separation) Dps' - at failure (see ISRM note 6) Lne - Length from platens to nearest free end W - Width of shortest dimension perpendicular to load P								P D _{ps}										
Test performed Detailed legenc Size factor, F =	 W - Lengun from platens to hearest nee end W - Width of shortest dimension perpendicular to load, P Test performed in accordance with ISRM Suggested Methods : 2007, unless noted otherwise Detailed legend for test and dimensions, based on ISRM, is shown above. Size factor, F = (De/50)0.45 for all tests. 									Date Printed Approved By 10/04/2019 00:00 Stophon Waters 10122			UKAS HESTING 10122					

	AY ECH	U	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST ON ROCK - SUMMARY OF RESULTS											
Project No.	200		Projec	t Nam	9			Dun	rindo Hour					
	590						Specime	n						
		Sar	nple			Dimens		ns2	Bulk	Water Content	Uniaxi	al Compre	ession3	
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре	Rock Type	Dia.	Length	H/D	Density2	1	Condition	Mode of	UCS	Remarks
						mm	mm		Mg/m3	%		lanure	MPa	
RC01	1	9.25		С	LIMESTONE	101.5	207.9	2.0	2.69	0.9	as received	F	60.3	
RC02	1	11.20		с	MUDSTONE	102.2	207.2	2.0	2.81	0.5	as received	AC	41.3	
RC03B	2	13.20		с	LIMESTONE	101.7	209.4	2.1	2.62	1.6	as received	MS	14.7	
RC04	3	14.00		с	LIMESTONE	101.3	211.2	2.1	2.65	0.4	as received	F	192.0	
RC05	4	10.85		с	LIMESTONE	101.5	209.7	2.1	2.72	0.7	as received	F	86.2	
Notes 1 2 3	ISRM p ISRM p ISRM p above	987 test 1, 986 clause 9153 part 1 notes app	water cor e (vii), Cal 1, determi ly unless	ntent at f iper met nation o annotate	105 ± 3 oC, specimen a nod used for determina f Uniaxial Compressive d otherwise in the rem	as tested f ation of bu e Strength arks	for UCS Ilk volume (UCS)o	and deriv f Rock Ma	ation of bulk	density	Mode of failu S - Single sh AC - Axial cle	re : ear eavage	MS - multiple F - Fragment	e shear ted
Test Specification International Society for Rock Mechanics, The complete ISRM suggested methods for Rock Characterization Testing and Monitoring, 2007							Date Prir 10/04/20	Date Printed Approved By Table			Table 1			
											Stephen	.Watson	sheet 1	



LABORATORY RESTRICTION REPORT

Project Reference	19-0890	То	Neill Haggan
Project Name	Buyinda House, Navan	Position	Project Manager
riojeerivanie	Buvinda House, Navan	From	Stephen Watson
TD reference	10.0900 / D0	110111	
i k reierence	19-0690 / RU	Position	Laboratory Manager

The following sample(s) and test(s) are restricted as detailed below. Could you please complete the "Required Action" column and return the completed form to the laboratory.

Hole	5	Sample		Test							
Number	Number	Depth (m)	Туре	Туре	Reason for Restriction	Required Action					
RC04	1	11.1	С	QUCK triaxial	Core fell apart on extrusion. High gravel content through middle of subsample.	Cancel					
RC06	1	16.80- 17.00	с	UCS	Core did not meet the length to diameter ISRM test requirements(too short).	Carry out additional point load test					
				لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Laboratory Signaturo	Project Managor Signaturo					
For electronic	onic repor	ting a forr	n of I name	e is	Stephen Watson Neil Haggan						
acceptable					Date 02 October 2019	Date 02 October 2019					



APPENDIX H ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS





Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Report No.:	19-29566-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	10-Sep-2019		
Client	Causeway Geotech Ltd		
Client Address:	8 Drumahiskey Road Balnamore Ballymoney County Antrim BT53 7QL		
Contact(s):	Carin Cornwall Colm Hurley Darren O'Mahony Gabriella Horan John Cameron Lucy Newland Lucy Peaker Matthew Gilbert Neil Haggan Paul Dunlop Paul McNamara Sean Ross Stephen Franey Stephen Watson Stuart Abraham		
Project	19-0890 Buvinda House Extension, Navan-Site Investigation		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	03-Sep-2019
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	03-Sep-2019
No. of Samples:	2		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	5	Results Due:	09-Sep-2019
Date Approved:	10-Sep-2019		
Approved By:			



Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Chemtest The right chemistry to deliver results Project: 19-0890 Buvinda House Extension, Navan-Site

Investigation

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd	Chemtest Job No.:			19-29566	19-29566	
Quotation No.:	Chemtest Sample ID.:			882579	882581	
Order No.:		Client Sample Ref.:			17	1
	Sample Location:		RC01	RC03B		
	Sample Type:		SOIL	SOIL		
	Top Depth (m):		0.30	0.30		
		Da	te Samp	oled (\$):	02-Sep-2019	02-Sep-2019
			Asbest	os Lab:	COVENTRY	COVENTRY
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD		
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A	-	-
Ashastas Identification		2102	0/	0.001	No Asbestos	No Asbestos
Aspestos identification	0	2192	70	0.001	Detected	Detected
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A	-	-
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.020	3.9	4.1
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	29	26
Barium	U	2450	mg/kg	10	58	53
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.18	0.16
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	14	17
Molybdenum	U	2450	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	5.1
Antimony	N	2450	mg/kg	2.0	4.6	4.2
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	14	18
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	36	54
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	14	20
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.60	1.1
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	36	39
Chromium (Trivalent)	N	2490	mg/kg	1.0	14	17
Chromium (Hexavalent)	Ν	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Total Organic Carbon	U	2625	%	0.20	1.5	1.9
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	Ν	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0



Results - Soil

Investigation

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd	Chemtest Job No.:			19-29566	19-29566	
Quotation No.:	Chemtest Sample ID.:			882579	882581	
Order No.:	Client Sample Ref.:			17	1	
		Sa	ample Lo	ocation:	RC01	RC03B
			Sample	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL
	Top Depth (m):			0.30	0.30	
	Date Sampled (\$):			02-Sep-2019	02-Sep-2019	
	Asbestos Lab:		COVENTRY	COVENTRY		
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD		
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10
Benzo[j]fluoranthene	N	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0



Project: 19-0890 Buvinda House Extension, Navan-Site Investigation

Chemtest Job No:	19-29566				LandfIII Waste Acceptance Criteria		
Chemtest Sample ID:	882579					Limits	
Sample Ref:	17					Stable, Non-	
Sample ID:						reactive	
Sample Location:	RC01					hazardous	Hazardous
Top Depth(m):	0.30				Inert Waste	waste in non-	Waste
Bottom Depth(m):					Landfill	hazardous	Landfill
Sampling Date (\$):	02-Sep-2019					Landfill	
Determinand	SOP	Accred.	Units				
Total Organic Carbon	2625	U	%	1.5	3	5	6
Loss On Ignition	2610	U	%	1.5			10
Total BTEX	2760	U	mg/kg	< 0.010	6		
Total PCBs (7 Congeners)	2815	U	mg/kg	< 0.10	1		
TPH Total WAC (Mineral Oil)	2670	U	mg/kg	< 10	500		
Total (Of 17) PAH's	2700	Ν	mg/kg	< 2.0	100		
рН	2010	U		8.5		>6	
Acid Neutralisation Capacity	2015	Ν	mol/kg	0.038		To evaluate	To evaluate
Eluate Analysis			10:1 Eluate	10:1 Eluate	Limit values	for compliance I	eaching test
			mg/l	mg/kg	using BS EN 12457 at L/S 10 l/kg		S 10 I/kg
Arsenic	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	2	25
Barium	1450	U	0.030	< 0.50	20	100	300
Cadmium	1450	U	< 0.00010	< 0.010	0.04	1	5
Chromium	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	10	70
Copper	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	2	50	100
Mercury	1450	U	< 0.00050	< 0.0050	0.01	0.2	2
Molybdenum	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	10	30
Nickel	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.4	10	40
Lead	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.010	0.5	10	50
Antimony	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.010	0.06	0.7	5
Selenium	1450	U	0.0015	0.015	0.1	0.5	7
Zinc	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.50	4	50	200
Chloride	1220	U	52	520	800	15000	25000
Fluoride	1220	U	0.40	4.0	10	150	500
Sulphate	1220	U	22	220	1000	20000	50000
Total Dissolved Solids	1020	N	91	910	4000	60000	100000
Phenol Index	1920	U	< 0.030	< 0.30	1	-	-
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1610	U	3.3	< 50	500	800	1000

Solid Information	
Dry mass of test portion/kg	0.090
Moisture (%)	3.9

Waste Acceptance Criteria

Landfill WAC analysis (specifically leaching test results) must not be used for hazardous waste classification purposes. This analysis is only applicable for hazardous waste landfill acceptance and does not give any indication as to whether a waste may be hazardous or non-hazardous.



Project: 19-0890 Buvinda House Extension, Navan-Site Investigation

Chemtest Job No:	19-29566				LandfIII Waste Acceptance Criteria		
Chemtest Sample ID:	882581				Limits		
Sample Ref:	1					Stable, Non-	
Sample ID:						reactive	
Sample Location:	RC03B					hazardous	Hazardous
Top Depth(m):	0.30				Inert Waste	waste in non-	Waste
Bottom Depth(m):					Landfill	hazardous	Landfill
Sampling Date (\$):	02-Sep-2019					Landfill	
Determinand	SOP	Accred.	Units				
Total Organic Carbon	2625	U	%	1.9	3	5	6
Loss On Ignition	2610	U	%	1.7			10
Total BTEX	2760	U	mg/kg	< 0.010	6		
Total PCBs (7 Congeners)	2815	U	mg/kg	< 0.10	1		
TPH Total WAC (Mineral Oil)	2670	U	mg/kg	< 10	500		
Total (Of 17) PAH's	2700	N	mg/kg	< 2.0	100		
рН	2010	U		8.5		>6	
Acid Neutralisation Capacity	2015	Ν	mol/kg	0.038		To evaluate	To evaluate
Eluate Analysis			10:1 Eluate	10:1 Eluate	Limit values	for compliance I	eaching test
			mg/l	mg/kg	using B	S EN 12457 at L/	S 10 I/kg
Arsenic	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	2	25
Barium	1450	U	0.033	< 0.50	20	100	300
Cadmium	1450	U	< 0.00010	< 0.010	0.04	1	5
Chromium	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	10	70
Copper	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	2	50	100
Mercury	1450	U	< 0.00050	< 0.0050	0.01	0.2	2
Molybdenum	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.5	10	30
Nickel	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.050	0.4	10	40
Lead	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.010	0.5	10	50
Antimony	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.010	0.06	0.7	5
Selenium	1450	U	0.0014	0.014	0.1	0.5	7
Zinc	1450	U	< 0.0010	< 0.50	4	50	200
Chloride	1220	U	23	230	800	15000	25000
Fluoride	1220	U	0.42	4.2	10	150	500
Sulphate	1220	U	21	210	1000	20000	50000
Total Dissolved Solids	1020	N	85	850	4000	60000	100000
Phenol Index	1920	U	< 0.030	< 0.30	1	-	-
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1610	U	2.6	< 50	500	800	1000

Solid Information	
Dry mass of test portion/kg	0.090
Moisture (%)	4.1

Waste Acceptance Criteria

Landfill WAC analysis (specifically leaching test results) must not be used for hazardous waste classification purposes. This analysis is only applicable for hazardous waste landfill acceptance and does not give any indication as to whether a waste may be hazardous or non-hazardous.

The right chemistry to deliver results

Report Information

Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"
- \$ This information has been supplied by the client and can affect the integrity of test data.

Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation

The results relate only to the items tested

Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request

None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected

All results are expressed on a dry weight basis

The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry

weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols

For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis

All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory

Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt Charges may apply to extended sample storage

If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to:

customerservices@chemtest.com



APPENDIX I SPT HAMMER ENERGY MEASUREMENT REPORT



SPT Hammer Energy Test Report

23/02/2019

26/02/2019

in accordance with BSEN ISO 22476-3:2005

Neil Burrows Southern Testing Laboratories Unit 11 Charlwoods Road East Grinstead RH19 2HU

Instrumented Rod Data

Diameter d _r (mm):	54
Wall Thickness t _r (mm):	6.0
Assumed Modulus E _a (GPa):	200
Accelerometer No.1:	6458
Accelerometer No.2:	9607

File Name:0200.sptTest Operator:NPB

SPT Hammer Ref: 0200

Test Date:

Report Date:

SPT Hammer Information

Hammer Mass	m (kg):	63.5
Falling Height	h (mm):	760
SPT String Len	gth L (m):	10.0

Comments / Location CAUSEWAY







Calculations

Area of Rod A (mm2):		905
Theoretical Energy E _{theor}	(J):	473
Measured Energy E _{meas}	(J):	338

Energy Ratio E r (%):



The recommended calibration interval is 12 months





us no

Signed: N P Burrows Title: Field Operations Manager

SPT Hammer Energy Test Report

23/02/2019

26/02/2019

0209.spt

NPB

in accordance with BSEN ISO 22476-3:2005

Neil Burrows
Southern Testing Laboratories
Unit 11
Charlwoods Road
East Grinstead
RH19 2HU

Instrumented Rod Data

Diameter d _r (mm):	54
Wall Thickness t _r (mm):	6.0
Assumed Modulus E _a (GPa):	200
Accelerometer No.1:	6458
Accelerometer No.2:	9607

SPT Hammer Information

SPT Hammer Ref: 0209

Test Date:

File Name:

Report Date:

Test Operator:

Hammer Mass	m (kg):	63.5
Falling Height	h (mm):	760
SPT String Leng	gth L (m):	10.0

Comments / Location CAUSEWAY





Calculations

Area of Rod A (mm2):		905	
Theoretical Energy E _{theor}	(J):	473	
Measured Energy E _{meas}	(J):	330	
			_

Energy Ratio E r (%):

70

The recommended calibration interval is 12 months





Signed:N P BurrowsTitle:Field Operations Manager

SPT Hammer Energy Test Report

23/02/2019

26/02/2019

0643.spt

NPB

in accordance with BSEN ISO 22476-3:2005

Neil Burrows
Southern Testing Laboratories
Unit 11
Charlwoods Road
East Grinstead
RH19 2HU

Instrumented Rod Data

Diameter d _r (mm):	54
Wall Thickness t _r (mm):	6.0
Assumed Modulus E _a (GPa):	200
Accelerometer No.1:	6458
Accelerometer No.2:	9607

SPT Hammer Information

SPT Hammer Ref: 0643

Test Date:

File Name:

Report Date:

Test Operator:

Hammer Mass	m (kg):	63.5
Falling Height	h (mm):	760
SPT String Leng	gth L (m):	10.0

Comments / Location

CAUSEWAY





Calculations

Energy Ratio E r (%):		82	2
Measured Energy E _{meas}	(J):	388	
Theoretical Energy E _{theor}	(J):	473	
Area of Rod A (mm2):		905	

The recommended calibration interval is 12 months





Signed:N P BurrowsTitle:Field Operations Manager


Appendix G Rainfall Data

Met Eireann											
Return F	Period	Rainfall	Depths	for	sliding	Durations					
Irish G	Grid:	Easting:	288158,	Nor	thing:	266675 ,					

Interval							Years									
DURATION	6months,	lyear,	2,	З,	4,	5,	10,	20,	30,	50,	75,	100,	150,	200,	250,	500,
5 mins	2.5,	3.5,	4.0,	4.8,	5.3,	5.7,	7.0,	8.4,	9.3,	10.7,	11.8,	12.7,	14.1,	15.2,	16.1,	N/A ,
10 mins	3.5,	4.9,	5.6,	6.6,	7.3,	7.9,	9.7,	11.7,	13.0,	14.9,	16.5,	17.7,	19.7,	21.2,	22.4,	N/A ,
15 mins	4.1,	5.7,	6.5,	7.8,	8.6,	9.3,	11.4,	13.8,	15.3,	17.5,	19.4,	20.9,	23.1,	24.9,	26.3,	N/A ,
30 mins	5.5,	7.4,	8.5,	10.0,	11.1,	11.9,	14.4,	17.3,	19.2,	21.8,	24.0,	25.8,	28.5,	30.5,	32.2,	N/A ,
1 hours	7.2,	9.7,	11.0,	12.9,	14.2,	15.2,	18.3,	21.8,	24.0,	27.1,	29.8,	31.9,	35.1,	37.5,	39.5,	N/A ,
2 hours	9.5,	12.7,	14.3,	16.6,	18.2,	19.4,	23.2,	27.4,	30.1,	33.8,	37.0,	39.4,	43.2,	46.0,	48.4,	N/A ,
3 hours	11.2,	14.8,	16.6,	19.3,	21.1,	22.4,	26.7,	31.3,	34.3,	38.4,	41.9,	44.6,	48.7,	51.9,	54.4,	N/A ,
4 hours	12.6,	16.5,	18.5,	21.4,	23.3,	24.8,	29.4,	34.4,	37.6,	42.0,	45.9,	48.8,	53.1 ,	56.5,	59.2,	N/A ,
6 hours	14.8,	19.3,	21.6,	24.8,	27.0,	28.6,	33.8,	39.4,	42.9,	47.8,	52.0,	55.2,	60.0,	63.7 ,	66.7,	N/A ,
9 hours	17.4,	22.5,	25.1,	28.8,	31.2,	33.1,	38.8,	45.0,	49.0,	54.3,	59.0,	62.5,	67.8,	71.8,	75.0 ,	N/A ,
12 hours	19.6,	25.2,	28.0,	32.0,	34.6,	36.6,	42.8,	49.5,	53.8,	59.5,	64.5,	68.2,	73.9,	78.1,	81.6,	N/A ,
18 hours	23.1,	29.4,	32.6,	37.1,	40.0,	42.3,	49.2,	56.6,	61.3,	67.7 ,	73.1,	77.3 ,	83.4,	88.1,	91.9,	N/A ,
24 hours	25.9,	32.8,	36.3,	41.2,	44.4,	46.8,	54.3,	62.3,	67.3,	74.1,	80.0,	84.4,	91.0,	95.9,	100.0,	113.6,
2 days	32.6,	40.4,	44.2,	49.6,	53.0,	55.6,	63.6,	72.0,	77.2,	84.2,	90.1,	94.6,	101.2,	106.2,	110.2,	123.6,
3 days	38.3,	46.8,	50.9,	56.7,	60.4,	63.1,	71.6,	80.4,	85.8,	93.1,	99.3,	103.8,	110.6,	115.7,	119.8,	133.4,
4 days	43.5,	52.6,	57.0,	63.2,	67.1 ,	70.0,	78.8,	88.0,	93.7,	101.2,	107.6,	112.3,	119.3,	124.5,	128.7,	142.5,
6 days	52.9,	63.1,	68.0,	74.8,	79.0 ,	82.2,	91.8,	101.7,	107.8,	115.8,	122.5,	127.5,	134.9,	140.3,	144.7,	159.1,
8 days	61.5,	72.7,	78.0,	85.3,	89.9,	93.3,	103.6,	114.1,	120.5,	129.0,	136.0,	141.2,	148.9,	154.6,	159.1,	174.1,
10 days	69.6,	81.6,	87.3,	95.1,	99.9 ,	103.6,	114.5,	125.5,	132.3,	141.1,	148.5,	153.9 ,	161.9,	167.8,	172.5,	187.9 ,
12 days	77.3,	90.1,	96.1,	104.4,	109.5,	113.3,	124.8,	136.3,	143.4,	152.6,	160.2,	165.9,	174.1,	180.2,	185.1,	201.0,
16 days	92.1,	106.2,	112.9,	121.9,	127.5,	131.7,	144.1,	156.6,	164.1,	174.0,	182.1,	188.1,	196.9,	203.3,	208.4,	225.2,
20 days	106.1,	121.5,	128.7,	138.5,	144.5,	149.0,	162.2,	175.5,	183.5,	194.0,	202.6,	208.9,	218.1,	224.8,	230.2,	247.7,
25 days	123.1,	139.9,	147.7,	158.2,	164.7,	169.5,	183.8,	197.9,	206.4,	217.5,	226.6,	233.3,	243.0,	250.1,	255.7,	274.0,
NOTES:																

N/A Data not available

These values are derived from a Depth Duration Frequency (DDF) Model

For details refer to:

'Fitzgerald D. L. (2007), Estimates of Point Rainfall Frequencies, Technical Note No. 61, Met Eireann, Dublin', Available for download at www.met.ie/climate/dataproducts/Estimation-of-Point-Rainfall-Frequencies_TN61.pdf

